Orders of Discipline and Disability

Disbarment

Jeffrey B. Hollander, P49306, Farmington Hills, by the Attorney Discipline Board, affirming Tri-County Hearing Panel #60's order of disbarment, effective May 1, 2010.1

The respondent appeared at the hearing but was found to be in default for failing to file an answer to the formal complaint. Based on the respondent's default, the hearing panel found that the respondent practiced law while disbarred, in violation of MCR 9.119(E)(1); held himself out as an attorney while disbarred from the practice of law, in violation of MCR 9.119(E)(4); engaged in conduct contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9104(3); violated an order of discipline, in violation of MCR 9.104(9); engaged in conduct that is a violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, contrary to MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4); and engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or violation of the criminal law, where such conduct reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b).

The panel ordered that the respondent be disbarred, retroactive to May 1, 2010. The respondent filed a delayed petition for review, which was granted. Upon review, the Attorney Discipline Board affirmed the hearing panel's order of disbarment. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,263.19.

1. The respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since April 15, 2009. Please see notice of revocation (by consent), issued April 15, 2009.

Disbarment (By Consent)

Kenneth A. Flaska, P28605, Grosse Pointe, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #28, effective April 14, 2014.1

The respondent was convicted in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, by plea, for the felonies of bank fraud, in violation of 18 USC 1344; and laundering monetary instruments of a value over \$10.000. in violation of 18 USC 1957(a) and (b)(1).

The grievance administrator filed a notice of judgment of conviction on March 11, 2015. In accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), the parties filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline on May 7, 2015, which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Based on the respondent's conviction and his admission in the stipulation, the hearing panel found that he had committed professional misconduct, in violation of MCR 9.104(5).

The hearing panel ordered that the respondent be disbarred from the practice of law in Michigan, effective April 14, 2014, the date of the court's acceptance of the respondent's guilty plea. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$977.88.

1. The respondent has not been practicing law in Michigan since July 1, 2013, the date the State Bar of Michigan accepted his letter of resignation.

Automatic Reinstatements

Derrick N. Okonmah, P68221, Clarkston, effective May 29, 2015.

The respondent was suspended from the practice of law in Michigan for 179 days, effective June 10, 2014. In accordance with MCR 9.123(A), the suspension was terminated with the respondent's filing of an affidavit of compliance with the Michigan Supreme Court on May 29, 2015.

Paul F. Semperger, P20214, Plymouth, effective May 18, 2015.

The respondent was suspended from the practice of law in Michigan for 179 days, effective September 23, 2014. In accordance with MCR 9.123(A), the suspension was terminated with the respondent's filing of an affidavit of compliance with the Michigan Supreme Court on May 18, 2015.

Reprimands (By Consent)

Michael J. Golding, P30186, Southfield, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #68, effective June 11, 2015.

The respondent and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel.

Based on the respondent's pleas, admissions, and the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that the respondent entered into a business transaction with a client without transmitting those terms to the client in writing, in violation of MRPC 1.8(a) (1); failed to obtain the client's consent to the loan in writing, in violation of MRPC 1.8(a)(3); violated or attempted to violate the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct,

DUTY TO REPORT AN ATTORNEY'S CRIMINAL CONVICTION

All Michigan attorneys are reminded of the reporting requirements of MCR 9.120(A) when a lawyer is convicted of a crime:

What to Report:

A lawyer's conviction of any crime, including misdemeanors. A conviction occurs upon the return of a verdict of guilty or upon the acceptance of a plea of guilty or no contest.

Who Must Report:

Notice must be given by all of the following:

- The lawyer who was convicted;
- 2. The defense attorney who represented the lawyer; and
- 3. The prosecutor or other authority who prosecuted the lawyer.

When to Report:

Notice must be given by the lawyer, defense attorney, and prosecutor within 14 days after the conviction.

Where to Report:

Written notice of a lawyer's conviction must be given to:

Grievance Administrator Attorney Grievance Commission Buhl Building, Ste. 1700 535 Griswold, Detroit, MI 48226 and

Attorney Discipline Board 211 W. Fort Street, Ste. 1410 Detroit, MI 48226

DEFENDING DRINKING DRIVERS

WINNING DUI ARGUMENTS AND TECHNIQUES

To challenge probable cause, keep the prosecution's evidence out, or file effective motions, you must have a well-prepared case. From initial client contact to sentencing, **Defending Drinking Drivers** will guide you through every phase of a drinking driving trial.



The book begins with the "nuts & bolts" of drunk driving defense, then focuses on teaching how to create "reasonable doubt." Particular attention is given to analyzing specific testing methods and handling expert witnesses.

This two-volume set offers court-tested strategy, practice tips, sample arguments and the most up-to-date case law and statutory changes to keep you on the cutting edge of drunk driving law. Practical, step-by-step guidance helps you:



PRINT: \$199-\$169.15 with code EBOOK: \$119 \$101.15 MBJ15 www.JamesPublishing.com

- Identify sources of error in BAC calculations
- Successfully attack damaging chemical test results
- Effectively cross-examine the prosecution's key witnesses
- Find weaknesses in the use of field sobriety tests
- Suppress audiovisual evidence
- Know when and how to use experts cost-effectively



AUTHOR: PATRICK T. BARONE

Patrick T. Barone has an "AV" (highest) rating from Martindale-Hubbell, and since 2009 has been included in the highly selective U.S. News & World Report's America's Best Lawyers, while the

Barone Defense Firm appears in their companion America's Best Law Firms. He has been rated "Seriously Outstanding" by Super Lawyers, rated "Outstanding/10.0" by AVVO, and has recently been rated as among the top 5% of Michigan's lawyers by Leading Lawyers magazine. Mr. Barone is the principal and founding member of The Barone Defense Firm, whose practice is limited exclusively to DUI cases including those involving injury or death.

To purchase your print copy or digital eBook of Patrick Barone's guide to winning DUI arguments, go to: jamespublishing.com/shop/defending-drinkingdrivers/

With offices in Birmingham and Grand Rapids, The Barone Defense Firm accepts referrals from throughout Michigan. Call 248-594-4554.

contrary to MRPC 8.4(a); engaged in conduct which exposed the legal profession to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and engaged in conduct which violated the standards or rules of professional responsibility adopted by the Supreme Court, in violation of MCR 9.104(4).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that the respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$936.10.

Thomas M. J. Lavigne, P58395, Detroit, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #14, effective June 13, 2015.

The respondent and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Based on the respondent's admissions, the panel found that the respondent, in a criminal matter, used methods of obtaining evidence that violated the legal rights of a person, contrary to MRPC 4.4; violated or attempted to violate the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, contrary to MRPC 8.4(a); engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9. 104(1); and engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that the respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$765.22.

Suspension

Garner Keith Train, P30554, Clio, by the Attorney Discipline Board, affirming the hearing panel's order of suspension for 45 days, effective February 20, 2015.

The respondent appeared at the hearing but was found to be in default for failing to file an answer to the formal complaint. Based on the respondent's default, the panel found that he neglected a legal matter, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing his client, in violation of MRPC 1.3; failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of his

Attorney Grievance Defense

Character and Fitness Representation

Former Macomb County Assistant Prosecuting Attorney (24 years) and former Attorney Discipline Board Hearing Panel Chairperson (23 years) welcomes the opportunity to represent attorneys in disciplinary and grievance proceedings, and law school graduates in character and fitness hearings.



Steven Kaplan, Attorney at Law Adjunct Law Professor, M.S.U. College of Law

> Law Offices of Rodnick, Unger & Kaplan, PC 3280 East 13 Mile Road • Warren, MI 48092 Office (586) 574-0020 • Cell (248) 410-0919

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE DEFENSE

Veteran trial and appellate attorneys, experienced in defending attorneys in discipline and contempt proceedings

Representation in grievances, answers to requests for investigation, hearings, appeals, reinstatement petitions, ethics consultations and character and fitness proceedings

KENNETH M. MOGILL ERICA N. LEMANSKI 27 E. Flint St., 2nd Floor Lake Orion, MI 48362 (248) 814-9470

CAROLE M. STANYAR 221 N. Main Street, Suite 300 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (313) 819-3953

The lawyer for lawyers

Because reputation matters

- Listed in The Best Lawyers in America® for ethics and professional responsibility law since 2010
- Served 10 years as associate counsel with the Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission
- Adjunct ethics professor since 2002
- · Liaison to the American Bar Association's **Ethics Committee**



Donald D. Campbell

donald.campbell@ceflawyers.com (248) 351-5426

legal matter and failed to comply promptly with reasonable requests for information, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a). The panel further found that the respondent engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(1); conduct that exposed the legal profession to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); conduct which violated the standards or rules of professional responsibility adopted by the Supreme Court, contrary to MCR 9.104(4); and failed to timely answer a request for investigation, in violation of MCR 9.104(7) and MCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2).

The hearing panel ordered that the respondent's license to practice law be suspended for 45 days. The respondent filed a petition for review and stay of discipline. The stay of discipline was automatically granted and, upon review, the Attorney Discipline Board affirmed the hearing panel's order of suspension. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$2,309.24.

Suspensions (By Consent)

David J. Duthie, P23265, Grand Rapids, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #74, for 180 days, effective August 14, 2014.1

The respondent and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained the respondent's admission that he was convicted, by guilty plea, of operating while intoxicated/impaired-3rd offense, a felony, in violation of MCL 257.6256D. Based on the respondent's convictions and his admissions, the panel found that he engaged in conduct that violated the criminal laws of the state of Michigan, contrary to MCR 9.104(5).

The hearing panel ordered that the respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 180 days, effective August 14, 2014, as stipulated by the parties. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$774.76.

1. The respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since August 14, 2014. Please see notice of automatic interim suspension, issued January 14, 2015.



Peter W. Macuga II, P28114, Grosse Pointe Shores, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #7, for 4 years and 11 months, effective August 28, 2014.¹

The respondent and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained the respondent's admission that he was convicted, by plea, for obstruction of justice, a felony, in violation of MCL 750.505; and for impaired driving, a misdemeanor, in violation of MCL 257.6251. Based on the respondent's convictions and his admissions, the panel found that the respondent engaged in conduct that violated the criminal laws of the state of Michigan, contrary to MCR 9.104(5).

The hearing panel ordered that the respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for four years and eleven months, effective August 28, 2014, as stipulated by the parties. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$770.07.

 The respondent has been continuously suspended from the practice of law in Michigan since August 27, 2014. Please see notice of automatic interim suspension, issued January 2, 2015.

Interim Suspensions Pursuant to MCR 9.115(H)(1)

Evan A. Dixon, P45738, Hancock, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Upper Peninsula Hearing Panel #2, effective May 19, 2015.

After being properly served with the formal complaint and the notice of hearing, the respondent failed to personally appear at the May 11, 2015 hearing. After satisfactory proofs were entered that the respondent possessed actual notice of the proceedings, the hearing panel, in accordance with MCR 9.115(H)(1), determined that the respondent's failure to appear warranted an interim suspension from the practice of law until further order of the panel.

On May 12, 2015, the panel issued an order of suspension pursuant to MCR 9.115 (H)(1), effective May 19, 2015, and until further order of the panel or the Board.

Ali S. Zaidi, P71435, Addison, Texas, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #3, effective February 19, 2015.

After being properly served with the formal complaint and notice of hearing, the respondent failed to personally appear at the February 12, 2015 hearing. After satisfactory proofs were entered that the respondent possessed actual notice of the proceedings, the hearing panel, in accordance with MCR 9.115(H)(1), determined that the respondent's failure to appear warranted an interim suspension from the practice of law until further order of the panel.

On February 12, 2015, the panel issued an order of suspension pursuant to MCR 9.115(H)(1), effective February 19, 2015, and until further order of the panel or the Board.

Final Suspension With Conditions (By Consent)

Paul F. Semperger, P20214, Plymouth, by the Attorney Discipline Board, Tri-County Hearing Panel #4, for 179 days, effective September 23, 2014.¹

The respondent and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained the respondent's admission that he was convicted of operating while intoxicated, third, a felony, in violation of MCL 257.6256D. Based on the respondent's conviction and his admission, the panel found that the respondent engaged in conduct that violated the criminal laws of the state of Michigan, contrary to MCR 9.104(5).

The hearing panel ordered that the respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 179 days, effective September 23, 2014, as stipulated by the parties. Additionally, the panel ordered that the respondent be subject to conditions relevant to the admitted misconduct. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$756.69.

 The respondent was automatically reinstated to the practice of law on May 18, 2015. Please see notice of automatic reinstatement, issued May 20, 2015.

GRIEVANCE DEFENSE CHARACTER AND FITNESS EXPERT TESTIMONY

Successful representation of attorneys and applicants for over 40 years

Robert H. Golden 29532 Southfield Road, Suite 105 Southfield, MI 48076 248.559.8118

robgol@sbcglobal.net www.ROBERTGOLDENPC.com

No charge for intitial consultation



Get a Tax Break...

Donate Your Vehicle!
Call (800) 678-LUNG
Help fight the #3 killer...lung disease

AMERICAN LUNG ASSOCIATION.

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE DEFENSE

Experienced attorney (39 yrs) who handles criminal and civil cases, trial and appeal, is available for representation in defending attorneys in discipline proceedings. I can represent you in answering requests for investigations, grievances, and at hearings. I am also available for appeals, reinstatement petitions, and general consultation. References are available upon request. For further information, contact:

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS M. LOEB 32000 Northwestern Hwy, Ste 170 Farmington Hills, MI 48334-1507 (248) 851-2020 Fax (248) 851-2525 E-mail: tmloeb@mich.com