

# State Bar of Michigan -2010

---

## ALL ACTIVE MEMBERS BY US RESIDENCY, 2010

	Frequency	Percent
US RESIDENT	38563	99.5
NON US RESIDENT	187	.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>38750</b>	<b>100.0</b>

## ALL ACTIVE MEMBERS BY MICHIGAN RESIDENCY OR NON MICHIGAN RESIDENCY, 2010

	Frequency	Percent
MICHIGAN RESIDENT	33063	85.3
NON RESIDENT	5687	14.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>38750</b>	<b>100.0</b>

## ACTIVE MICHIGAN MEMBERS BY GENDER 2010

	Frequency	Percent
FEMALE	10449	31.6
MALE	22614	68.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>33063</b>	<b>100.0</b>

## ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY GENERATION, 2010

	Frequency	Percent
TRADITIONALISTS PRE 1944	3900	11.8
BOOMERS 1944-1960	14306	43.3
GEN X 1961-1980	13306	40.2
MILLENNIALS 1981 +	1551	4.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>33063</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY GENERATION AND GENDER, 2010**

		FEMALE	MALE	TOTAL
<b>TRADITIONALISTS PRE 1944</b>	N	373	3527	3900
	%	9.6%	90.4%	100.0%
<b>BOOMERS 1944-1960</b>	N	3671	10635	14306
	%	25.7%	74.3%	100.0%
<b>GEN X 1961-1980</b>	N	5633	7673	13306
	%	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%
<b>MILLENNIALS 1981 +</b>	N	772	779	1551
	%	49.8%	50.2%	100.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	N	10449	22614	33063
	%	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENERATION (EXCLUDES NO ANSWER AND PREFER NOT TO ANSWER, 2010)**

		TRADITIONALISTS PRE 1944	BOOMERS 1944-1960	GEN X 1961-1980	MILLENNIALS 1981 +	TOTAL
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN</b>	N	9	32	51	5	97
	%	.3%	.3%	.5%	.5%	.4%
<b>ASIAN-PACIFIC ISLANDER</b>	N	3	65	257	38	363
	%	.1%	.6%	2.4%	4.1%	1.5%
<b>AFRICAN ORIGIN</b>	N	99	648	638	39	1424
	%	3.6%	6.3%	6.0%	4.2%	5.8%
<b>EUROPEAN</b>	N	2582	9119	8540	615	20856
	%	93.0%	88.3%	80.9%	66.5%	84.8%
<b>HISPANIC-LATINO</b>	N	3	92	180	15	290
	%	.1%	.9%	1.7%	1.6%	1.2%
<b>MULTI-RACIAL</b>	N	33	140	184	25	382
	%	1.2%	1.4%	1.7%	2.7%	1.6%
<b>ARAB</b>	N	18	49	198	49	314
	%	.6%	.5%	1.9%	5.3%	1.3%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENERATION (EXCLUDES NO ANSWER AND PREFER NOT TO ANSWER, 2010)**

		<b>TRADITIONALISTS PRE 1944</b>	<b>BOOMERS 1944-1960</b>	<b>GEN X 1961-1980</b>	<b>MILLENNIALS 1981 +</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN</b>	N	30	187	512	139	868
	%	1.1%	1.8%	4.8%	15.0%	3.5%
<b>Total</b>	N	2777	10332	10560	925	24594
	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY RACE/ETHNICITY (EXCLUDES NO ANSWER AND PREFER NOT TO ANSWER), 2010**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN</b>	97	.4
<b>ASIAN-PACIFIC ISLANDER</b>	363	1.5
<b>AFRICAN ORIGIN</b>	1424	5.8
<b>EUROPEAN</b>	20856	84.8
<b>HISPANIC-LATINO</b>	290	1.2
<b>MULTI-RACIAL</b>	382	1.6
<b>ARAB</b>	314	1.3
<b>OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN</b>	868	3.5
<b>Total</b>	24594	100.0

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS MEMBERS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND ADMIT YEAR, 2010**

	<b>AMERICAN INDIAN</b>	<b>ASIAN- PACIFIC ISLANDER</b>	<b>AFRICAN ORIGIN</b>	<b>EUROPEAN</b>	<b>HISPANIC- LATINO</b>	<b>MULTI- RACIAL</b>	<b>ARAB</b>	<b>OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>1980</b>	1	3	39	485	3	12	4	8	555
<b>1981</b>	1	5	33	508	5	13	3	5	573
<b>1982</b>	2	1	40	473	6	5	2	10	539
<b>1983</b>	0	3	43	488	5	4	3	9	555
<b>1984</b>	1	3	31	485	8	8	5	8	549
<b>1985</b>	2	4	49	542	6	7	2	7	619

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS MEMBERS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND ADMIT YEAR, 2010**

	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN- PACIFIC ISLANDER	AFRICAN ORIGIN	EUROPEAN	HISPANIC- LATINO	MULTI- RACIAL	ARAB	OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN	TOTAL
1986	3	2	36	501	6	6	6	11	571
1987	2	4	34	557	5	7	2	9	620
1988	3	1	36	514	10	7	8	11	590
1989	1	3	40	506	5	7	3	13	578
1990	4	3	28	557	9	6	1	10	618
1991	4	6	37	551	8	12	6	5	629
1992	2	8	42	605	9	10	3	11	690
1993	2	13	46	580	5	6	3	9	664
1994	0	5	25	551	4	6	6	8	605
1995	3	13	28	558	6	6	9	10	633
1996	1	11	57	642	14	11	4	14	754
1997	3	21	61	602	16	36	6	11	756
1998	1	12	48	535	8	10	13	14	641
1999	7	4	51	514	5	7	6	9	603
2000	5	14	50	571	9	8	16	2	675
2001	7	13	37	390	10	4	11	27	499
2002	5	26	53	418	8	11	19	25	565
2003	1	17	36	430	12	8	18	42	564
2004	3	16	36	473	14	10	18	44	614
2005	7	15	37	358	15	15	15	91	553
2006	2	36	44	448	23	17	17	113	700
2007	2	28	48	382	14	20	32	91	617
2008	5	26	21	381	5	14	22	77	551
2009	4	28	26	365	18	18	16	72	547
2010	1	9	11	140	4	6	2	22	195
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>1203</b>	<b>15110</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>18422</b>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY LAW SCHOOL, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
Ave Maria School of Law	138	.4
MSU/DCL	5774	17.5
Not Reported	472	1.4
Other Law School	6851	20.7
Thomas M. Cooley Law School	4871	14.7
University of Detroit Mercy School of Law	4653	14.1
University of Michigan Law School	3231	9.8
Wayne State University Law School	7073	21.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>33063</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY OCCUPATIONAL AREA, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
ACADEMIA	593	1.8
CORPORATE COUNSEL	2744	8.3
GOVERNMENT	2906	8.8
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS	79	.2
JUDICIARY	1181	3.6
LEGAL SERVICES	2274	6.9
LAW SCHOOL	128	.4
MILITARY	41	.1
NON-LAW RELATED	1403	4.2
NOT EMPLOYED - NOT SEEKING EMP	321	1.0
NOT EMPLOYED - SEEKING EMP	594	1.8
NONPROFIT ORG	334	1.0
OTHER	653	2.0
PRIVATE PRACTICE	16806	50.8
RETIRED	1285	3.9
NOT REPORTED	1721	5.2

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY OCCUPATIONAL AREA, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Total</b>	<b>33063</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**ALL MEMBERS JOINING THE BAR BY GENDER (NOT JUST ACTIVE MEMBERS), 2010**

		MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
<b>1980</b>	N	923	338	1261
	%	73.2%	26.8%	100.0%
<b>1981</b>	N	907	404	1311
	%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
<b>1982</b>	N	846	371	1217
	%	69.5%	30.5%	100.0%
<b>1983</b>	N	796	390	1186
	%	67.1%	32.9%	100.0%
<b>1984</b>	N	757	390	1147
	%	66.0%	34.0%	100.0%
<b>1985</b>	N	872	437	1309
	%	66.6%	33.4%	100.0%
<b>1986</b>	N	746	394	1140
	%	65.4%	34.6%	100.0%
<b>1987</b>	N	767	422	1189
	%	64.5%	35.5%	100.0%
<b>1988</b>	N	694	402	1096
	%	63.3%	36.7%	100.0%
<b>1989</b>	N	610	413	1023
	%	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%
<b>1990</b>	N	642	418	1060
	%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
<b>1991</b>	N	597	430	1027
	%	58.1%	41.9%	100.0%
<b>1992</b>	N	720	477	1197
	%	60.2%	39.8%	100.0%
<b>1993</b>	N	712	472	1184
	%	60.1%	39.9%	100.0%

**ALL MEMBERS JOINING THE BAR BY GENDER (NOT JUST ACTIVE MEMBERS), 2010**

		MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
<b>1994</b>	N	658	451	1109
	%	59.3%	40.7%	100.0%
<b>1995</b>	N	614	502	1116
	%	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%
<b>1996</b>	N	729	518	1247
	%	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
<b>1997</b>	N	726	519	1245
	%	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
<b>1998</b>	N	623	483	1106
	%	56.3%	43.7%	100.0%
<b>1999</b>	N	531	446	977
	%	54.4%	45.6%	100.0%
<b>2000</b>	N	543	451	994
	%	54.6%	45.4%	100.0%
<b>2001</b>	N	507	422	929
	%	54.6%	45.4%	100.0%
<b>2002</b>	N	551	489	1040
	%	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%
<b>2003</b>	N	534	418	952
	%	56.1%	43.9%	100.0%
<b>2004</b>	N	531	496	1027
	%	51.7%	48.3%	100.0%
<b>2005</b>	N	591	434	1025
	%	57.7%	42.3%	100.0%
<b>2006</b>	N	665	580	1245
	%	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%
<b>2007</b>	N	564	526	1090
	%	51.7%	48.3%	100.0%
<b>2008</b>	N	588	474	1062
	%	55.4%	44.6%	100.0%
<b>2009</b>	N	561	465	1026

**ALL MEMBERS JOINING THE BAR BY GENDER (NOT JUST ACTIVE MEMBERS), 2010**

		MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
	%	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
<b>2010</b>	N	235	240	475
	%	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	20340	13672	34012
	%	59.8%	40.2%	100.0%

	Frequency	Percent
<b>LARGE 21-99</b>	2004	11.9
<b>MEDIUM 11-20</b>	965	5.7
<b>NOT APPLICABLE</b>	65	.4
<b>SMALL 2-10</b>	5438	32.4
<b>SOLO FULL TIME</b>	4719	28.1
<b>SOLO PART TIME</b>	1711	10.2
<b>VERY LARGE 100+</b>	1565	9.3
<b>NOT AVAILABLE</b>	339	2.0
<b>Total</b>	16806	100.0

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY COUNTY, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Not Reported</b>	6	.0
<b>Alcona</b>	15	.0
<b>Alger</b>	10	.0
<b>Allegan</b>	112	.3
<b>Alpena</b>	54	.2
<b>Antrim</b>	54	.2
<b>Arenac</b>	9	.0
<b>Atrim</b>	1	.0
<b>Baraga</b>	5	.0
<b>Barry</b>	60	.2



**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY COUNTY, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
Bay	177	.5
Benzie	27	.1
Berrien	285	.9
Branch	41	.1
Calhoun	224	.7
Cass	54	.2
Charlevoix	47	.1
Cheboygan	52	.2
Chippewa	60	.2
Clare	35	.1
Clinton	120	.4
Crawford	22	.1
Delta	61	.2
Dickinson	48	.1
Eaton	276	.8
Emmet	123	.4
Genesee	764	2.3
Gladwin	21	.1
Gogebic	27	.1
Grand traverse	37	.1
Grand Traverse	367	1.1
Gratiot	34	.1
Hillsdale	35	.1
Houghton	39	.1
Huron	38	.1
Ingham	2469	7.5
Ionia	57	.2
Iosco	35	.1
Iron	20	.1
Isabella	104	.3
Jackson	269	.8
Kalamazoo	717	2.2
Kalkaska	6	.0

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY COUNTY, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Kent</b>	2368	7.2
<b>Keweenaw</b>	4	.0
<b>Lake</b>	6	.0
<b>Lapeer</b>	115	.3
<b>Leelanau</b>	78	.2
<b>Lenawee</b>	111	.3
<b>Livingston</b>	395	1.2
<b>Luce</b>	10	.0
<b>Mackinac</b>	16	.0
<b>Macomb</b>	1859	5.6
<b>Manistee</b>	39	.1
<b>Marquette</b>	132	.4
<b>Mason</b>	36	.1
<b>Mecosta</b>	53	.2
<b>Menominee</b>	20	.1
<b>Midland</b>	224	.7
<b>Missaukee</b>	7	.0
<b>Monroe</b>	223	.7
<b>Montcalm</b>	47	.1
<b>Montmorency</b>	8	.0
<b>Muskegon</b>	236	.7
<b>Newaygo</b>	43	.1
<b>Oakland</b>	10420	31.5
<b>Oceana</b>	24	.1
<b>Ogemaw</b>	27	.1
<b>Ontonagon</b>	4	.0
<b>Osceola</b>	16	.0
<b>Oscoda</b>	6	.0
<b>Otsego</b>	58	.2
<b>Ottawa</b>	334	1.0
<b>Presque Isle</b>	12	.0
<b>Roscommon</b>	21	.1
<b>Saginaw</b>	449	1.4

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY COUNTY, 2010**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Saint Clair</b>	<i>220</i>	<i>.7</i>
<b>Saint Joseph</b>	<i>57</i>	<i>.2</i>
<b>Sanilac</b>	<i>40</i>	<i>.1</i>
<b>Schoolcraft</b>	<i>6</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>Shiawassee</b>	<i>93</i>	<i>.3</i>
<b>Tuscola</b>	<i>41</i>	<i>.1</i>
<b>Van Buren</b>	<i>78</i>	<i>.2</i>
<b>Washtenaw</b>	<i>1628</i>	<i>4.9</i>
<b>Wayne</b>	<i>6429</i>	<i>19.4</i>
<b>Wexford</b>	<i>53</i>	<i>.2</i>
<b>Total</b>	<i>33063</i>	<i>100.0</i>

**ACTIVE MEMBERS BY STATE OF RESIDENCY, 2010**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>AK</b>	<i>10</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>AL</b>	<i>20</i>	<i>.1</i>
<b>AR</b>	<i>17</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>AZ</b>	<i>161</i>	<i>.4</i>
<b>CA</b>	<i>447</i>	<i>1.2</i>
<b>CO</b>	<i>100</i>	<i>.3</i>
<b>CT</b>	<i>46</i>	<i>.1</i>
<b>DC</b>	<i>365</i>	<i>.9</i>
<b>DE</b>	<i>7</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>FL</b>	<i>645</i>	<i>1.7</i>
<b>GA</b>	<i>132</i>	<i>.3</i>
<b>HI</b>	<i>17</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>IA</b>	<i>24</i>	<i>.1</i>
<b>ID</b>	<i>8</i>	<i>.0</i>
<b>IL</b>	<i>596</i>	<i>1.5</i>

**ACTIVE MEMBERS BY STATE OF RESIDENCY, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
IN	238	.6
KS	23	.1
KY	47	.1
LA	23	.1
MA	82	.2
MD	137	.4
ME	7	.0
MI	33063	85.7
MN	77	.2
MO	55	.1
MS	16	.0
MT	11	.0
NC	155	.4
ND	3	.0
NE	20	.1
NH	13	.0
NJ	78	.2
NM	27	.1
NV	43	.1
NY	173	.4
OH	577	1.5
OK	15	.0
OR	33	.1
PA	110	.3
RI	9	.0
SC	56	.1
SD	4	.0
TN	70	.2
TX	260	.7
UT	16	.0
VA	294	.8
VT	4	.0
WA	76	.2

**ACTIVE MEMBERS BY STATE OF RESIDENCY, 2010**

	Frequency	Percent
WI	136	.4
WV	9	.0
WY	8	.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>38563</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY OCCUPATIONAL AREA AND NUMBER OF SECTION MEMBERSHIPS, 2010**

		NONE	1	2	3	4	5	6 OR MORE	TOTAL
<b>ACADEMIA</b>	N	339	143	66	26	11	5	3	593
	%	57.2%	24.1%	11.1%	4.4%	1.9%	.8%	.5%	100.0%
<b>CORPORATE COUNSEL</b>	N	1197	823	417	175	89	23	20	2744
	%	43.6%	30.0%	15.2%	6.4%	3.2%	.8%	.7%	100.0%
<b>GOVERNMENT</b>	N	1830	641	263	93	54	13	12	2906
	%	63.0%	22.1%	9.1%	3.2%	1.9%	.4%	.4%	100.0%
<b>GOVERNMENT RELATIONS</b>	N	41	17	12	3	4	1	1	79
	%	51.9%	21.5%	15.2%	3.8%	5.1%	1.3%	1.3%	100.0%
<b>JUDICIARY</b>	N	741	239	114	39	34	10	4	1181
	%	62.7%	20.2%	9.7%	3.3%	2.9%	.8%	.3%	100.0%
<b>LEGAL SERVICES</b>	N	919	644	366	175	119	21	30	2274
	%	40.4%	28.3%	16.1%	7.7%	5.2%	.9%	1.3%	100.0%
<b>LAW SCHOOL</b>	N	66	25	20	5	9	1	2	128
	%	51.6%	19.5%	15.6%	3.9%	7.0%	.8%	1.6%	100.0%
<b>MILITARY</b>	N	33	2	2	0	4	0	0	41
	%	80.5%	4.9%	4.9%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>NON-LAW RELATED</b>	N	1017	234	90	30	25	4	3	1403
	%	72.5%	16.7%	6.4%	2.1%	1.8%	.3%	.2%	100.0%
<b>NOT EMPLOYED - NOT SEEKING EMP</b>	N	272	35	7	0	7	0	0	321
	%	84.7%	10.9%	2.2%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>NOT EMPLOYED - SEEKING EMP</b>	N	323	76	30	17	140	5	3	594
	%	54.4%	12.8%	5.1%	2.9%	23.6%	.8%	.5%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY OCCUPATIONAL AREA AND NUMBER OF SECTION MEMBERSHIPS, 2010**

		NONE	1	2	3	4	5	6 OR MORE	TOTAL
<b>NONPROFIT ORG</b>	N	181	76	38	25	11	2	1	334
	%	54.2%	22.8%	11.4%	7.5%	3.3%	.6%	.3%	100.0%
<b>OTHER</b>	N	395	151	59	15	29	2	2	653
	%	60.5%	23.1%	9.0%	2.3%	4.4%	.3%	.3%	100.0%
<b>PRIVATE PRACTICE</b>	N	5711	4642	3544	1613	848	252	196	16806
	%	34.0%	27.6%	21.1%	9.6%	5.0%	1.5%	1.2%	100.0%
<b>RETIRED</b>	N	1020	194	49	13	4	3	2	1285
	%	79.4%	15.1%	3.8%	1.0%	.3%	.2%	.2%	100.0%
<b>NOT REPORTED</b>	N	779	266	167	73	385	19	32	1721
	%	45.3%	15.5%	9.7%	4.2%	22.4%	1.1%	1.9%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	14864	8208	5244	2302	1773	361	311	33063
	%	45.0%	24.8%	15.9%	7.0%	5.4%	1.1%	.9%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY FIRM SIZE AND NUMBER OF SECTION MEMBERSHIPS, 2010**

		NONE	1	2	3	4	5	6 OR MORE	TOTAL
<b>LARGE 21-99</b>	N	259	600	655	323	120	34	13	2004
	%	12.9%	29.9%	32.7%	16.1%	6.0%	1.7%	.6%	100.0%
<b>MEDIUM 11-20</b>	N	230	328	220	93	64	20	10	965
	%	23.8%	34.0%	22.8%	9.6%	6.6%	2.1%	1.0%	100.0%
<b>NOT APPLICABLE</b>	N	46	7	4	3	5	0	0	65
	%	70.8%	10.8%	6.2%	4.6%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>SMALL 2-10</b>	N	1865	1574	1077	502	280	77	63	5438
	%	34.3%	28.9%	19.8%	9.2%	5.1%	1.4%	1.2%	100.0%
<b>SOLO FULL TIME</b>	N	2062	1157	760	362	216	82	80	4719
	%	43.7%	24.5%	16.1%	7.7%	4.6%	1.7%	1.7%	100.0%
<b>SOLO PART TIME</b>	N	1003	386	176	79	42	11	14	1711
	%	58.6%	22.6%	10.3%	4.6%	2.5%	.6%	.8%	100.0%
<b>VERY LARGE 100+</b>	N	124	488	590	231	98	24	10	1565
	%	7.9%	31.2%	37.7%	14.8%	6.3%	1.5%	.6%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY FIRM SIZE AND NUMBER OF SECTION MEMBERSHIPS, 2010**

		NONE	1	2	3	4	5	6 OR MORE	TOTAL
<b>NOT AVAILABLE</b>	N	122	102	62	20	23	4	6	339
	%	36.0%	30.1%	18.3%	5.9%	6.8%	1.2%	1.8%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	5711	4642	3544	1613	848	252	196	16806
	%	34.0%	27.6%	21.1%	9.6%	5.0%	1.5%	1.2%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY GENERATION, GENDER AND RACE/ETHNICITY, 2010**

			AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN-PACIFIC ISLANDER	AFRICAN ORIGIN	EUROPEAN	HISPANIC-LATINO	MULTI-RACIAL	ARAB	OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN	TOTAL
<b>TRADITIONALISTS 1944</b>	<b>MALE</b>	N	7	3	72	2336	2	33	17	28	2498
		%	.3%	.1%	2.9%	93.5%	.1%	1.3%	.7%	1.1%	100.0%
	<b>FEMALE</b>	N	2	0	27	246	1	0	1	2	279
		%	.7%	.0%	9.7%	88.2%	.4%	.0%	.4%	.7%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	9	3	99	2582	3	33	18	30	2777
		%	.3%	.1%	3.6%	93.0%	.1%	1.2%	.6%	1.1%	100.0%
<b>BOOMERS 1944-1960</b>	<b>MALE</b>	N	23	41	356	6745	61	109	39	114	7488
		%	.3%	.5%	4.8%	90.1%	.8%	1.5%	.5%	1.5%	100.0%
	<b>FEMALE</b>	N	9	24	292	2374	31	31	10	73	2844
		%	.3%	.8%	10.3%	83.5%	1.1%	1.1%	.4%	2.6%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	32	65	648	9119	92	140	49	187	10332
		%	.3%	.6%	6.3%	88.3%	.9%	1.4%	.5%	1.8%	100.0%
<b>GEN X 1961-1980</b>	<b>MALE</b>	N	31	120	232	5171	92	96	106	229	6077
		%	.5%	2.0%	3.8%	85.1%	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	3.8%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENT MEMBERS BY GENERATION, GENDER AND RACE/ETHNICITY, 2010**

			AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN-PACIFIC ISLANDER	AFRICAN ORIGIN	EUROPEAN	HISPANIC-LATINO	MULTI-RACIAL	ARAB	OTHER ETHNIC ORIGIN	TOTAL
	<b>FEMALE</b>	N	20	137	406	3369	88	88	92	283	4483
		%	.4%	3.1%	9.1%	75.2%	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	6.3%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	51	257	638	8540	180	184	198	512	10560
		%	.5%	2.4%	6.0%	80.9%	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	4.8%	100.0%
<b>MILLENNIALS 1981 +</b>	<b>MALE</b>	N	1	12	19	338	9	9	30	57	475
		%	.2%	2.5%	4.0%	71.2%	1.9%	1.9%	6.3%	12.0%	100.0%
	<b>FEMALE</b>	N	4	26	20	277	6	16	19	82	450
		%	.9%	5.8%	4.4%	61.6%	1.3%	3.6%	4.2%	18.2%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	5	38	39	615	15	25	49	139	925
		%	.5%	4.1%	4.2%	66.5%	1.6%	2.7%	5.3%	15.0%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	TOTAL
<b>Not Answered</b>	N	4	2	0	0	0	6
	%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Alcona</b>	N	0	2	3	2	8	15
	%	.0%	13.3%	20.0%	13.3%	53.3%	100.0%
<b>Alger</b>	N	1	0	3	3	3	10
	%	10.0%	.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	100.0%
<b>Allegan</b>	N	6	14	20	28	44	112
	%	5.4%	12.5%	17.9%	25.0%	39.3%	100.0%
<b>Alpena</b>	N	5	5	7	18	19	54
	%	9.3%	9.3%	13.0%	33.3%	35.2%	100.0%
<b>Antrim</b>	N	0	1	7	9	37	54
	%	.0%	1.9%	13.0%	16.7%	68.5%	100.0%



**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	TOTAL
<b>Arenac</b>	N	1	0	3	1	4	9
	%	11.1%	.0%	33.3%	11.1%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Atrim</b>	N	0	0	0	0	1	1
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Baraga</b>	N	0	1	0	3	1	5
	%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Barry</b>	N	0	9	17	14	20	60
	%	.0%	15.0%	28.3%	23.3%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Bay</b>	N	8	24	32	58	55	177
	%	4.5%	13.6%	18.1%	32.8%	31.1%	100.0%
<b>Benzie</b>	N	1	2	5	5	14	27
	%	3.7%	7.4%	18.5%	18.5%	51.9%	100.0%
<b>Berrien</b>	N	7	40	60	82	96	285
	%	2.5%	14.0%	21.1%	28.8%	33.7%	100.0%
<b>Branch</b>	N	3	5	6	10	17	41
	%	7.3%	12.2%	14.6%	24.4%	41.5%	100.0%
<b>Calhoun</b>	N	5	25	59	66	69	224
	%	2.2%	11.2%	26.3%	29.5%	30.8%	100.0%
<b>Cass</b>	N	1	9	6	17	21	54
	%	1.9%	16.7%	11.1%	31.5%	38.9%	100.0%
<b>Charlevoix</b>	N	2	4	11	12	18	47
	%	4.3%	8.5%	23.4%	25.5%	38.3%	100.0%
<b>Cheboygan</b>	N	1	7	8	15	21	52
	%	1.9%	13.5%	15.4%	28.8%	40.4%	100.0%
<b>Chippewa</b>	N	2	12	13	17	16	60
	%	3.3%	20.0%	21.7%	28.3%	26.7%	100.0%
<b>Clare</b>	N	2	4	12	6	11	35
	%	5.7%	11.4%	34.3%	17.1%	31.4%	100.0%
<b>Clinton</b>	N	3	22	22	23	50	120
	%	2.5%	18.3%	18.3%	19.2%	41.7%	100.0%
<b>Crawford</b>	N	2	5	6	4	5	22
	%	9.1%	22.7%	27.3%	18.2%	22.7%	100.0%
<b>Delta</b>	N	5	6	3	23	24	61

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		<b>29 or Under</b>	<b>30 to 39</b>	<b>40 to 49</b>	<b>50 to 59</b>	<b>60 or Over</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>37.7%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Dickinson</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Eaton</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>276</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Emmet</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>123</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Genesee</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>764</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Gladwin</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Gogebic</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>27</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Grand Traverse</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>404</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Gratiot</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>34</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Hillsdale</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Houghton</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>39</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>35.9%</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Huron</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>38</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ingham</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>2469</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Ionia</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>57</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>31.6%</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Iosco</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Iron</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Isabella</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>104</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	TOTAL
<b>Jackson</b>	N	11	38	41	82	97	269
	%	4.1%	14.1%	15.2%	30.5%	36.1%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	26	120	153	222	196	717
	%	3.6%	16.7%	21.3%	31.0%	27.3%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	0	2	0	2	2	6
	%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	119	477	559	676	537	2368
	%	5.0%	20.1%	23.6%	28.5%	22.7%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	0	1	0	1	2	4
	%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	0	1	4	1	6
	%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	5	18	31	25	36	115
	%	4.3%	15.7%	27.0%	21.7%	31.3%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	0	11	9	21	37	78
	%	.0%	14.1%	11.5%	26.9%	47.4%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	3	17	24	28	39	111
	%	2.7%	15.3%	21.6%	25.2%	35.1%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	7	65	111	82	130	395
	%	1.8%	16.5%	28.1%	20.8%	32.9%	100.0%
<b>Luce</b>	N	0	1	2	0	7	10
	%	.0%	10.0%	20.0%	.0%	70.0%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	0	2	2	4	8	16
	%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	100	356	415	497	491	1859
	%	5.4%	19.2%	22.3%	26.7%	26.4%	100.0%
<b>Manistee</b>	N	1	3	9	7	19	39
	%	2.6%	7.7%	23.1%	17.9%	48.7%	100.0%
<b>Marquette</b>	N	5	21	23	41	42	132
	%	3.8%	15.9%	17.4%	31.1%	31.8%	100.0%
<b>Mason</b>	N	3	2	6	8	17	36
	%	8.3%	5.6%	16.7%	22.2%	47.2%	100.0%
<b>Mecosta</b>	N	1	5	11	16	20	53

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		<b>29 or Under</b>	<b>30 to 39</b>	<b>40 to 49</b>	<b>50 to 59</b>	<b>60 or Over</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
	<b>%</b>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>9.4%</i>	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>30.2%</i>	<i>37.7%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Menominee</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>20</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>10.0%</i>	<i>50.0%</i>	<i>40.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Midland</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>7</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>224</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>3.1%</i>	<i>15.6%</i>	<i>29.0%</i>	<i>28.1%</i>	<i>24.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Missaukee</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>7</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>42.9%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>57.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Monroe</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>13</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>223</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>5.8%</i>	<i>19.3%</i>	<i>24.2%</i>	<i>24.2%</i>	<i>26.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Montcalm</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>47</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>10.6%</i>	<i>17.0%</i>	<i>17.0%</i>	<i>19.1%</i>	<i>36.2%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Montmorency</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>8</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>12.5%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>50.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Muskegon</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>8</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>236</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>15.3%</i>	<i>20.8%</i>	<i>28.4%</i>	<i>32.2%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Newaygo</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>43</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>7.0%</i>	<i>25.6%</i>	<i>14.0%</i>	<i>25.6%</i>	<i>27.9%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Oakland</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>505</i>	<i>1901</i>	<i>2554</i>	<i>2643</i>	<i>2817</i>	<i>10420</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>4.8%</i>	<i>18.2%</i>	<i>24.5%</i>	<i>25.4%</i>	<i>27.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Oceana</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>24</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>4.2%</i>	<i>8.3%</i>	<i>25.0%</i>	<i>25.0%</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Ogemaw</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>27</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>3.7%</i>	<i>3.7%</i>	<i>33.3%</i>	<i>29.6%</i>	<i>29.6%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Ontonagon</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>25.0%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>25.0%</i>	<i>50.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Osceola</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>16</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>6.3%</i>	<i>6.3%</i>	<i>18.8%</i>	<i>31.3%</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Oscoda</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>16.7%</i>	<i>33.3%</i>	<i>50.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Otsego</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>58</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>3.4%</i>	<i>10.3%</i>	<i>10.3%</i>	<i>37.9%</i>	<i>37.9%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Ottawa</b>	<b>N</b>	<i>9</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>92</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>334</i>
	<b>%</b>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>18.9%</i>	<i>25.1%</i>	<i>27.5%</i>	<i>25.7%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS BY AGE GROUP AND COUNTY, 2010**

		<b>29 or Under</b>	<b>30 to 39</b>	<b>40 to 49</b>	<b>50 to 59</b>	<b>60 or Over</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>Presque Isle</b>	N	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>12</i>
	%	<i>8.3%</i>	<i>8.3%</i>	<i>8.3%</i>	<i>33.3%</i>	<i>41.7%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Roscommon</b>	N	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>21</i>
	%	<i>.0%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>23.8%</i>	<i>14.3%</i>	<i>61.9%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Saginaw</b>	N	<i>16</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>449</i>
	%	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>10.5%</i>	<i>17.4%</i>	<i>32.5%</i>	<i>36.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Saint Clair</b>	N	<i>9</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>220</i>
	%	<i>4.1%</i>	<i>15.9%</i>	<i>20.0%</i>	<i>25.5%</i>	<i>34.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Saint Joseph</b>	N	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>57</i>
	%	<i>5.3%</i>	<i>10.5%</i>	<i>22.8%</i>	<i>29.8%</i>	<i>31.6%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Sanilac</b>	N	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>40</i>
	%	<i>2.5%</i>	<i>12.5%</i>	<i>10.0%</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>37.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Schoolcraft</b>	N	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>
	%	<i>.0%</i>	<i>16.7%</i>	<i>.0%</i>	<i>33.3%</i>	<i>50.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Shiawassee</b>	N	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>93</i>
	%	<i>.0%</i>	<i>18.3%</i>	<i>17.2%</i>	<i>26.9%</i>	<i>37.6%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Tuscola</b>	N	<i>4</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>41</i>
	%	<i>9.8%</i>	<i>19.5%</i>	<i>14.6%</i>	<i>31.7%</i>	<i>24.4%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Van Buren</b>	N	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>78</i>
	%	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>10.3%</i>	<i>26.9%</i>	<i>23.1%</i>	<i>37.2%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Washtenaw</b>	N	<i>59</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>398</i>	<i>408</i>	<i>474</i>	<i>1628</i>
	%	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>17.8%</i>	<i>24.4%</i>	<i>25.1%</i>	<i>29.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Wayne</b>	N	<i>333</i>	<i>1145</i>	<i>1454</i>	<i>1859</i>	<i>1638</i>	<i>6429</i>
	%	<i>5.2%</i>	<i>17.8%</i>	<i>22.6%</i>	<i>28.9%</i>	<i>25.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Wexford</b>	N	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>53</i>
	%	<i>3.8%</i>	<i>11.3%</i>	<i>15.1%</i>	<i>32.1%</i>	<i>37.7%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Total</b>	N	<i>1551</i>	<i>5744</i>	<i>7562</i>	<i>8985</i>	<i>9221</i>	<i>33063</i>
	%	<i>4.7%</i>	<i>17.4%</i>	<i>22.9%</i>	<i>27.2%</i>	<i>27.9%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	1	2	0	0	0	3
	%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Alcona</b>	N	0	0	1	1	1	3
	%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Alger</b>	N	0	0	2	1	2	5
	%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Allegan</b>	N	2	6	9	12	19	48
	%	4.2%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	39.6%	100.0%
<b>Alpena</b>	N	3	2	5	11	11	32
	%	9.4%	6.3%	15.6%	34.4%	34.4%	100.0%
<b>Antrim</b>	N	0	0	5	4	15	24
	%	.0%	.0%	20.8%	16.7%	62.5%	100.0%
<b>Arenac</b>	N	0	0	0	1	1	2
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Barry</b>	N	0	3	9	9	9	30
	%	.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	100.0%
<b>Bay</b>	N	5	14	15	30	25	89
	%	5.6%	15.7%	16.9%	33.7%	28.1%	100.0%
<b>Benzie</b>	N	0	0	5	3	9	17
	%	.0%	.0%	29.4%	17.6%	52.9%	100.0%
<b>Berrien</b>	N	3	20	28	44	59	154
	%	1.9%	13.0%	18.2%	28.6%	38.3%	100.0%
<b>Branch</b>	N	2	4	2	4	11	23
	%	8.7%	17.4%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%	100.0%
<b>Calhoun</b>	N	2	7	21	37	43	110
	%	1.8%	6.4%	19.1%	33.6%	39.1%	100.0%
<b>Cass</b>	N	0	2	0	7	10	19
	%	.0%	10.5%	.0%	36.8%	52.6%	100.0%
<b>Charlevoix</b>	N	2	2	6	7	11	28
	%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	25.0%	39.3%	100.0%
<b>Cheboygan</b>	N	0	3	3	9	7	22
	%	.0%	13.6%	13.6%	40.9%	31.8%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Chippewa</b>	N	1	4	7	12	5	29
	%	3.4%	13.8%	24.1%	41.4%	17.2%	100.0%
<b>Clare</b>	N	1	2	8	4	2	17
	%	5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%	11.8%	100.0%
<b>Clinton</b>	N	0	9	7	9	18	43
	%	.0%	20.9%	16.3%	20.9%	41.9%	100.0%
<b>Crawford</b>	N	2	2	3	1	3	11
	%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	100.0%
<b>Delta</b>	N	1	6	0	14	20	41
	%	2.4%	14.6%	.0%	34.1%	48.8%	100.0%
<b>Dickinson</b>	N	1	0	6	6	8	21
	%	4.8%	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	38.1%	100.0%
<b>Eaton</b>	N	2	10	28	26	34	100
	%	2.0%	10.0%	28.0%	26.0%	34.0%	100.0%
<b>Emmet</b>	N	1	8	17	13	36	75
	%	1.3%	10.7%	22.7%	17.3%	48.0%	100.0%
<b>Genesee</b>	N	9	56	101	120	148	434
	%	2.1%	12.9%	23.3%	27.6%	34.1%	100.0%
<b>Gladwin</b>	N	0	0	2	3	3	8
	%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	100.0%
<b>Gogebic</b>	N	0	2	2	8	4	16
	%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Grand traverse</b>	N	2	6	9	10	5	32
	%	6.3%	18.8%	28.1%	31.3%	15.6%	100.0%
<b>Grand Traverse</b>	N	6	39	45	51	78	219
	%	2.7%	17.8%	20.5%	23.3%	35.6%	100.0%
<b>Gratiot</b>	N	0	2	5	5	6	18
	%	.0%	11.1%	27.8%	27.8%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Hillsdale</b>	N	0	4	6	4	7	21
	%	.0%	19.0%	28.6%	19.0%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Houghton</b>	N	1	3	1	8	6	19
	%	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	42.1%	31.6%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Huron</b>	N	0	1	4	5	8	18
	%	.0%	5.6%	22.2%	27.8%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Ingham</b>	N	44	146	174	231	224	819
	%	5.4%	17.8%	21.2%	28.2%	27.4%	100.0%
<b>Ionia</b>	N	0	4	9	8	8	29
	%	.0%	13.8%	31.0%	27.6%	27.6%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	1	2	5	4	9	21
	%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	19.0%	42.9%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	1	1	1	5	6	14
	%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	0	8	16	10	14	48
	%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	20.8%	29.2%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	2	20	22	32	44	120
	%	1.7%	16.7%	18.3%	26.7%	36.7%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	9	60	90	142	116	417
	%	2.2%	14.4%	21.6%	34.1%	27.8%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	0	0	0	0	1	1
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	48	275	301	393	318	1335
	%	3.6%	20.6%	22.5%	29.4%	23.8%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	0	0	0	1	1	2
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	0	1	0	0	1
	%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	1	10	20	16	19	66
	%	1.5%	15.2%	30.3%	24.2%	28.8%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	0	4	7	10	16	37
	%	.0%	10.8%	18.9%	27.0%	43.2%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	2	10	14	19	19	64
	%	3.1%	15.6%	21.9%	29.7%	29.7%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	0	37	57	41	66	201
	%	.0%	18.4%	28.4%	20.4%	32.8%	100.0%



**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Luce</b>	N	0	1	1	0	2	4
	%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	0	0	0	1	2	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	38	194	226	294	269	1021
	%	3.7%	19.0%	22.1%	28.8%	26.3%	100.0%
<b>Manistee</b>	N	0	1	4	4	8	17
	%	.0%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	47.1%	100.0%
<b>Marquette</b>	N	5	16	12	29	25	87
	%	5.7%	18.4%	13.8%	33.3%	28.7%	100.0%
<b>Mason</b>	N	1	2	5	5	10	23
	%	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	21.7%	43.5%	100.0%
<b>Mecosta</b>	N	0	3	5	6	10	24
	%	.0%	12.5%	20.8%	25.0%	41.7%	100.0%
<b>Menominee</b>	N	0	0	1	5	3	9
	%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	55.6%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Midland</b>	N	2	6	13	11	26	58
	%	3.4%	10.3%	22.4%	19.0%	44.8%	100.0%
<b>Missaukee</b>	N	0	0	2	0	0	2
	%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Monroe</b>	N	4	23	34	23	32	116
	%	3.4%	19.8%	29.3%	19.8%	27.6%	100.0%
<b>Montcalm</b>	N	2	4	5	4	10	25
	%	8.0%	16.0%	20.0%	16.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Montmorency</b>	N	0	0	0	2	1	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Muskegon</b>	N	2	14	29	35	35	115
	%	1.7%	12.2%	25.2%	30.4%	30.4%	100.0%
<b>Newaygo</b>	N	1	4	4	6	7	22
	%	4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	31.8%	100.0%
<b>Oakland</b>	N	222	1130	1484	1514	1639	5989
	%	3.7%	18.9%	24.8%	25.3%	27.4%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Oceana</b>	N	0	0	5	2	6	13
	%	.0%	.0%	38.5%	15.4%	46.2%	100.0%
<b>Ogemaw</b>	N	1	0	6	4	4	15
	%	6.7%	.0%	40.0%	26.7%	26.7%	100.0%
<b>Ontonagon</b>	N	0	1	0	0	0	1
	%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Osceola</b>	N	0	0	2	3	4	9
	%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Oscoda</b>	N	0	0	1	2	2	5
	%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Otsego</b>	N	1	3	4	16	12	36
	%	2.8%	8.3%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Ottawa</b>	N	5	37	40	47	45	174
	%	2.9%	21.3%	23.0%	27.0%	25.9%	100.0%
<b>Presque Isle</b>	N	0	1	0	3	2	6
	%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Roscommon</b>	N	0	0	3	3	5	11
	%	.0%	.0%	27.3%	27.3%	45.5%	100.0%
<b>Saginaw</b>	N	8	27	44	79	89	247
	%	3.2%	10.9%	17.8%	32.0%	36.0%	100.0%
<b>Saint Clair</b>	N	2	17	21	28	39	107
	%	1.9%	15.9%	19.6%	26.2%	36.4%	100.0%
<b>Saint Joseph</b>	N	1	2	11	12	10	36
	%	2.8%	5.6%	30.6%	33.3%	27.8%	100.0%
<b>Sanilac</b>	N	1	3	2	10	7	23
	%	4.3%	13.0%	8.7%	43.5%	30.4%	100.0%
<b>Schoolcraft</b>	N	0	0	0	2	1	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
<b>Shiawassee</b>	N	0	10	8	13	14	45
	%	.0%	22.2%	17.8%	28.9%	31.1%	100.0%
<b>Tuscola</b>	N	1	5	2	7	3	18
	%	5.6%	27.8%	11.1%	38.9%	16.7%	100.0%

**ACTIVE MICHIGAN RESIDENTS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE BY COUNTY AND AGE GROUP**

		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
<b>Van Buren</b>	N	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>40</i>
	%	<i>.0%</i>	<i>12.5%</i>	<i>27.5%</i>	<i>17.5%</i>	<i>42.5%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Washtenaw</b>	N	<i>16</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>226</i>	<i>720</i>
	%	<i>2.2%</i>	<i>16.0%</i>	<i>23.8%</i>	<i>26.7%</i>	<i>31.4%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Wayne</b>	N	<i>125</i>	<i>491</i>	<i>606</i>	<i>789</i>	<i>832</i>	<i>2843</i>
	%	<i>4.4%</i>	<i>17.3%</i>	<i>21.3%</i>	<i>27.8%</i>	<i>29.3%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Wexford</b>	N	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>30</i>
	%	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>13.3%</i>	<i>20.0%</i>	<i>36.7%</i>	<i>26.7%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
<b>Total</b>	N	<i>594</i>	<i>2915</i>	<i>3847</i>	<i>4560</i>	<i>4890</i>	<i>16806</i>
	%	<i>3.5%</i>	<i>17.3%</i>	<i>22.9%</i>	<i>27.1%</i>	<i>29.1%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

