

74 Notice of Hearings on Petitions for Reinstatement

PETITIONER

TIMOTHY S. CRAWFORD

Notice is given that **Timothy S. Crawford**, P35730, has filed a petition in the Michigan Supreme Court and with the Attorney Grievance Commission seeking reinstatement as a member of the State Bar and restoration of his license to practice law.

On April 28, 2000, the petitioner was convicted in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan of the crimes of conspiracy to tamper with a witness and attempt to tamper with a witness, in violation of 18 USC 371 and 1512. In accordance with MCR 9.120(B)(1), the petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan was automatically suspended on the date of his felony conviction.

The grievance administrator filed a certified copy of the judgment of conviction on March 16, 2001. The matter was assigned to a hearing panel and the petitioner was ordered to show cause why a final order of discipline should not be entered. The hearing panel ordered the revocation of the petitioner's license to practice law in the state of Michigan effective April 28, 2000, the date of the felony conviction.

A hearing is scheduled for Tuesday, August 27, 2019, beginning at 9:30 a.m. at the Office of the Attorney Discipline Board, 333 W. Fort St., Ste. 1700, Detroit, MI 48226.

Any interested person may appear at the hearing and be heard in support of or in opposition to the petition for reinstatement. Any person having information bearing on the petitioner's eligibility for reinstatement should contact:

Michael K. Mazur
Associate Counsel
Attorney Grievance Commission
535 Griswold, Ste. 1700
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 961-6585

REQUIREMENTS OF THE PETITIONER

Pursuant to MCR 9.123(B), the petitioner is required to establish the following by clear and convincing evidence:

1. He desires in good faith to be restored to the privilege of practicing law in Michigan.

2. The term of the suspension ordered has elapsed or five years have elapsed since his disbarment or resignation.

3. He has not practiced or attempted to practice law contrary to the requirement of his suspension or disbarment.

4. He has complied fully with the order of discipline.

5. His conduct since the order of discipline has been exemplary and above reproach.

6. He has a proper understanding of and attitude toward the standards that are imposed on members of the Bar and will conduct himself in conformity with those standards.

7. Taking into account all of the attorney's past conduct, including the nature of the misconduct that led to the revocation or suspension, he nevertheless can safely be recommended to the public, the courts, and the legal profession as a person fit to be consulted by others and to represent them and otherwise act in matters of trust and confidence, and, in general, to aid in the administration of justice as a member of the Bar and as an officer of the court.

8. If he has been suspended for three years or more, he has been recertified by the Board of Law Examiners.

9. He has reimbursed or has agreed to reimburse the Client Protection Fund any money paid from the fund as a result of his conduct. Failure to fully reimburse as agreed is grounds for revocation of a reinstatement.

PETITIONER

DORIS MARIE CULVER DAY

Notice is given that **Doris Marie Culver Day**, P56828, (f/k/a Dorie Marie Day-Winters), has filed a petition in the Michigan Supreme Court, the Attorney Discipline Board, and the Attorney Grievance Commission seeking reinstatement as a member of the State Bar and restoration of her license to practice law in accordance with MCR 9.124(A). *In the Matter of the Reinstatement Petition of Doris Marie Culver Day, P56828, (f/k/a Doris Marie Day-Winters)*, ADB Case No. 19-48-RP.

Effective November 15, 2017, the petitioner was suspended for 180 days with a

condition by consent. In accordance with an amended stipulation for consent order of discipline in which the petitioner admitted the allegations in the three-count formal complaint, Tri-Valley County Hearing Panel #2 found that the petitioner committed professional misconduct from 2013 through May 2015, by misusing her IOLTA when, on multiple occasions, she deposited earned attorney fees, personal, or business funds to her IOLTA and used her IOLTA to pay personal or business expenses. The petitioner also failed to properly handle cash funds she received on behalf of a client.

Based on the petitioner's admissions and the parties' stipulation, the hearing panel found that the petitioner held funds other than client or third-party funds in an IOLTA, in violation of MRPC 1.15(a)(3) and (d); failed to hold property of clients or third persons in connection with a representation separate from her own property, in violation of MRPC 1.15(d); deposited her own funds in an IOLTA in an amount more than reasonably necessary to pay financial institution charges or fees, in violation of MRPC 1.15(f); maintained funds in an IOLTA which were not being held in trust, in violation of MRPC 1.15A; and misused her IOLTA to directly pay her personal and/or business expenses, in violation of MRPC 1.15(a). The petitioner was also found to have violated MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(2)–(4).

The Attorney Discipline Board has assigned the reinstatement petition to Muskegon County Hearing Panel #2. A hearing is scheduled for Tuesday, August 13, 2019, beginning at 10 a.m., at the office of the Hearing Panel Chairperson, Anthony J. Kolenic Jr., 700 Terrace Pointe Rd., Ste. 350, Muskegon, MI 49440.

In the interest of maintaining the high standards imposed on the legal profession as conditions for the privilege to practice law in this state, and of protecting the public, the judiciary, and the legal profession against conduct contrary to such standards, the petitioner will be required to establish her eligibility for reinstatement by clear and convincing evidence.

Any interested person may appear at the hearing and request to be heard in support of or in opposition to the petition for reinstatement.

Any person having information bearing on the petitioner's eligibility for reinstatement should contact:

Dina P. Dajani
Senior Associate Counsel
Attorney Grievance Commission
535 Griswold, Ste. 1700
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 961-6585

REQUIREMENTS OF THE PETITIONER

The petitioner is required to establish the following by clear and convincing evidence:

1. She desires in good faith to be restored to the privilege to practice law in this state.

2. The term of the suspension or revocation of her license, whichever is applicable, has elapsed.

3. She has not practiced or attempted to practice law contrary to the requirement of her suspension or revocation.

4. She has complied fully with the terms of the order of discipline.

5. Her conduct since the order of discipline has been exemplary and above reproach.

6. She has a proper understanding of and attitude toward the standards that are imposed on members of the Bar and will conduct herself in conformity with those standards.

7. She can safely be recommended to the public, the courts, and the legal profession as a person fit to be consulted by others and to represent them and otherwise act in matters of trust and confidence, and, in general, to aid in the administration of justice as a member of the Bar and as an officer of the court.

8. If she has been out of the practice of law for three years or more, she has been recertified by the Board of Law Examiners.

9. She has reimbursed or has agreed to reimburse the Client Protection Fund any money paid from the fund as a result of her conduct. Failure to fully reimburse as agreed is grounds for revocation of a reinstatement.



Apple Podcasts



Google Play

LISTEN TODAY: SBM *On Balance* Podcast

The State Bar of Michigan podcast series, *On Balance*, features a diversified array of legal thought leaders. Hosted by JoAnn Hathaway of the Bar's Practice Management Resource Center and Tish Vincent of its Lawyers and Judges Assistance Program, the series focuses on the need for interplay between practice management and lawyer wellness for a thriving law practice.

Find *On Balance* podcasts on the State Bar of Michigan and Legal Talk Network websites at: <https://www.michbar.org/pmrc/podcast>
<https://legaltalknetwork.com/podcasts/state-bar-michigan-on-balance/>

Brought to you by the State Bar of Michigan and Legal Talk Network.