

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

ERIC UZZIELITES HOLMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellee.

UNPUBLISHED

May 16, 2013

No. 309111

Genesee Circuit Court

LC No. 10-093831-NP

Before: BORRELLO, P.J., and K. F. KELLY and MURRAY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Plaintiff, proceeding in propria persona, appeals as of right from a circuit court order granting defendant's motion for summary disposition pursuant to MCR 2.116(C)(10). We affirm.

The trial court in this case made a valiant effort to make sense of plaintiff's claim and issued a well-reasoned opinion for dismissing the case. Plaintiff's brief on appeal is indecipherable, providing no cognizable appellate issue. The deficiencies in plaintiff's brief are so extreme that it leaves Court to discover and rationalize the basis for his claim and unravel and elaborate his arguments, which is not our function.

It is not enough for an appellant in his brief simply to announce a position or assert an error and then leave it up to this Court to discover and rationalize the basis for his claims, or unravel and elaborate for him his arguments, and then search for authority either to sustain or reject his position. The appellant himself must first adequately prime the pump; only then does the appellate well begin to flow. [*Mitcham v. Detroit*, 355 Mich 182, 203; 94 NW2d 388 (1959).]

Accordingly, plaintiff is not entitled to relief.

Affirmed.

/s/ Stephen L. Borrello

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly

/s/ Christopher M. Murray