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The Michigan Medical Marihuana Act allows a caregiver to lawfully sell marijuana to a patient to whom the caregiver is connected in the state’s database. While this protects specific marijuana business activity from prosecution under state law, the law is by no means clear. Moreover, federal illegality of marijuana under the Controlled Substances Act affects all marijuana business activity.

A comprehensive analysis of all the legal issues presented by a marijuana caregiver business is not feasible in this primer. Generally, however, those issues include who may be a caregiver, grow locations and requirements, employees and cooperative activity, “overages,” banking, product transportation, and taxation.

Caregiver—natural person or entity?

The act defines a caregiver as “a person...at least 21 years old...” who also meets certain other eligibility requirements. The statute neither defines “person” nor limits it to a natural person, but the inclusion of the age requirement may indicate that only natural persons may be caregivers. The Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, which is responsible for issuing registry identification cards to caregivers, has not adopted a rule on this question, but has denied caregiver applications from nonnatural persons. If only a natural person may be a caregiver, the availability of corporate forms for business activity is irrelevant.
FAST FACTS

The Michigan Medical Marihuana Act authorizes a caregiver to sell medical marijuana on a limited basis.

Federal illegality of marijuana under the Controlled Substances Act affects all considerations of marijuana business activity.

A caregiver business model that includes any transfer of marijuana to anyone other than a connected patient is probably illegal under state law.

Even if a corporate form—including a corporation, limited liability company, or similar entity—for caregivers is allowed under the act, its efficacy to limit liability is not clear. Under long-established principles of corporate law, the liability-limiting veil of the corporate form may be disregarded by a court if, among other reasons, the entity uses the form to engage in illegal activity.

The production and sale of marijuana is illegal under federal law. That illegality might provide the basis to impose unlimited personal liability on the business owners. Additionally, the duty of good faith imposed on the managers of a business entity has been construed to prohibit a manager from engaging in illegal activity. Thus, even if a corporation or limited liability company form could be used, it is not clear that the liability-limiting features will be available.

Grow location

A caregiver may cultivate up to 72 marijuana plants in an enclosed, locked facility, which may be a room, a vehicle, or even an outdoor enclosure if the precise requirements of the statute are met. Those requirements include security devices that permit access only to a caregiver or patient in particular circumstances.

Nothing in the law prevents the caregiver from renting or leasing the premises upon or within which the enclosed, locked facility is located. Moreover, so long as the requirements are met, there does not appear to be any prohibition on locating several enclosed, locked facilities within one larger building or on a plot of land. Incidental amounts of seeds, stalks, and unusable roots may be possessed by a caregiver, apparently outside the enclosed, locked facility, thus authorizing trash disposal or composting.

A municipality may not prohibit a caregiver from engaging in authorized activities relating to medical marijuana, but reasonable restrictions on caregiver activity may be contained in local zoning and land-use regulations (e.g., “home business occupation” regulations). Such restrictions might include a prohibition on delivering marijuana to a patient at the caregiver’s residence.

Employees and assistance

Growing marijuana is not unlike many other types of cultivation—plants need regular and intensive attention. However, growing marijuana in Michigan is illegal unless the grower is a patient or a caregiver. Therefore, hiring an assistant to water and fertilize plants, harvest mature plants, or deliver marijuana to patients are all probably unlawful and could expose the assistant to possible arrest and prosecution.

Even if a caregiver managed to identify another caregiver or patient to provide the needed assistance, the strict language of the act probably does not allow a registered patient or caregiver to assist another caregiver in any activity that requires possession or control of the marijuana or access to the enclosed, locked facility where it must be cultivated. It is also possible that surrender of possession of marijuana by the caregiver to anyone other than the caregiver’s patient could be construed to be a delivery of marijuana not sanctioned by the act—a crime. In short, a caregiver business under the act will probably be a solitary undertaking, with no legal authority to include any other person in most aspects of the business activity.

Overages

Within the Michigan medical marijuana community, the word “overage” is used to describe the marijuana produced by a caregiver that exceeds the needs of the caregiver’s patients. Many within the community assert that a caregiver should be allowed to sell this overage to other caregivers for resale to patients. Indeed, based on anecdotal information, the inventory needs of Michigan dispensary businesses are supplied to some extent by the overages created by registered caregivers.

Can overages play any part in a lawful caregiver business under the act? There is nothing in the act that explicitly authorizes a caregiver to provide medical marijuana to another caregiver. The only transfers of medical marijuana explicitly authorized are transfers from registered caregivers to their registered patients. While arguments have been advanced that caregiver-to-caregiver transfers are implied in the right of a caregiver to “assist” a patient, a panel of the Michigan Court of Appeals just rejected that argument. For now, a caregiver business model that includes any transfer of marijuana to anyone other than a connected patient is probably illegal.
This primer touches on some of the most obvious legal issues faced by a caregiver business under the Michigan Medical Marihuana Act. Unless or until the law is expanded, however, any such business is limited in scope and subject to significant risks for noncompliance.

### Banking

Several federal laws prohibit banks from serving customers who are engaged in federally unlawful activity. While certain recent pronouncements by the United States Department of Justice and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network have opened the door a crack to permissible banking activities for marijuana businesses in states where marijuana is legal, many bankers continue to refuse such businesses as customers, even for a simple checking or depository account.

Many marijuana businesses in Colorado, Oregon, and other states in which marijuana is lawful have not been able to open or keep a bank account because of the product they make or sell. Without a bank, all of the business’s financial transactions—including deposits and payment of taxes—must be done in cash, which needs to be stored and transported to the caregiver's vendors, landlord, municipal and state tax collectors, and others by car, armored carrier, or otherwise. Even payments to employees and the distribution of profits must be done in cash. Misrepresentation to a bank regarding the nature of the caregiver's business activity could be a crime under money-laundering laws.

### Product transportation

The act exempts from legal liability the actions of a caregiver in assisting a patient in the “medical use of marijuana” if the conditions of the act are met. Medical use includes the “transportation of marijuana” to treat a patient. The Michigan Penal Code was amended in 2012 to regulate the transportation of usable marijuana. While constitutionally suspect, a cautious caregiver should endeavor to comply with this statute until it is determined to be inapplicable.

### Taxation

Federal Internal Revenue Code Section 280E denies most business deductions to a taxpayer engaged in drug “trafficking.” Caregiving under the act fits this statute, and a caregiver should plan to pay substantially higher federal income tax than a business not subject to § 280E.

Most bankers continue to refuse marijuana businesses as customers, even for a simple checking or depository account.