Prior to is a “faddish affectation for before. Would you say posterior to in place of after?”

Roy H. Copperud, American Usage and Style The Consensus 305 (1980): Prior to is “pompous in the sense before.”

Bryan A. Garner, A Dictionary of Modern Legal Usage 692 (2d ed. 1995): “Prior to is a terribly overworked lawyerism. Only in rare contexts is it not much inferior to before.”

Sir Ernest Gowers, The Complete Plain Words 110 (Sydney Greenbaum & Janet Whitcut eds., rev. ed. 1986): “There is no good reason to use prior to as a preposition instead of before. Before is simpler, better known and more natural, and therefore preferable.”

Joseph Kimble, A Modest Wish List for Legal Writing, 79 Mich. B.J. 1574, 1577 (2000): “Prior to takes the booby prize for the most common inflated phrase in legal and official writing. Why would anyone prefer it to before? Try to think of a single literary title or line that uses prior to.... By itself, prior to may seem insignificant. But it often leads to clumsy, indirect constructions.... More important, a fondness for prior to may indicate a fondness for jargon—and a blind resistance to using plain words. That resistance, that cast of mind, is in large part responsible for the state of legal writing.”
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