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Obscenity is as illegal today as it was in 1873. What changed is the way we define it.1 If someone were to ask what Kevin Birmingham’s new book is about, this pithy description could not be called inaccurate. But it would be like describing *Moby Dick* by saying, “It’s a book about a whale.”

In *The Most Dangerous Book: The Battle for James Joyce’s Ulysses*, Birmingham sets Joyce’s novel in the context of his life and times—especially the times relevant to the cultural movement now known as modernism—a context which in significant part consists of the book’s tortured publishing history and the legal challenges it faced in being brought to American readers.

Birmingham undoubtedly was aware of the massive volume of commentary on Joyce’s works. But he justifies his project by noting that the “story of the fight to publish *Ulysses* has never been told in its entirety,”2 and he admirably fills this gap. To call his inclusion of historical detail voluminous is to beggar the word somewhat. This is not a book you glide through in one sitting; rather, it is best appreciated by being savored episodically to get the full flavor of the momentous consequences the battle had for individuals, the publishing industry, and a still-frequently-litigated area of constitutional law.

Some readers may be put off by the breadth of the historical research Birmingham incorporates into his story and, in fairness to such readers, the numerous layers and contextual detours arguably do occasionally sidetrack. The detours, however, always lead back to the main story and add a richness of understanding that continually grows throughout the book, and it is well worth the journey(s) Birmingham takes you on.

Those interested primarily in the details of the legal battle over *Ulysses* can begin reading on page 297 and still get their money’s worth. But even such focused consumers will be drawn back to the beginning or some previous section(s) of the book. Intellectual treats, after all, are not tightly designed to expand and strengthen the scope of what could be censored and what consequences could follow. After a month of lobbying, President Ulysses S. Grant signed the bill and Comstock got his gun and badge. No chair-bound administrator, Comstock personally and vigorously joined the crusade.4

Regarding the actual litigation5 over *Ulysses*, Birmingham fleshes out the characters involved, rarely resorting to black hat/white hat stereotypes as he details the cooperation of federal attorney Sam Coleman in the numerous postponements and adjournments that ultimately landed the case on the docket of the only judge of the Southern District of New York deemed favorable by Morris Ernst, the lawyer defending *Ulysses*. Coleman further cooperated by waiving the government’s right to a jury trial, thereby ensuring that Judge John Woolsey would have complete control over the outcome.6 (Coleman’s boss, the U.S. attorney, sat on the sidelines because it was a controversial case and his campaign for a U.S. Senate seat was in full swing.)

As for Woolsey’s decision, I’m not giving anything away by saying he ruled that importation and distribution of *Ulysses* in the United States did not violate the Tariff Act. The opinion is relatively brief and does not address the legal particulars until near the
end. The bulk is taken up with an account of Woolsey’s reading and study of the entire novel (he declined the prosecutor’s request that he follow the so-called Hicklin rule, which allowed a fact finder to consider only those isolated passages the government deemed obscene) and his lengthy explication of the avant-garde creative task Joyce set for himself. In contrast, Martin Manton, dissenting judge in the 2–1 decision of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals affirming the district court, refused to read the book, reaching his decision based solely on the complained-of passages. And Manton refused to quote even one word of the novel in his dissent. Birmingham cannot resist adding parenthetically, “A few years later, Manton would go to prison for taking bribes.”

Birmingham’s greatest achievement is the almost cinematic presentation that enriches his story as it marches, meanders, and storms its way to the titular battle for Ulysses. But even this richness is only the objective, external battle for Ulysses. Equally compelling is the subjective, internal story of the struggle to actually get the novel written. For one thing, Joyce was obsessively inclusive, constantly revising (usually by adding to) his book. Few readers will suppress a smile when they read of one such addition. Sensing that he needed solitude at one point, his wife took their children on a trip. During that time “he wrote alone and talked to the cat. ‘Mrkgnao!’ said the cat. He wrote it down.”

For those unfamiliar with Ulysses, the skeletal outline of the story is patterned after the course of events in Homer’s Odyssey, with lower-middle-class Dublin characters substituted for Homer’s mythological and demigod roster, and what was for Odysseyus a 10-year journey is in Ulysses condensed into one day—June 16, 1904—a day widely known ever since as Bloomsday. (The Odyssean character in Ulysses is Leopold Bloom.)

Joyce’s all-consuming focus on Ulysses exacerbated the penury which had long burdened his family; he was able to ignore such mundane concerns only through the seemingly superhuman efforts of his wife, the former Nora Barnacle. Joyce’s serious physical ailments were another aspect of their often-grueling existence. Birmingham’s description of the eye surgeries Joyce endured will unsettle many readers. Then there were ailments actually caused by the various treatments. His utter dependence on and devotion to Nora, in this and all aspects of their relationship, is perhaps best epitomized by noting that the date on which he chose to set his modernist epic was the day of his first sexual encounter with her.

Nora was Joyce’s lifeline, but the people whose determination, courage, and sacrifice ultimately made the legalization of Ulysses a reality are of equal note, and Birmingham gives them their stroll on the stage: Anderson and Heap, Adrienne Monnier, Harriet Shaw Weaver, Ezra Pound, John Quinn (a wealthy New York lawyer and patron of modernism), Ernest Hemingway, Barnet Braverman (enlisted by Hemingway to smuggle copies of Ulysses into the U.S. from Canada and whom Birmingham cleverly refers to as a “booklegger”), and arguably the most essential (and most severely put-upon by Joyce) performer in this cast, Sylvia Beach.

Birmingham’s pronouncements on artistic freedom will chafe some readers but, agree or not, the fact that you have the freedom to decide for yourself should resonate more deeply after reading this book. The Ulysses case did not settle the issue of censorship decisively or finally. It was not until 1957 in Roth v United States that the United States Supreme Court expressly repudiated the Hicklin rule, and Henry Miller’s Tropic of Cancer was widely banned and prosecuted in the 1960s until the Supreme Court held that it was not obscene. But the Ulysses decisions changed the way issues of obscenity and censorship are treated in our legal system. Birmingham’s masterful tale of how that change came about and, more importantly, what it came out of, is an education and an enjoyment all readers will savor for one reason or several. You should exercise your right to read it.
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