

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

MARLENE M. ECKERT,  
Plaintiff-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED  
March 21, 2006

v

No. 257191  
Ingham Circuit Court  
LC No. 04-2071-PP

BERNARD G. ECKERT,  
Defendant-Appellant.

---

Before: Hoekstra, P.J., and Wilder and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the denial of his motion to terminate an ex-parte personal protection order (PPO). We dismiss this appeal as moot. This case is being decided without oral argument under MCR 7.214(E).

The amended PPO at issue expired by its express terms on July, 23 2005. Our review of the record does not indicate that the respondent is subject to any continuing limitation on his freedom of action, ability to possess or purchase guns, or other adverse consequences from the now expired PPO. Similarly, the statute under which the initial and amended PPOs were authorized, MCL 600.2950, provides for no continuing consequences or limitations on respondent's freedom. The issue is therefore moot because a decision at this point to vacate the amended PPO would have "no practical legal effect." *Federated Publications, Inc v Lansing*, 467 Mich 98, 112; 649 NW2d 383 (2002); see also *In re Contempt of Dudzinski*, 257 Mich App 96, 112; 667 NW2d 68 (2003) ("Where a subsequent event renders it impossible for this Court to fashion a remedy, an issue becomes moot." [quoting *People v Rutherford*, 208 Mich App 198, 204; 526 NW2d 620 (1994)]).

Appeal dismissed as moot.

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra  
/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder  
/s/ Brian K. Zahra