Over one million attorneys work in the United States, and while presumptively competent to practice law, many lack the basic skills necessary to open or operate a law office. Though legal knowledge is important, business acumen is increasingly relevant as more attorneys hang out their own shingles. However, without law-student training in the art of law practice management (LPM), most new and established attorneys are utterly ill-equipped to do so.

ABA Accreditation Standards and Law School Curricula

Applicants for the Michigan bar must be graduates of an accredited law school. To that end, the American Bar Association (ABA), as the accrediting body for law schools, imposes a number of educational objectives. Schools must educate students in the law, prepare them to pass the bar exam, and train them to be effective legal professionals. Students must take a basic writing and research class, fulfill a rigorous upper-level writing requirement, and are encouraged to participate in externships and clinical programs. With no actual law management course requirements, however, law schools tend to become a purely academic endeavor and ignore courses attorneys need to represent clients and themselves in a specialized and competitive profession.

Though the ABA bears the brunt of the failure, the American law school bears some responsibility. The schools fashion the actual curriculum and must provide a well-rounded education. Michigan law schools understand the need to offer an LPM course, as most already offer it as an elective. None, however, require it, and the available courses actually exacerbate the problem.

For instance, while Michigan State University (MSU) offers a course with an overview of management, organizational form, office requirements, finances, business development, ethics, and scheduling, it is largely unavailable. It is scheduled once an academic year with a 30-seat limit, or room for little more than 5 percent of eligible students. Notwithstanding, MSU is the cream of the crop compared to other schools.

The University of Detroit Mercy’s course assists “law students in developing client relations and legal marketing skills” while the University of Michigan grooms students for the prestige of the partner track or corporate law. Neither, however, includes organizational form, management, or financial skills. More troubling are Wayne State University and Ave Maria School of Law, which fail to offer an LPM course at all.

Practical Suggestion

The need for available management courses is supported by the fact that over 7,500 attorneys in Michigan are solo practitioners. In 2003, more than one quarter of all law firms in Michigan operated as solo practitioners, a percentage that has held steady for more than 20 years.

Because so many attorneys will form a law firm, it is imperative that the ABA—or the law schools themselves—adopt a requirement that every student complete an LPM course. Barring that, perhaps the State Bar should require all applicants for licensing to complete an LPM course or add practice management to the bar exam. At least bar exam review services would then cover the topic.

The course should be as comprehensive as possible, including the following topics:

- Necessity and types of liability insurance, coverage inside and outside policy limits, and costs for the various fields of practice
- Types of organizations, filing requirements, and tax and management implications
- Business development practices
- Proper management techniques, maintaining files, calendaring, conflict screening, and recordkeeping
- Economic, tax, and ethical considerations of employing staff
- Financial matters, payroll recordkeeping, billing, taxes, and trust accounts
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Creating such a course should not be too difficult, as many law schools already offer some form and there are dozens of guidebooks on the market, including the Michigan Basic Practice Handbook. One could not go wrong in building the course around the latest edition of How to Start and Build a Law Practice by Jay G. Foonberg, which is the preeminent text on the topic. Nevertheless, as comprehensive as the text is, a person cannot learn the ins and outs of law practice establishment and management from a book alone. Experienced instructors serve a valuable need. They can dialogue with the students, lecture from real-world experiences, bring a clear understanding to a topic, and, if an answer is elusive, seek the proper authority on the subject. While Mr. Foonberg’s book is excellent, its effectiveness is inherently limited. Interaction with an instructor is essential to success.

Advantages

Some academics may reject the mandatory course proposal because they fear change itself, or the law school already has too many requirements, or for any variety of reasons. Perhaps cataloguing the advantages will encourage them to reconsider.

Beyond the obvious advantages to attorneys who start or manage a firm are the applications to attorneys in any number of practice areas. Corporate attorneys will have a better frame of reference for representing clients when they have taken a nuts-and-bolts course in business creation and development. Estate planners will draft better wills and trust documents for business owners—and better understand the impacts of such documents. Prosecutors will better grasp corporate targets and cases when they have studied outside the traditional crimes of murder and burglary. Much the same can be said for many other attorneys—only the slimmest minority will never benefit.

The management and financial training will help immunize against malpractice and ethical complaints that would arise from honest mistakes in dealing with trust accounts and the like. Fewer malpractice actions may hold down the cost of malpractice insurance, which may reduce the cost to clients, not to mention the benefit to our collected reputations.

Another advantage is that the course may lead to additional options for graduates and practicing attorneys. There are a number of attorneys who might start a firm if given the chance, but have not done so because they have no frame of reference for law-firm startup.

At its heart, though, there are no practical reasons not to require this course. If the goal of law school is to produce licensed and successful attorneys, it is essential to provide students with the tools to succeed. Because licensing is so crucial, much emphasis is placed on academic subjects, but why fail to address practical needs? In this way, schools not only fail the students, they also fail themselves when they graduate students who have missed out on critical information.

Conclusion

Law schools must turn out well-rounded attorneys, not just zealous advocates. And because it is inevitable that many attorneys will go to work for themselves, failure to anticipate this arc of students’ legal careers and require an LPM course is an egregious error that cannot be overlooked.

Joseph Hobler III is a 2006 graduate of the Michigan State University College of Law. He has recently opened his own practice in Kalamazoo, focusing on criminal defense and family law, and could have used an LPM course. He is accepting referrals and can be reached at JoeHobler3@aol.com.
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