## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

## GLORIA MURPHY,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED July 19, 2011

v

SEAN M. MURPHY,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 297500 Jackson Circuit Court LC No. 10-000466-PP

Before: SAAD, P.J., and JANSEN and DONOFRIO, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent Sean M. Murphy appeals the trial court's March 26, 2010 order that denied his motion to modify or terminate petitioner's personal protection order (PPO) against him. We dismiss this appeal as moot.

Generally, this Court will not review a moot issue. *B P 7 v Bureau of State Lottery*, 231 Mich App 356, 359; 586 NW2d 117 (1998). "A case is moot when it presents only abstract questions of law that do not rest upon existing facts or rights[,]" and "[a]n issue is deemed moot when an event occurs that renders it impossible for a reviewing court to grant relief." *Id*.

The PPO expired on its own terms on February 18, 2011, but respondent argues that he is still affected by the expired PPO because it remains in the Law Enforcement Information Network system. However, respondent fails to allege any future collateral consequences from the expired PPO that are distinguishable from the collateral consequences he already experiences as a result of his previous criminal convictions. We are not required to discover or rationalize a basis for respondent's claims if he merely announces a position and gives it cursory treatment. *Houghton v Keller*, 256 Mich App 336, 339; 662 NW2d 854 (2003). Furthermore, the expired PPO does not affect respondent's ability to receive Social Security Disability Insurance benefits. None of the issues respondent raises on appeal warrant review because they are not of public significance, and likely to recur yet evade judicial review. See *Detroit v Ambassador Bridge Co*, 481 Mich 29, 50; 748 NW2d 221 (2008). Accordingly, we are unable to grant meaningful relief to respondent. *B P 7*, 231 Mich App at 359.

Appeal dismissed.

/s/ Henry William Saad /s/ Kathleen Jansen /s/ Pat M. Donofrio