

APPELLATE PRACTICE SECTION  
Respectfully submits the following position on:

\*

ADM File No. 2010-25

\*

The Appellate Practice Section is not the State Bar of Michigan itself, but rather a Section which members of the State Bar choose voluntarily to join, based on common professional interest.

The position expressed is that of the Appellate Practice Section only and is not the position of the State Bar of Michigan.

The State Bar position on this matter is support.

The total membership of the Appellate Practice Section is 667.

The position was adopted after vote at a scheduled meeting, with additional recommendations after electronic discussion and vote. The number of members in the decision-making body is 20. The number who voted in favor to this position was 15. The number who voted opposed to this position was 0. The number who abstained was 1.

## Report on Public Policy Position

**Name of section:**

Appellate Practice Section

**Contact person:**

Liisa R. Speaker

**E-Mail:**

[lspeaker@speakerlaw.com](mailto:lspeaker@speakerlaw.com)

**Proposed Court Rule or Administrative Order Number:**

[2010-25 - Proposed Amendment of Rule 7.210 of the Michigan Court Rules](#)

This amendment was proposed by James Neuhard, former director of the State Appellate Defender Office. The proposed amendment would require trial courts to become the depository for exhibits offered in evidence (whether those exhibits are admitted or not), instead of requiring parties to submit exhibits offered in evidence when a case is submitted to the Court of Appeals on a claim of appeal.

**Date position was adopted:**

November 18, 2011

January 31, 2012

**Process used to take the ideological position:**

Position was adopted after vote at a scheduled meeting, with additional recommendations after electronic discussion and vote.

**Number of members in the decision-making body:**

20

**Number who voted in favor and opposed to the position:**

15 Voted for position

0 Voted against position

1 Abstained from vote

4 Did not vote

**Position:**

Support and Amend

**Explanation of the position, including any recommended amendments:**

The recommended revisions to ADM 2010-25 are to clarify and avoid confusion. The recommended revisions to other court rules not in ADM 2010-25 are intended to make those court rules comport with ADM 2010-25, to provide consistently and avoid confusion.

The text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this report. [http://courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/2010-25\\_2011-10-20\\_formatted\\_FINAL\\_2.pdf](http://courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/2010-25_2011-10-20_formatted_FINAL_2.pdf)

APPELLATE PRACTICE SECTION

February 1, 2012

OFFICERS

CHAIR

Lisa R. Speaker  
Speaker Law Firm PLLC  
230 N Sycamore St  
Lansing, MI 48933-1094

CHAIR-ELECT

Phillip J. DeRosier, Detroit

SECRETARY

Stephanie Simon Morita, Farmington Hills

TREASURER

Jill M. Wheaton, Ann Arbor

COUNCIL MEMBERS

Geoffrey M. Brown, Southfield  
Anthony F. Caffrey, III, Grand Rapids  
Graham K. Crabtree, Lansing  
Judith A. Curtis, Grosse Pointe  
Marilena Brandy David-Martin, Detroit  
Nancy Vayda Dembinski, Farmington Hills  
Lauren DuVal Donofrio, Sterling Heights  
Stuart G. Friedman, Southfield  
Gaetan Gerville-Reache, Grand Rapids  
Ronald S. Lederman, Southfield  
Noel D. Massie, Birmingham  
Gerald F. Posner, Detroit  
Stephen J. Rhodes, Okemos  
Danielle C. Schoeny, Southfield  
Elizabeth L. Sokol, Royal Oak  
Victor S. Valenti, Shelby Township  
Beth A. Wittmann, Detroit

IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR

Megan K. Cavanagh, Detroit

EX OFFICIO

Christina A. Ginter, Detroit  
Barbara H. Goldman, Southfield

COMMISSIONER LIAISON

Brandy Y. Robinson, Detroit

Corbin R. Davis  
Clerk of the Court  
Michigan Supreme Court  
Michigan Hall of Justice  
925 W. Ottawa, P.O. Box 30052  
Lansing, MI 48909

RE: ADM File No. 2010-25 - Appellate Practice Section  
Comment on Proposed Amendment of MCR 7.210

Dear Clerk Davis:

At its November 18, 2011 council meeting, the State Bar of Michigan's Appellate Practice Section considered the rule amendment for MCR 7.210(C) published for comment in ADM 2010-25. The Section voted unanimously to support the amendment with one recommended revision. The proposed amendment states what happens to the record on appeal when no appeal is filed, but does not address the trial court's obligation when a claim of appeal is filed. The Section's recommended revision is intended to clarify what happens to the record when the claim of appeal is filed. The Section believes that its recommendation comports with the concept of the proposed amendment, but just helps avoid any confusion by practitioners or the trial court.

Following Council's November 18 meeting and vote, the Council decided to look more deeply into how the amendment to MCR 7.210(C) would affect other court rules. As a result of that investigation, the Appellate Practice Section recommends revisions to MCR 2.518 and MCR 7.210(F). Council also made other recommended revisions to MCR 7.210(C). All of these recommended revisions appear below and are intended to bring clarity to the current proposal contained in ADM 2010-25 and to make the court rules consistent with the proposed amendment MCR 7.210(C). Council voted electronically on these additional revisions the week of January 30, 2012. Fifteen council members voted in favor of these additional recommended revisions, while one council member abstained, and no council members opposed the recommended revisions.

The following represents the Appellate Practice Section's recommended revisions to ADM 2010-25. To aid in the reading of the Section's proposed text, the only revisions that appear are those of the Section, and which otherwise accepts the proposed rule change as submitted in ADM 2010-25. Thus, the language of ADM 2010-25 appears as regular text, and the Section's revisions are indicated with additions underlined, and deletions overstricken. The current language of MCR 7.210(C) does not appear below.

**MCR 7.210. Record on Appeal.**

(A)-(B) [Unchanged.]

(C) Exhibits. The trial court or tribunal shall retain originals or legible copies of all documentary, photographic, video, or audio exhibits offered in evidence, whether admitted or not. If a claim of appeal is filed, the trial court or tribunal shall forward these materials to the trial court's or tribunal's clerk's office to become part of the record on appeal. If no claim of appeal has been filed upon expiration of the time for doing so, the trial court or tribunal may return such exhibits to the parties who offered them. The trial court or tribunal may either retain exhibits in other forms or, by stipulation of the parties or order of the trial court or tribunal, By stipulation of the parties or order of the trial court or tribunal may be returned them to the parties who offered them. Parties in possession of such exhibits shall preserve them until expiration of the time to file an appeal and pending an appeal, or until otherwise ordered. ~~Appellants-Parties~~ are entitled to access the exhibits or proposed exhibits that are not in documentary, photographic, video, or audio form upon a showing of good cause and the filing of a motion showing good cause for seeking such access within the time for filing the requesting parties' brief on appeal. No motion is required to access exhibits or proposed exhibits that are in documentary, photographic, video, or audio form. When the record is returned to the trial court or tribunal, the trial court or tribunal clerk shall return the exhibits to the parties who filed them.

(D)-(E) [Unchanged.]

**(F) Service of Record.** Within 21 days after the transcript is filed with the trial court clerk, the appellant shall serve a copy of the entire record on appeal, including the transcript and documentary, photographic, video, or audio exhibits, on each appellee. [Remainder of subrule (F) remains unchanged.]

**MCR 2.518. Receipt and Return or Disposal of Exhibits.**


(A) [Unchanged.]

(B) Return or Disposal of Exhibits. ~~At the conclusion of a trial or hearing, exhibits should be retrieved by the parties submitting them~~ The court or tribunal shall retain originals or legible copies

of all documentary, photographic, video, or audio exhibits offered in evidence, whether admitted or not. If no claim of appeal has been filed upon expiration of the time for doing so, the trial court or tribunal may return such exhibits to the parties who offered them, except that any weapons and drugs shall be returned to the confiscating agency for proper disposition. If no timely appeal is filed, and if the exhibits are not retrieved by the parties within 56 days after conclusion of the trial or hearing, the court may properly dispose of the exhibits without 14 days' notice to the parties.

The Section thanks this Court's consideration of these recommended revisions to ADM 2010-25.

Sincerely,



---

Liisa R. Speaker  
Chair, Appellate Practice Section