

## Report on Public Policy Position

**Name of section:**

Family Law Section

**Contact Person:**

James J. Harrington, III

**E-mail:**

[jjh@jjharringtonlaw.com](mailto:jjh@jjharringtonlaw.com)

**Proposed Court Rule or Administrative Order Number:**

**2007-09 - Proposed Amendment of 2.306 of the Michigan Court Rules (to require objections at depositions to be concise, nonargumentative, and nonsuggestive and to allow for the imposition of sanctions)**

This proposal would require objections to be concise, nonargumentative, and nonsuggestive, and would allow a court to impose sanctions against an attorney who fails to comply with the requirement. The proposed changes are similar to language contained in FR Civ P 30(d)(1).

**Date position was adopted:**

November 3, 2007

**Process used to take the ideological position:**

Position adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting.

**Number of members in the decision-making body:**

13

**Number who voted in favor and opposed to the position:**

13 Voted for position

0 Voted against position

0 Abstained from vote

0 Did not vote

**Position:**

Support

**Explanation of the position, including any recommended amendments:**

The Family Law Council voted 13-0 in support of this proposed Amendment to MCR 2.306 re: Objections at Depositions

**The text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this report.**

[http://courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/2007-09\(10-16-07\).pdf](http://courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/2007-09(10-16-07).pdf)