# Plain Language

# Lessons in Drafting from the New Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Part 4)

## By Joseph Kimble

he advice in this part of the series will be all about omitting needless words—about tightening. And here the examples below can't begin to do justice to the restyling project, because just about every other sentence seemed to have extra words. So it's a real challenge to choose from all the possible examples.

Consider this: the old rules had about 45,500 words; the new rules, even with the much greater use of headings, have about 39,280. That's 6,220 fewer words, or almost 14 percent less—all while following the Advisory Committee's mandate to not change substantive meaning.

Of course, writing clearly and plainly does not necessarily mean always using the fewest possible words in every sentence. But I would be surprised to learn of a plain-language project that did not produce a significant reduction overall.

Finally, remember that two of the guidelines discussed in Part 2 of this series—avoid needless repetition and don't state the obvious—also bear on omitting needless words.

# 11. Root out unnecessary prepositional phrases. Question every *of.*

There's no surer way to tighten legal writing than to eliminate unnecessary prepositional phrases. And as simple as it may sound, there's no better indicator than the word *of*.

Old 4(d)(1)	New 4(d)(5)
(1) A defendant who waives service of a summons does not thereby waive any objection to the venue or to the jurisdiction of the court over the person of the defendant.	(5) Jurisdiction and Venue Not Waived. Waiving service of a summons does not waive any objection to personal jurisdiction or to venue.

Old 10(a)	New 10(a)
(a) Caption; Names of	(a) Caption; Names of Parties.
<b>Parties.</b> <i>In</i> the complaint the	The title of the complaint must
title of the action shall include	name all the parties; the title of
the names of all the parties, but	other pleadings, after naming
in other pleadings it is sufficient	the first party on each side, may
to state the name of the first party	refer generally to other parties.
on each side with an appropriate	, ,
indication of other parties.	

Old 16(b)(8)	New 16(b)(3)(B)(vi)
	The scheduling order may include
The scheduling order may	other appropriate matters.
include any other matters	
appropriate in the circumstances	
of the case.	

Old 35(b)(3)	New 35(b)(6)
(3) This subdivision does not preclude discovery of a report of an examiner or the taking of a deposition of the examiner in accordance with the provisions of any other rule.	(6) This subdivision does not preclude obtaining an examiner's report or deposing an examiner <i>under</i> other rules.

Old 45(b)(1)	New 45(b)(1)
(1) A subpoena may be served	(1) By Whom; Tendering Fees;
by any person who is not a party	Serving a Copy of Certain
and is not less than 18 years of	<b>Subpoenas.</b> Any person who is at
age. Service of a subpoena upon	least 18 years old and not a party
a person named therein shall	may serve a subpoena. Serving a
be made by delivering a copy	subpoena requires delivering a
thereof to such person	copy to the named person

Old 54(d)(2)(C)	New 54(d)(2)(C)
(C) The court may determine issues of liability for fees before receiving submissions bearing on issues of evaluation of services for which liability is imposed by the court	(C) Proceedings The court may decide issues of liability for fees before receiving submissions on the value of services

One good, recurring way to minimize *of*-phrases is to use possessives. The new rules convert dozens and dozens of *of*-phrases—and other prepositional phrases—to possessives. Some examples:

- 4(f)(2)(A): the law of the foreign country/the foreign country's law.
- **5(c):** *the pleadings of the defendants/defendants' pleadings.*
- **13(i):** *the claims of the opposing party/the opposing party's claims.*
- **26(a)(2)(B):** the qualifications of the witness's qualifications.
- **26(a)(2)(C):** the disclosure made by the other party/the other party's disclosure.
- **26(b)(3):** a statement . . . previously made by that person/the person's own previous statement.
- **28(c):** a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties/any party's relative, employee, or attorney.
- 35(b)(2): a report of the examination so ordered/the examiner's report.
- 60(a): with leave of the appellate court/with the appellate court's leave.

# Plain Language

A second—and similar—technique for minimizing *of*-phrases and other prepositional phrases: convert them to adjectives. Of course, some of the phrases are used repeatedly.

- **4(d)(1)**; **now 4(d)(5)**: the jurisdiction of the court over the person of the defendant/personal jurisdiction.
- **4(k)(1)(D)**; **now 4(k)(1)(C)**: a statute of the United States/ a federal statute.
- **26(b):** *by order of the court/by court order.*
- 32(a)(4); now 32(a)(8): action . . . in any court of the United States or of any State/any federal- or state-court action.
- 38(b): trial by jury/jury trial.
- **54(c):** judgment by default/default judgment.
- 57: an action for a declaratory judgment/a declaratory-judgment action.
- 63: trial without a jury/nonjury trial.
- **69(a):** a judgment for the payment of money/a money judgment.

A third technique: convert [article] [noun] of into an -ing form.

- 11(c)(2)(A); now 11(c)(5)(A): for a violation of subdivision (b)(2)/for violating Rule 11(b)(2).
- **16(c)(4)**; **now 16(c)(2)(D)**: the avoidance of unnecessary proof/avoiding unnecessary proof.
- **16(c)(7); now 16(c)(2)(G):** the identification of witnesses/identifying witnesses.
- **23.2:** *in the conduct of the action/in conducting the action.*
- 37(g); now 37(f): the development and submission of a proposed discovery plan/developing and submitting a proposed discovery plan.
- **61:** no error in either the admission or the exclusion of evidence/no error in admitting or excluding evidence.

## 12. Replace multiword prepositions.

Multiword prepositions—also called compound or complex or phrasal prepositions—are pervasive in legal writing.<sup>1</sup> One writer calls them the "compost of our language."<sup>2</sup> You can almost always replace them with a simpler preposition, the one that you would probably use in speech.

- **4(i)(3)**; **now 4(i)(4)**: <u>for the purpose of</u> curing the failure/<u>to</u> cure its failure.
- **16(c):** <u>in order to</u> consider possible settlement/<u>to</u> consider possible settlement.
- **16(c):** take appropriate action with respect to/take appropriate action on.

- **16(c)(13)**; **now 16(c)(2)(M)**: a separate trial <u>pursuant to</u> Rule 42(b)/a separate trial <u>under</u> Rule 42(b). [Imagine how many times this one occurs.]
- **26(a)(2)(C):** <u>in the absence of</u> other directions from the court/ absent . . . a court order.
- **26(a)(3)(B); now 26(a)(3)(A)(ii):** whose testimony is expected to be presented <u>by means of</u> a deposition/whose testimony the party expects to present by deposition.
- **30(c):** *under the provisions of the Federal Rules of Evidence/ under the Federal Rules of Evidence.*
- 32(a)(3)(E); now 32(a)(4)(E): such exceptional circumstances exist <u>as to make it desirable/exceptional circumstances make</u> it desirable.
- **35(b)(3)**; **now 35(b)(6)**: *in accordance with* the provisions of any other rule/under other rules.
- **41(a)(2):** <u>prior to</u> the service upon the defendant of the plaintiff's motion to dismiss/<u>before</u> being served with the plaintiff's motion to dismiss.
- **44(b):** *in the case of a domestic record/for domestic records.*
- **64:** *during the course of an action/throughout an action.*
- 71: <u>in favor of</u> a person who is not a party to the action/<u>for</u> a nonparty.

# 13. Collapse clauses into a word or two when possible.

Here are a handful of examples:

- 11(c)(3); now 11(c)(6): the conduct determined to constitute a violation of this rule/the sanctioned conduct.
- **11(d):** *motions that are subject to the provisions of Rules 26 through 37/motions under Rules 26 through 37.*
- **14(a):** *a person not a party to the action/a nonparty.*
- 26(a)(1)(D); now 26(a)(1)(A)(iv): a judgment which may be entered/a possible judgment.
- **26(g)(3):** the person who made the certification/the signer.
- 30(a)(2): the person to be examined/the deponent.
- 33(b)(3); now 33(b)(2): the party upon whom the interrogatories have been served/the responding party.
- **45(b)(3)**; **now 45(b)(4)**: the court by which the subpoena is issued/the issuing court.
- 50(d); now 50(e): the party who prevailed on that motion/the prevailing party.

Let's end where we started, with the prescription to omit needless words. By combining all the techniques for doing that—and trying to say what you mean simply and directly—we produce differences like this:

# Plain Language

#### Old 25(a)(2) New 25(a)(2) (2) In the event of the death of (2) Continuation Among the one or more of the plaintiffs or Remaining Parties. After a of one or more of the defendants party's death, if the right sought in an action in which the right to be enforced survives only to sought to be enforced survives or against the remaining parties, only to the surviving plaintiffs the action does not abate . . . . or only against the surviving defendants, the action does not abate. . . .

#### Old 39(a) New 39(a) (a) By Jury. When trial by jury has been demanded as provided in Rule 38, the action shall be designated upon the docket as a jury action. The trial of all issues so demanded shall be by jury, unless (1) the parties or their attorneys of record, by written stipulation filed with the court or by an oral stipulation made in open court and entered in the record, consent to trial by the court sitting without a jury or (2) the court upon motion or of its own initiative finds that a right of trial by jury of some

(a) When a Demand Is Made. When a jury trial has been demanded under Rule 38, the action must be designated on the docket as a jury action. The trial on all issues so demanded must be by jury unless: (1) the parties or their attorneys file a stipulation to a nonjury trial or so stipulate on the record; or (2) the court, on motion or on its own, finds that on some or all of those issues there is no federal right to a jury trial. or all of those issues does not exist under the Constitution or statutes of the United States.

# Old 56(g)

## (g) Affidavits Made in Bad

Faith. Should it appear to the satisfaction of the court at any time that any of the affidavits presented pursuant to this rule are presented in bad faith or solely for the purpose of delay, the court shall forthwith order the party employing them to pay to the other party the amount of the reasonable expenses which the filing of the affidavits caused the other party to incur, including reasonable attorney's fees . . . .

# New 56(g)

(g) Affidavit Submitted in Bad Faith. If satisfied that an affidavit under

this rule is submitted in bad faith or solely for delay, the court must order the submitting party to pay the other party the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, it incurred as a result. . . .

#### Old 62(f)

(f) Stay According to State Law. In any state in which a judgment is a lien upon the property of the judgment debtor and in which the judgment debtor is entitled to a stay of execution, a judgment debtor is entitled, in the district court held therein, to such stay as would be accorded the judgment debtor had the action been maintained in the courts of that state.

#### New 62(f)

(f) Stay in Favor of a Judgment Debtor Under State Law. If a

judgment is a lien on the judgment debtor's property under the law of the state where the court is located, the judgment debtor is entitled to the same stay of execution the state court would give.

#### Old 64

At the commencement of and during the course of an action, all remedies providing for seizure of person or property for the purpose of securing satisfaction of the judgment ultimately to be entered in the action are available under the circumstances and in the manner provided by the law of the state in which the district court is held, existing at the time the remedy is sought . . . .

#### New 64(a)

(a) Remedies Under State Law— In General. At the commencement of and throughout an action, every remedy is available that, under the law of the state where the court is located, provides for seizing a person or property to secure satisfaction of the potential judgment. . . .

Old 65(a)(2)	New 65(a)(2)
(2) Consolidation of Hearing	(2) Consolidating the Hearing
With Trial on Merits	with the Trial on the Merits.
This subdivision (a)(2) shall be	But the court must preserve
so construed and applied as to	any party's right to a jury trial.
save to the parties any rights they	
may have to trial by jury.	

## Old 71A(k)

## (k) Condemnation Under a State's Power of Eminent

Domain. The practice as herein prescribed governs in actions involving the exercise of the power of eminent domain under the law of a state, provided that if the state law makes provision for trial of any issue by jury, or for trial of the issue of compensation by jury or commission or both, that provision shall be followed.

#### New 71.1(k)

#### (k) Condemnation Under a State's Power of Eminent Domain.

This rule governs an action involving eminent domain under state law. But if state law provides for trying an issue by jury—or for trying the issue of compensation by jury or commission or both that law governs.

How about that? ■

Joseph Kimble has taught legal writing for 25 years at Thomas M. Cooley Law School. He is the author of Lifting the Fog of Legalese: Essays on Plain Language, the editor in chief of The Scribes Journal of Legal Writing, the past president of the international organization Clarity, a founding director of the Center for Plain Language, and the drafting consultant on all federal court rules. He led the work of redrafting the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **FOOTNOTES**

- 1. For a long list, see Joseph Kimble, Plain Words, in Lifting the Fog of Legalese: Essays on Plain Language 170-71 (Carolina Academic Press 2006).
- 2. C. Edward Good, Mightier Than the Sword 73 (Blue Jeans Press 1989).