his column concerns MCL 700.5507(4), which sets out 10 “statements” that someone must accept to be appointed a patient advocate—a person who can make healthcare decisions for an incapacitated patient. Naturally, these statements are incorporated in the power-of-attorney forms that name the patient advocate and describe their decision-making authority. And naturally, most forms tend to just copy the statutory language.

Let’s assume that we want patient advocates, before signing the acceptance, to actually read what they’re agreeing to and understand it. Wouldn’t it be a good idea for the 10 statements—which, again, will likely be copied—to be drafted as plainly as possible in the statute itself? I wouldn’t say that the drafting is terrible (Mucks Up in my title might be an overstatement), but it could be much better.

Following this introduction are redlined and clean versions of the 10 statements. I consider my revised statements to be the same “statements” that the statute requires. They provide the same substantive information. If I made an inadvertent change somewhere, it can easily be fixed. So the gotcha game is a loser—as always when criticizing a competent plain-language makeover. Nor does a fixable mistake (or two) nullify the point that the statutory drafting should have been clearer.

The main deficiency is that the drafting does not use the first person. The term patient advocate is used throughout, instead of I. Thus, the statements seem to be talking about some other person than the I who is accepting the appointment and signing the acceptance. First person would have made the signing personal to the patient advocate.

Incidentally, of the small group of forms that I’ve looked at so far, the only one that converted to first person was written by Making Choices Michigan. Credit where credit’s due—although there must be others out there that do likewise.

Note that, under the statute, the acceptance must include “substantially all of the following [10] statements.” I take that to mean substantially all the information, the content, in each statement, not substantially all the words. If it means the latter, the legislature has truly elevated form over substance—and compounded the effect of its drafting.

For the record, the word count in the statutory version is about 430. The revised version is shorter by about 80 words, or 19 percent.

Finally, as a side note, the Kimble Center for Legal Writing at WMU–Cooley Law School (you can Google the title for more) is working on a full durable power of attorney for healthcare. We hope to publish a draft in this column—and solicit comments from far and wide. In the meantime, comments on just the part that follows are most welcome.

1. This I can act and make decisions as patient advocate designation is not effective unless only if the patient is unable to cannot participate in decisions regarding about the patient’s medical or mental health, as applicable. My authority to act ends when the patient dies, with one exception: if this patient advocate designation includes the patient gave me the authority to make an anatomical gift donate their body or body part as described in section 5506, the authority remains exercisable after the patient dies.

---

1. It might be better to have a line for filling in the patient’s first name, but you would have to do it multiple times.
2. Yes, this is singular they. See the June 2019 column.
3. This clause is the only substantive piece added to the statutory statements. Experts tell me that it will eliminate a common—or at least possible—misunderstanding.
4. This reference will mean nothing to virtually all lay readers. And it’s unnecessary anyway.
5. This second sentence could be moved to its own paragraph. The argument for not moving it is that it relates to the time when the advocate can act.

The main deficiency [in the required statements] is that the drafting does not use the first person. First person would have made the signing personal to the patient advocate.
2. A patient advocate shall not I cannot make any decision about the patient’s care, custody, and medical or mental-health treatment that the patient has not clearly and convincingly expressed in a clear and convincing manner that the patient advocate is authorized me to make such a decision, and that

3. A patient advocate shall not receive compensation for the performance of his or her authority, rights, and responsibilities,

4. But I cannot make a medical decision about the patient’s care, custody, and medical or mental-health treatment that the patient is pregnant

5. The patient may revoke his or her patient advocate designation at any time and in any manner sufficient to way that communicates an intent to revoke.

6. When making decisions for the patient, A patient advocate I shall must:

7. The patent may revoke his or her patient advocate designation my appointment at any time and in any manner sufficient to way that communicates an intent to revoke.

8. The patient may waive give up his or her right to revoke the patient advocate designation as to the power to make mental-health-treatment decisions, and if such a waiver is made, his or her ability to revoke as to certain treatment will be delayed for 30 days after the patient communicates his or her intent to revoke. Later, if the patient revokes my appointment, I will still have the power to make mental-health-treatment decisions for 30 days.

9. A patient advocate I may revoke his or her acceptance of the patient advocate designation appointment at any time and in any manner sufficient to way that communicates an intent to revoke.

10. A patient admitted to a health facility or agency has the rights enumerated set out in section 20201 of the public-health code, 4078 PA 268, MCL 333.20201 found in Michigan Compiled Laws 333.20201.3

1. I can act and make decisions as patient advocate only if the patient cannot participate in decisions about their medical or mental health, as applicable. My authority to act ends when the patient dies, with one exception: if the patient gave me the authority to donate their body or body part, I can do that after the patient dies.

2. I cannot make any decision about the patient’s care, custody, and medical or mental-health treatment that the patient—if able to participate—could not have made on their own.

3. I can decide to withhold or withdraw treatment—even if the patient could or would die as a result—only if the patient has clearly and convincingly acknowledged that the decision could or would allow the patient’s result in their death.

4. But I cannot make a medical decision to withhold or withdraw treatment from
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a patient who is pregnant if doing so would result in her death.

5. I am not paid for carrying out my responsibilities, but I may be reimbursed for my actual and necessary expenses.

6. When making decisions for the patient, I must:
   - act in accordance with the standards of care that apply to fiduciaries (trusted persons), and
   - act consistent with the patient’s best interests, and
   - follow the patient’s desires that I know about, as expressed or evidenced while the patient was able to participate in medical or mental-health decisions.

7. The patient may revoke my appointment at any time and in any way that communicates an intent to revoke.

8. The patient may give up their right to revoke my power to make mental-health-treatment decisions. Later, if the patient revokes my appointment, I will still have the power to make mental-health-treatment decisions for 30 days.

9. I may revoke my acceptance of this appointment at any time and in any way that communicates my intent to revoke.

10. A patient admitted to a health facility or agency has the rights set out in the public-health code, found in Michigan Compiled Laws 333.20201.
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