

# Give a Quotation a Good Introduction

By Kenneth F. Oettle

**J**ust as a moderator introduces a keynote speaker to the crowd, you should introduce quotations to the reader. It is a golden opportunity to engage the reader and drive your point home through repetition.

The quotation below appeared in the appellate brief of a building owner who failed to make a man-lift available to an independent roofer. The roofer was injured when he used an ordinary ladder in high winds and fell. Which introduction to the quotation would you use?

#### Version 1:

A landowner has no duty to protect an employee of an independent contractor from known hazards incidental to the contract work. *As the court ruled in Smith v. Jones:*

The landowner may assume that the worker, or his superiors, are possessed of enough skill to recognize the degree of danger involved and to adjust their methods of work accordingly.

#### Version 2:

A landowner has no duty to protect an employee of an independent contractor from known hazards incidental to the contract work. *To the contrary, a landowner is entitled to rely on the employee's ability to protect himself:*

[Same quotation; then cite *Smith v. Jones.*]

The first version introduces the quotation with the noncommittal *As the court ruled*, leaving the reader to interpret the quotation. The second version alerts the reader to the gist of the quotation, which is an embellishment on, not merely a restatement of, the principle that a landowner has no duty to protect employees of independent contractors from known hazards.

Writers typically use version 1, but version 2 is better. It calls attention to the ability of a contractor's employee to protect himself and the right of the landowner to rely on that. And it shortens the languid phrase *adjust their methods of work accordingly* to the sharper *protect himself*. It is a legitimate rewording for effect.

Previewing quotations serves several rhetorical purposes. It induces the reader to read the quotation—which might other-

wise be skipped—by providing a key to its meaning. Not only does this proffer help the reader interpret the quotation, making the reader's job easier, but it challenges the reader to determine whether the writer's summary is correct.

If the précis is accurate, the reader will regard the writer as honest and reliable. Thus, by substantively introducing the quotation, the writer increases the odds of its being read and earns a bonus in the bargain.

A substantive introduction can guide the reader through a quotation in several ways: (1) by directing attention to a particular passage; (2) by clarifying a difficult thought; (3) by characterizing something that could but shouldn't be read two ways, making sure the reader reads it the right way; and (4) if you're aggressive, by characterizing a passage that could legitimately be read in either of two ways. All this helps you retain control of the material.

Finally, the introduction drives the point home by repetition. First you say what the quotation will say; then the quotation says it again. If the thought is important enough to illustrate with a quotation, it's important enough to repeat and reinforce.

Not only do substantive introductions to quotations serve a rhetorical purpose, but

---

"Plain Language" is a regular feature of the *Michigan Bar Journal*, edited by Joseph Kimble for the Plain English Subcommittee of the Publications and Website Advisory Committee. We seek to improve the clarity of legal writing and the public opinion of lawyers by eliminating legalese. Want to contribute a plain-English article? Contact Prof. Kimble at Thomas Cooley Law School, P.O. Box 13038, Lansing, MI 48901, or at [kimblej@cooley.edu](mailto:kimblej@cooley.edu). For more information about plain English, see our website—[www.michbar.org/generalinfo/plainenglish/](http://www.michbar.org/generalinfo/plainenglish/).

---

If you have something useful to say, don't be modest about saying it twice. You would rather the reader think, "Enough already. I get your point," than that the reader ask, "What is your point?"

they serve a creative purpose as well. Having to write such an introduction forces the writer to examine whether the quotation is truly helpful. If the writer cannot summarize the passage in a few words consistent with the writer's position, then the quotation may be off point. Thus, the substantive introduction serves as a self-monitoring device.

A writer may even quote from a quotation to introduce it, as in the following example from a brief on behalf of a public entity appealing an award for injuries from a fall allegedly caused by bad lighting. The public entity claimed that the absence of expert testimony on the subject of adequate lighting was reversible error. It introduced a supportive quotation as follows:

In *Polyard v. Terry*, the Appellate Division observed that an expert's opinion is "undoubtedly admissible, and usually useful" when a condition of public property is not obviously dangerous:

The opinion of an expert is undoubtedly admissible, and usually useful, when, as here, a road-surface char-

acteristic is not so pronounced that its effect on the control of an automobile is obvious.

Previewing the phrase *undoubtedly admissible, and usually useful* is a bit repetitious because the quotation is short, but the value of the dictum justifies the duplication.

Writers give several reasons for their reluctance to introduce quotations with more than *As the court said*: they feel they can't say it better than the court and might say it wrong; they don't want to bore the reader with repetition; and they don't want to lose credibility by overadvocating. Such concerns are understandable but largely misguided.

You don't have to say it better than the court—just correctly. You may say it wrong, but if you don't know the point of the quotation well enough to summarize it accurately, then you shouldn't be using the quotation anyway.

As for boredom, repetition in this business is often a good thing. If you have something useful to say, don't be modest about saying it twice. You would rather the

reader think, "Enough already. I get your point," than that the reader ask, "What is your point?"

The fear of overadvocacy is healthy but usually excessive, especially in novice writers. To counter it, think of the service you provide to the reader with a substantive introduction to a quotation. In return for that, the reader will put up with a little advocacy. ■

*Reprinted with permission of the publisher and copyright holder from Making Your Point: A Practical Guide to Persuasive Legal Writing, by Kenneth F. Oettle. Published by ALM Publishing (www.lawcatalog.com) and copyrighted by ALM Properties, Inc. All rights reserved.*

---

*Kenneth F. Oettle is a graduate of Cornell University and Harvard Law School and senior counsel in the Newark, New Jersey office of Sills Cummis & Gross P.C., where he chairs the firm's writing program. His columns appear regularly in the New Jersey Law Journal and the Texas Lawyer, on Law.com, and in other ALM publications nationwide.*