

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

GREG ZALESKI,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

RAGAN MONTEMAYOR,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

July 9, 2009

No. 285617

Wayne Circuit Court

LC No. 08-109379-PH

Before: Owens, P. J., and Servitto, and Gleicher, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the trial court's order denying her motion to terminate a personal protection order (PPO). We dismiss the appeal as moot.

An issue is moot when an event occurs that makes it impossible for a reviewing court to grant relief. *In re Contempt of Dudzinski*, 257 Mich App 96, 112; 667 NW2d 68 (2003); *B P 7 v Bureau of State Lottery*, 231 Mich App 356, 359; 586 NW2d 117 (1998). Courts generally do not address moot questions or declare principles or rules of law that will have no practical legal effect. *Federated Publications, Inc v Lansing*, 467 Mich 98, 112; 649 NW2d 383 (2002), abrogated on other grounds by *Herald Co, Inc v Eastern Michigan University Bd of Regents*, 475 Mich 463, 719 NW2d 19 (2006). But a reviewing court may address a moot issue if it is one of public significance that is likely to recur yet evade judicial review. *Federated Publications, Inc.*, citing *In re Midland Publishing*, 420 Mich 148, 152 n 2; 362 NW2d 580 (1984).

Because the PPO in this case expired on April 11, 2009, it is either impossible or unnecessary for this Court to fashion any remedy, even if any of the claims were found to have merit. Because the PPO expired by its own terms, there is nothing for this Court to dissolve and a remand to the trial court for a new hearing would serve no purpose. Finally, the issues are without public significance.

Appeal dismissed.

/s/ Donald S. Owens

/s/ Deborah A. Servitto

/s/ Elizabeth L. Gleicher