A recent survey of young lawyers in Florida revealed that 30 percent would not attend law school if given the opportunity to do it over, while another 32 percent were undecided. Many respondents noted that their dissatisfaction stemmed from lacking a sense of accomplishment and pride in becoming a lawyer, in part because of the long hours required and daily stress.

Dissatisfaction in the profession is not a new issue, nor is it limited to young lawyers. In March 1995, then president of the State Bar of Michigan Jon Muth wrote that “[m]any lawyers search their souls for a sense of proper direction for meaning in their professional lives.” Muth quoted Sol M. Linowitz, who opined that the law had become a business rather than a profession, ruled by the hourly rate and electronic devices.

The opening plenary of the annual meeting of the National Conference of Bar Presidents in August highlighted the push to change Rule 5.4: Professional Independence of a Lawyer (see sidebar) in the hope that the changes will advance access to justice. It was a timely conversation considering that just a few blocks away from the conference, the State Bar of California was holding a hearing for public comment on the rule changes. And an Arizona task force recently voted unanimously to recommend abolishing Rule 5.4 as well.

The plenary speaker stressed that if our profession exists to provide legal services to the public, we are failing since 80 percent of the population cannot afford a lawyer. Lawyers in attendance were skeptical regarding the need to allow outside ownership and investment in law firms to curb the access problem. In a stunning coincidence, while the bar presidents in the room expressed concern that accounting firms were waiting in the wings to capitalize on a loosening of regulations by seizing market share from lawyers, the American Accounting Association was literally gathering outside, ready to begin its own annual meeting.

The concerns are well-founded. As knowledgeable and well-intended as they may be, accountants are not lawyers. There’s the obvious difference in training. But importantly, they do not swear to support the constitutions of the United States and Michigan and to maintain the respect due to courts of justice and judicial officers. They do not swear to employ only those causes consistent with truth and honor and to never reject from any consideration the causes of the defenseless or the oppressed or delay any cause for lucre or malice. They are not bound by our rules of ethics and the high standards imposed for the privilege to practice law. As officers of the court, lawyers have an ethical obligation to promote justice and effective operation of the judicial system. This is a higher calling obligating us to serve our fellow citizens rather than solely our own interests.

Is there a way to integrate the respective expertise of lawyers and accountants to provide better value to clients without sacrificing professional independence? That is...
the heart of the ongoing debate centered on Rule 5.4.

If profit is the sole driving factor for lawyers and law firms, the profession loses. The competing factors that Muth predicted are now at our door with the impending removal of regulation barriers and the imperative to address the justice gap. If we solely focus on short-term profit goals and “profits per partner,” we lose a valuable aspect of our profession that sets us apart: our role as public citizens and officers of the court. As noted by the Harvard Law School Center on the Legal Profession, our collective focus on profits and the bottom line has resulted in fewer law firm dollars allocated to pro bono service, less time devoted to the profession and participation in bar associations, and less time dedicated to broader services for society.9 The Center suggests we make a long-term investment in our profession:

It requires investment to ensure quality and excellence for clients; to train, develop and mentor new lawyers; to treat older lawyers with dignity; to represent the underrepresented; to advocate for the advancements of our profession; and to serve broader goals in broader communities. That investment requires using short-term dollars to make long-term investments in the lawyers in the firm, in the profession, in the legal system, and in society more broadly.10

If profit is the sole driving factor, we also risk losing the purpose and meaning behind the Lawyer’s Oath of Office and our satisfaction as attorneys. We are members of a profession that not only helped to build our democracy and uphold the rule of law but created a civil and just society where the law benefits and protects our families and friends in numerous ways every day. Many of us were inspired to attend law school by Atticus Finch, Thurgood Marshall, or Ruth Bader Ginsberg, yet our daily practice is not as glamorous or revolutionary. However, as officers of the court, we have opportunities to change lives and earn personal satisfaction, and the benefits that come from volunteerism and pro bono service include a longer life span, reduced stress, and lower rates of depression.11 Research shows that “serving others might also be the essence of good health.”12

Our ability to use the law and our skills to protect access to justice and the rule of law through the opportunities afforded by bar associations is one way we demonstrate our professionalism and the higher calling that brought us to law school. Those attempting to compete for legal services dollars see business opportunities but do not possess our higher purpose.

An example of our impact: Human trafficking pro bono

In my role as SBM president, I had the rewarding experience of meeting attorney Nate Knapper, who not only commented on my first President’s Page column but sent it back to me, having highlighted the access-to-justice gap and the voluntary pro bono standard.13 Knapper is an FBI agent who recently founded The Joseph Project with a goal of pairing survivors of human trafficking with pro bono attorneys who could help with their legal needs as they attempt to rebuild their lives. His vision was in line with the concept of legal first responders highlighted throughout the article.

The first survivor Knapper assisted was the catalyst for The Joseph Project. D’Tynn ran away from home when she was 12 and befriended a 70-year-old man who offered to help.14 His “help” included getting her addicted to meth, trafficking her for 18 years, and routinely beating her. He eventually beat her so severely that he dropped her off at a

---

**Rule 5.4: Professional Independence of a Lawyer**

**Law Firms and Associations**

(a) A lawyer or law firm shall not share legal fees with a nonlawyer, except that:

(1) an agreement by a lawyer with the lawyer’s firm, partner, or associate may provide for the payment of money, over a reasonable period of time after the lawyer’s death, to the lawyer’s estate or to one or more specified persons;

(2) a lawyer who purchases the practice of a deceased, disabled, or disappeared lawyer may, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1.17, pay to the estate or other representative of that lawyer the agreed-upon purchase price;

(3) a lawyer or law firm may include nonlawyer employees in a compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole or in part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and

(4) a lawyer may share court-awarded legal fees with a nonprofit organization that employed, retained or recommended employment of the lawyer in the matter.

(b) A lawyer shall not form a partnership with a nonlawyer if any of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.

(c) A lawyer shall not permit a person who recommends, employs, or pays the lawyer to render legal services for another to direct or regulate the lawyer’s professional judgment in rendering such legal services.

(d) A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association authorized to practice law for a profit, if:

(1) a nonlawyer owns any interest therein, except that a fiduciary representative of the estate of a lawyer may hold the stock or interest of the lawyer for a reasonable time during administration;

(2) a nonlawyer is a corporate director or officer thereof or occupies the position of similar responsibility in any form of association other than a corporation; or

(3) a nonlawyer has the right to direct or control the professional judgment of a lawyer.
In 2018, the National Human Trafficking Hotline received 1,038 contacts from Michigan, with 383 cases reported and another 1,358 victims and survivors identified.

Thank you

Before I close, since this is the end of my term, I want to thank each of you who took the time to read the President’s Page columns. It has been an honor and privilege to serve as SBM president. We are fortunate to have such a dedicated group of volunteer members in the committees, sections, and local and affinity bar associations, and it was a delight to meet with so many Michigan lawyers this year. Thank you for inviting me to visit with your associations and for all that you do for your communities and our legal system.

For those of you who have not worked closely with the SBM, I encourage you to consider doing so. The SBM is one of the most respected integrated bars in the nation. Its staff is diligent and committed to assisting each of us in fulfilling our ethical obligations as public citizens to seek improvements in the law, the administration of justice, and the quality of service rendered by the legal profession. I look forward to continuing to work with you in our long-term investment to benefit lawyers, the profession, the legal system, and society.

Update on professionalism

As a follow up to my December President’s Page highlighting the recommendations to improve civility that resulted from the Promoting Professionalism in the 21st Century Summit at the Hall of Justice, the Professionalism Work Group has drafted a set of professionalism principles that we hope will be adopted for all state courts in Michigan. The principles were presented to the Representative Assembly for approval on September 26. If approved, the principles will be considered by the Michigan Supreme Court. The 10 professionalism principles and accompanying commentary represent what we should expect of lawyers and judges, and I want to thank the work group and chair Ed Pappas for their efforts on our behalf.

Postscript: Since this article was submitted, the SBM has been sued in the Western District of Michigan. Filed by Lucille Taylor, the case challenges the constitutionality of the integrated bar. Members are directed to the State Bar of Michigan Statement on Federal Lawsuit on the SBM home page at https://www.michbar.org/News/NewsDetail/ nid/5644 and the link to the amicus brief filed by the SBM in the pending Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals case of Fleck v. Wetch, as well as the March 2019 President’s Page, “The Challenge to the Integrated Bar,” at https://www.michbar.org/file/barjournal/article/documents/pdf4article3621.pdf.
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MCL 600.6013 governs how to calculate the interest on a money judgment in a Michigan state court. Interest is calculated at six-month intervals in January and July of each year, from when the complaint was filed, and is compounded annually.

For a complaint filed after December 31, 1986, the rate as of July 1, 2019 is 3.235 percent. This rate includes the statutory 1 percent. But a different rule applies for a complaint filed after June 30, 2002 that is based on a written instrument with its own specified interest rate. The rate is the lesser of:

(1) 13 percent a year, compounded annually; or

(2) the specified rate, if it is fixed—or if it is variable, the variable rate when the complaint was filed if that rate was legal.

For past rates, see http://courts.mi.gov/Administration/SCAO/Resources/Documents/other/interest.pdf.

As the application of MCL 600.6013 varies depending on the circumstances, you should review the statute carefully.