

## PETITIONER

## DANIELLE R. HAVENSTEIN

Notice is given that **Danielle R. Havenstein**, P69414, has filed a petition in the Michigan Supreme Court and with the Attorney Grievance Commission seeking reinstatement as a member of the State Bar and restoration of her license to practice law.

Effective September 17, 2016, the petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan was suspended with conditions for 179 days and until further order of the Michigan Supreme Court, the Attorney Discipline Board, or a hearing panel.

The petitioner and the grievance administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained the petitioner's admission that she was convicted, by guilty plea, of operating a vehicle under the influence of liquor, third offense, a felony, in violation of MCL 257.625(6)(D), in *People of the State of Michigan v Danielle Rene Havenstein*, Ionia County Circuit Court Case No. 2015-16613-FH. In accordance with MCR 9.120(B)(1), the petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan was automatically suspended on December 17, 2015, the date of her conviction.

Based on the petitioner's conviction and her admission in the stipulation, it was established that she engaged in conduct that violated the criminal laws of the state of Michigan, contrary to MCR 9.104(5).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that the petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 179 days. Additionally, the panel ordered that the petitioner be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct.

The grievance administrator filed a motion for an order to show cause seeking additional discipline for the petitioner's failure to comply with the hearing panel's Order of 179-Day Suspension With Conditions (By Consent), issued August 26, 2016.

Based on the stipulation of the parties to the factual allegations contained in the pe-

tion and the petitioner's admissions, the panel finds that the petitioner failed to comply with the conditions of its order of August 26, 2016, which warrants the imposition of further discipline. Specifically, that the petitioner was noncompliant with the condition that she participate in a two-year monitoring agreement with the State Bar of Michigan's Lawyers and Judges Assistance Program.

The panel ordered that the petitioner be suspended from the practice of law for 180 days. The panel also ordered that the petitioner be subject to conditions that she complies with the terms of her remaining criminal sentence; remain abstinent from nonprescription controlled substances; not engage in any conduct that results in further discipline; and, upon petitioning for reinstatement, the petitioner shall provide, along with her petition, a substance abuse assessment (dated no more than 60 days before the date the petition is filed), which provides a diagnosis, prognosis, and recommendation prepared by a therapist that maintains certification from the state of Michigan as an addiction counselor.

Effective September 17, 2016, the petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan was suspended for 180 days until further order of the Michigan Supreme Court, the Attorney Discipline Board, or a hearing panel.

A hearing is scheduled for Thursday, February 28, 2019, beginning at 10 a.m., at 230 E. Fulton, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, (616) 774-0003.

Any interested person may appear at the hearing and be heard in support of or in opposition to the petition for reinstatement. Any person having information bearing on the petitioner's eligibility for reinstatement should contact:

**Charise L. Anderson**  
**Associate Counsel**  
**Attorney Grievance Commission**  
**535 Griswold, Ste. 1700**  
**Detroit, MI 48226**  
**(313) 961-6585**

REQUIREMENTS OF  
THE PETITIONER

Pursuant to MCR 9.123(B) and in the interest of maintaining the high standards

imposed on the legal profession as conditions for the privilege of practicing law in this state, and of protecting the public, the judiciary, and the legal profession against conduct contrary to such standards, the petitioner is required to establish the following by clear and convincing evidence:

1. She desires in good faith to be restored to the privilege of practicing law in this state.

2. The term of the suspension ordered has elapsed or five years have elapsed since disbarment or resignation.

3. She has not practiced or attempted to practice law contrary to the requirement of her suspension or disbarment.

4. She has complied fully with the terms of the order of discipline.

5. Her conduct since the discipline has been exemplary and above reproach.

6. She has a proper understanding of and attitude toward the standards that are imposed on members of the Bar and will conduct herself in conformity with those standards.

7. Taking into account all of the attorney's past conduct, including the nature of the misconduct that led to the suspension or disbarment, she nevertheless can safely be recommended to the public, the courts, and the legal profession as a person fit to be consulted by others and to represent them and otherwise act in matters of trust and confidence, and, in general, to aid in the administration of justice as a member of the Bar and as an officer of the court.

8. If she has been suspended for three years or more, she has been recertified by the Board of Law Examiners.

9. She has reimbursed or has agreed to reimburse the Client Protection Fund any money paid from the fund as a result of her conduct. Failure to fully reimburse as agreed is grounds for revocation of a reinstatement.