

# CRIMINAL JURISPRUDENCE & PRACTICE COMMITTEE Respectfully submits the following position on:

ADM File No. 2011-31

\*

The Criminal Jurisprudence & Practice Committee is comprised of members appointed by the President of the State Bar of Michigan.

The position expressed is that of the Criminal Jurisprudence & Practice Committee. The State Bar of Michigan has authorized the Criminal Jurisprudence & Practice Committee to advocate its position.

The State Bar of Michigan's position on this matter is to support.

The total membership of the Criminal Jurisprudence & Practice Committee is 14.

The position was adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting and a further e-vote. The number of members in the decisionmaking body is 14. The number who voted in favor to this position was 11. The number who voted opposed to this position was 0.



## **Report on Public Policy Position**

Name of Committee: Criminal Jurisprudence and Practice

**Contact persons:** Nichole Jongsma Derks J. Kevin McKay

E-Mail/Phone: nderks@fosterswift.com kevin.mckay@kentcountymi.gov

### Proposed Court Rule or Administrative Order Number:

2011-31 - Proposed Amendment of Rules 7.105, 7.111 and 7.205 of the Michigan Court Rules

The proposed changes would permit the filing of a reply brief in support of an application for leave to appeal in the circuit court and the Court of Appeals. The proposed changes were submitted by the Appellate Practice Section of the State Bar of Michigan.

#### Date position was adopted:

April 26, 2013

### Process used to take the ideological position:

Position adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting and a further e-vote.

### Number of members in the decision-making body:

14

### Number who voted in favor and opposed to the position:

11 Voted for position0 Voted against position0 Abstained from vote3 Did not vote

### **Position:**

Support

### Explanation of the position, including any recommended amendments:

The committee supports the proposed amendments based on the rationale that the appellant may have something that they want to reply to with a reply brief, given that they wouldn't have oral arguments on an application for leave to appeal.



The text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this report.

http://courts.mi.gov/Courts/MichiganSupremeCourt/rules/court-rules-admin-matters/Court%20Rules/2011-31\_2013-03-20\_formatted%20order.pdf