2017-2018

Statewide and County Demographics



State Bar of Michigan Statewide and County Membership Demographics 2017

Statewide Demographics

	All Active Members by US Residency	1
	All Active Members by Michigan Residency	1
	Active Michigan Residents by Gender	1
	Active Michigan Residents by Generation	1
	Active Michigan Residents by Generation and Gender	2
	Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity	2
	Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Generation	3
	Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Admit Year	4
	Active Michigan Residents by Generation, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity	. 7
	Active Michigan Residents by Law School	. 8
	Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area	8
	Active Michigan Residents Private Practitioners by Firm Size	. 9
	Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area and Section Memberships	. 9
	Active Michigan Residents by Firm Size and Section Membership	11
	Active Michigan Residents by County	12
	All Active Members by State of Residency	14
(County Demographics	
	Join Year by County	16
	Active Michigan Residents by Gender	19
	Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity	24
	Active Michigan Residents by Generation	30
	Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice	35
	Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size	40
	Active Michigan Residents by Age Group	45

Please note: The information in the following tables reflects membership information as of July 1, 2017. Additional members will join the State Bar of Michigan in 2017

Race/ethnic and gender data is collected on a voluntary basis. For active Michigan residents 100% reported gender information for active Michigan residents 70.9% reported race/ethnic information.

Uvcvg'Dct'qh'O lej li cp'' Uvcvgy lf g'F go qi tcrj leu 4239

All Active Members by US Residency, 2017									
Frequency Percent									
US Resident	41834	99.4							
Non-US Resident	244	.6							
Total	42078	100.0							

All Active Members by Michigan Residency, 2017								
Frequency Percent								
Michigan Resident	35198	83.6						
Non-Resident	6880	16.4						
Total	42078	100.0						

Active Michigan Residents by Gender, 2017								
	Frequency Percent							
Male	23150	65.8						
Female	12048	34.2						
Total	35198	100.0						

Active Michigan Residents by Generation, 2017								
Frequency Percent								
Traditionalists 1944	2482	7.1						
Boomers 1944-1960	12682	36.0						
Gen X 1961-1980	14005	39.8						
Millennials 1981 +	6029	17.1						
Total	35198	100.0						

Active Michigan Residents by Generation and Gender, 2017										
		Ger	ıder	Total						
		Male	Female							
Traditionalists 1944	N	2265	217	2482						
	%	91.3%	8.7%	100.0%						
Boomers 1944-1960	N	9453	3229	12682						
	%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%						
Gen X 1961-1980	N	8134	5871	14005						
	%	58.1%	41.9%	100.0%						
Millennials 1981 +	N	3298	2731	6029						
	%	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%						
Total	N	23150	12048	35198						
	%	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%						

Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity, 2017 (Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)							
	Frequency	Percent					
American Indian	117	.5					
Asian-Pacific Islander	486	1.9					
African Origin	1401	5.6					
European	20564	82.4					
Hispanic-Latino	386	1.5					
Multi-Racial	435	1.7					
Arab Origin	493	2.0					
Other Ethnic Origin	1076	4.3					
Total	24958	100.0					

			Gener	ation		Total
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
American Indian	N	6	30	59	22	117
	%	5.1%	25.6%	50.4%	18.8%	100.0%
Asian-Pacific	N	2	61	274	149	486
Islander	%	.4%	12.6%	56.4%	30.7%	100.0%
African Origin	N	66	566	623	146	1401
	%	4.7%	40.4%	44.5%	10.4%	100.0%
European	N	1603	8031	8702	2228	20564
	%	7.8%	39.1%	42.3%	10.8%	100.0%
Hispanic-Latino	N	2	78	199	107	386
	%	.5%	20.2%	51.6%	27.7%	100.0%
Multi-Racial	N	23	122	189	101	435
	%	5.3%	28.0%	43.4%	23.2%	100.0%
Arab Origin	N	9	46	213	225	493
	%	1.8%	9.3%	43.2%	45.6%	100.0%
Other Ethnic Origin	N	18	161	536	361	1076
	%	1.7%	15.0%	49.8%	33.6%	100.0%
Total	N	1729	9095	10795	3339	24958
	%	6.9%	36.4%	43.3%	13.4%	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity										
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
1980	N	1	3	33	423	2	13	4	8	487
	%	.2%	.6%	6.8%	86.9%	.4%	2.7%	.8%	1.6%	100.0%
1981	N	1	3	24	448	4	11	3	4	498
	%	.2%	.6%	4.8%	90.0%	.8%	2.2%	.6%	.8%	100.0%
1982	N	2	1	32	422	6	5	2	8	478
	%	.4%	.2%	6.7%	88.3%	1.3%	1.0%	.4%	1.7%	100.0%
1983	N	0	3	33	420	5	3	3	6	473
	%	.0%	.6%	7.0%	88.8%	1.1%	.6%	.6%	1.3%	100.0%
1984	N	1	3	27	436	7	8	4	7	493
	%	.2%	.6%	5.5%	88.4%	1.4%	1.6%	.8%	1.4%	100.0%
1985	N	2	4	38	498	4	7	2	7	562
	%	.4%	.7%	6.8%	88.6%	.7%	1.2%	.4%	1.2%	100.0%
1986	N	2	2	33	461	6	4	6	10	524
	%	.4%	.4%	6.3%	88.0%	1.1%	.8%	1.1%	1.9%	100.0%
1987	N	2	4	28	510	5	6	2	9	566
	%	.4%	.7%	4.9%	90.1%	.9%	1.1%	.4%	1.6%	100.0%
1988	N	3	1	34	488	6	5	8	10	555
	%	.5%	.2%	6.1%	87.9%	1.1%	.9%	1.4%	1.8%	100.0%
1989	N	1	3	34	460	5	6	4	10	523
	%	.2%	.6%	6.5%	88.0%	1.0%	1.1%	.8%	1.9%	100.0%
1990	N	4	3	26	514	7	6	1	10	571
	%	.7%	.5%	4.6%	90.0%	1.2%	1.1%	.2%	1.8%	100.0%
1991	N	3	5	32	472	9	9	6	4	540
	%	.6%	.9%	5.9%	87.4%	1.7%	1.7%	1.1%	.7%	100.0%
1992	N	2	9	36	564	8	11	2	8	640
	%	.3%	1.4%	5.6%	88.1%	1.3%	1.7%	.3%	1.3%	100.0%
1993	N	3	13	38	547	6	5	3	10	625
	%	.5%	2.1%	6.1%	87.5%	1.0%	.8%	.5%	1.6%	100.0%
1994	N	0	6	25	532	4	5	5	7	584
	%	.0%	1.0%	4.3%	91.1%	.7%	.9%	.9%	1.2%	100.0%
1995	N	2	10	29	528	6	5	8	10	598
	%	.3%	1.7%	4.8%	88.3%	1.0%	.8%	1.3%	1.7%	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity										
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
1996	N	1	12	45	597	12	10	5	10	692
	%	.1%	1.7%	6.5%	86.3%	1.7%	1.4%	.7%	1.4%	100.0%
1997	N	4	16	53	570	12	29	5	11	700
	%	.6%	2.3%	7.6%	81.4%	1.7%	4.1%	.7%	1.6%	100.0%
1998	N	2	9	50	498	8	10	12	13	602
	%	.3%	1.5%	8.3%	82.7%	1.3%	1.7%	2.0%	2.2%	100.0%
1999	N	5	5	45	487	5	5	8	8	568
	%	.9%	.9%	7.9%	85.7%	.9%	.9%	1.4%	1.4%	100.0%
2000	N	7	16	49	523	8	6	14	3	626
	%	1.1%	2.6%	7.8%	83.5%	1.3%	1.0%	2.2%	.5%	100.0%
2001	N	7	11	31	381	8	4	11	21	474
	%	1.5%	2.3%	6.5%	80.4%	1.7%	.8%	2.3%	4.4%	100.0%
2002	N	5	23	46	385	7	12	17	26	521
	%	1.0%	4.4%	8.8%	73.9%	1.3%	2.3%	3.3%	5.0%	100.0%
2003	N	2	15	33	376	12	6	19	33	496
	%	.4%	3.0%	6.7%	75.8%	2.4%	1.2%	3.8%	6.7%	100.0%
2004	N	2	14	34	442	11	10	17	41	571
	%	.4%	2.5%	6.0%	77.4%	1.9%	1.8%	3.0%	7.2%	100.0%
2005	N	6	12	31	344	14	14	15	84	520
	%	1.2%	2.3%	6.0%	66.2%	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	16.2%	100.0%
2006	N	2	32	39	410	20	13	14	94	624
	%	.3%	5.1%	6.3%	65.7%	3.2%	2.1%	2.2%	15.1%	100.0%
2007	N	3	20	41	335	13	18	31	75	536
	%	.6%	3.7%	7.6%	62.5%	2.4%	3.4%	5.8%	14.0%	100.0%
2008	N	4	18	19	346	5	11	19	70	492
	%	.8%	3.7%	3.9%	70.3%	1.0%	2.2%	3.9%	14.2%	100.0%
2009	N	4	20	18	308	15	17	14	56	452
	%	.9%	4.4%	4.0%	68.1%	3.3%	3.8%	3.1%	12.4%	100.0%
2010	N	4	14	19	328	13	18	20	60	476
	%	.8%	2.9%	4.0%	68.9%	2.7%	3.8%	4.2%	12.6%	100.0%
2011	N	2	23	17	347	11	13	17	56	486
	%	.4%	4.7%	3.5%	71.4%	2.3%	2.7%	3.5%	11.5%	100.0%

	Race/Ethnicity									
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
2012	N	5	15	19	265	14	14	25	52	409
	%	1.2%	3.7%	4.6%	64.8%	3.4%	3.4%	6.1%	12.7%	100.0%
2013	N	2	27	29	389	18	16	27	56	564
	%	.4%	4.8%	5.1%	69.0%	3.2%	2.8%	4.8%	9.9%	100.0%
2014	N	6	28	23	376	18	21	27	45	544
	%	1.1%	5.1%	4.2%	69.1%	3.3%	3.9%	5.0%	8.3%	100.0%
2015	N	3	30	34	344	25	14	28	39	517
	%	.6%	5.8%	6.6%	66.5%	4.8%	2.7%	5.4%	7.5%	100.0%
2016	N	1	33	34	333	26	12	48	36	523
	%	.2%	6.3%	6.5%	63.7%	5.0%	2.3%	9.2%	6.9%	100.0%
2017	N	2	11	13	96	8	5	13	7	155
	%	1.3%	7.1%	8.4%	61.9%	5.2%	3.2%	8.4%	4.5%	100.0%
Total	N	108	477	1224	16203	373	387	469	1024	20265
	%	.5%	2.4%	6.0%	80.0%	1.8%	1.9%	2.3%	5.1%	100.0%

Active Michigan Residents by Generation, Gender and Race/Ethnicity, 2017 (Race/Ethnicity Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)

Generation		(Race/Eu	Race/Ethnicity								
			American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
ė	Male	N	5	2	50	1467	1	23	8	17	1573
ts Pr		%	.3%	.1%	3.2%	93.3%	.1%	1.5%	.5%	1.1%	100.0%
ionalis 1944	Female	N	1	0	16	136	1	0	1	1	156
Traditionalists Pre 1944		%	.6%	.0%	10.3	87.2%	.6%	.0%	.6%	.6%	100.0%
ľrad	Total	N	6	2	66	1603	2	23	9	18	1729
L.,		%	.3%	.1%	3.8%	92.7%	.1%	1.3%	.5%	1.0%	100.0%
	Male	N	22	40	312	5953	49	95	37	97	6605
F-196		%	.3%	.6%	4.7%	90.1%	.7%	1.4%	.6%	1.5%	100.0%
Boomers 1944-1960	Female	N	8	21	254	2078	29	27	9	64	2490
iers		%	.3%	.8%	10.2	83.5%	1.2%	1.1%	.4%	2.6%	100.0%
300n	Total	N	30	61	566	8031	78	122	46	161	9095
щ		%	.3%	.7%	6.2%	88.3%	.9%	1.3%	.5%	1.8%	100.0%
	Male	N	36	133	227	5309	106	93	120	244	6268
086		%	.6%	2.1%	3.6%	84.7%	1.7%	1.5%	1.9%	3.9%	100.0%
Gen X 1961-1980	Female	N	23	141	396	3393	93	96	93	292	4527
X 19		%	.5%	3.1%	8.7%	75.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	6.5%	100.0%
Gen	Total	N	59	274	623	8702	199	189	213	536	10795
		%	.5%	2.5%	5.8%	80.6%	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	5.0%	100.0%
+	Male	N	8	65	57	1345	47	45	118	169	1854
		%	.4%	3.5%	3.1%	72.5%	2.5%	2.4%	6.4%	9.1%	100.0%
Millennials 1981	Female	N	14	84	89	883	60	56	107	192	1485
nmia		%	.9%	5.7%	6.0%	59.5%	4.0%	3.8%	7.2%	12.9%	100.0%
Æille	Total	N	22	149	146	2228	107	101	225	361	3339
-		%	.7%	4.5%	4.4%	66.7%	3.2%	3.0%	6.7%	10.8%	100.0%
	Male	N	71	240	646	14074	203	256	283	527	16300
		%	.4%	1.5%	4.0%	86.3%	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	3.2%	100.0%
Total	Female	N	46	246	755	6490	183	179	210	549	8658
To		%	.5%	2.8%	8.7%	75.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.4%	6.3%	100.0%
	Total	N	117	486	1401	20564	386	435	493	1076	24958
		%	.5%	1.9%	5.6%	82.4%	1.5%	1.7%	2.0%	4.3%	100.0%

Active Michigan	Residents	hw i	Low	School	2017
Active Mildingan	Residents	Dy.	Law	School,	4U1/

	Frequency	Percent
Ave Maria School of Law	149	.4
MSU/DCL	5985	17.0
Other Law School	7531	21.4
University of Detroit Mercy School of Law	4816	13.7
University of Michigan Law School	3033	8.6
Wayne State University Law School	7114	20.2
Western Michigan University Thomas M. Cooley Law	6266	17.8
School		
Not Reported	304	.9
Total	35198	100.0

Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area, 2017					
	Frequency	Percent			
Academia	511	1.5			
Corporate Counsel	3347	9.5			
Government	3076	8.7			
Government Relations	125	.4			
Judiciary	1215	3.5			
Legal Services	2451	7.0			
Law Schools	130	.4			
Military	39	.1			
Non-Law Related	1526	4.3			
Not Employed: Not Seeking Employment	834	2.4			
Not Employed: Seeking Employment	426	1.2			
Nonprofit organization	517	1.5			
Other	1164	3.3			
Private Practice	16829	47.8			
Retired	1445	4.1			
Not Reported	1563	4.4			
Total	35198	100.0			

Active Michigan Residents, in Private Practice by Firm Size, 2017						
	Frequency	Percent				
Very Large 100+	2032	12.1				
Large 21-99	1840	10.9				
Medium 11-20	1068	6.3				
Small 2-10	5302	31.5				
Solo Full-Time	4081	24.2				
Solo Part-Time	2281	13.6				
Not Reported	225	1.3				
Total	16829	100.0				

Active Michigan Residents, by Occupational Area and Number of Section Memberships, 2017								7	
			N	Number of	Section M	embership	s		
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	Total
Academia	N	184	176	84	42	12	4	9	511
	%	36.0%	34.4%	16.4%	8.2%	2.3%	.8%	1.8%	100.0%
Corporate Counsel	N	1108	1113	606	299	131	47	43	3347
	%	33.1%	33.3%	18.1%	8.9%	3.9%	1.4%	1.3%	100.0%
Government	N	1584	865	367	151	55	23	31	3076
	%	51.5%	28.1%	11.9%	4.9%	1.8%	.7%	1.0%	100.0%
Government	N	49	43	20	7	4	2	0	125
Relations	%	39.2%	34.4%	16.0%	5.6%	3.2%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Judiciary	N	352	496	205	94	38	13	17	1215
	%	29.0%	40.8%	16.9%	7.7%	3.1%	1.1%	1.4%	100.0%
Legal Services	N	758	776	438	246	132	48	53	2451
	%	30.9%	31.7%	17.9%	10.0%	5.4%	2.0%	2.2%	100.0%
Law Schools	N	50	41	19	12	5	1	2	130
	%	38.5%	31.5%	14.6%	9.2%	3.8%	.8%	1.5%	100.0%
Military	N	25	7	4	1	2	0	0	39
	%	64.1%	17.9%	10.3%	2.6%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Non-Law Related	N	797	528	123	49	15	3	11	1526
	%	52.2%	34.6%	8.1%	3.2%	1.0%	.2%	.7%	100.0%
Not Employed: Not	N	244	473	85	19	6	4	3	834
Seeking Employment	%	29.3%	56.7%	10.2%	2.3%	.7%	.5%	.4%	100.0%

Active Michigan Residents, by Occupational Area and Number of Section Memberships, 2017								7	
		Number of Section Memberships							
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	Total
Not Employed:	N	186	105	40	21	19	9	46	426
Seeking Employment	%	43.7%	24.6%	9.4%	4.9%	4.5%	2.1%	10.8%	100.0%
Nonprofit	N	184	206	70	29	12	7	9	517
organization	%	35.6%	39.8%	13.5%	5.6%	2.3%	1.4%	1.7%	100.0%
Other	N	453	399	180	76	30	14	12	1164
	%	38.9%	34.3%	15.5%	6.5%	2.6%	1.2%	1.0%	100.0%
Private Practice	N	3698	5071	3838	2320	1045	449	408	16829
	%	22.0%	30.1%	22.8%	13.8%	6.2%	2.7%	2.4%	100.0%
Retired	N	55	1113	193	58	19	5	2	1445
	%	3.8%	77.0%	13.4%	4.0%	1.3%	.3%	.1%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	408	605	270	142	75	23	40	1563
	%	26.1%	38.7%	17.3%	9.1%	4.8%	1.5%	2.6%	100.0%
Total	N	10135	12017	6542	3566	1600	652	686	35198
	%	28.8%	34.1%	18.6%	10.1%	4.5%	1.9%	1.9%	100.0%

Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and Number of Section Memberships, 20							2017		
				Number of	Section Me	emberships			Total
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	
Very Large	N	202	514	686	432	138	37	23	2032
100+	%	9.9%	25.3%	33.8%	21.3%	6.8%	1.8%	1.1%	100.0%
Large 21-99	N	254	505	508	319	152	61	41	1840
	%	13.8%	27.4%	27.6%	17.3%	8.3%	3.3%	2.2%	100.0%
Medium 11-	N	236	303	256	150	73	25	25	1068
20	%	22.1%	28.4%	24.0%	14.0%	6.8%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Small 2-10	N	1365	1465	1157	716	318	140	141	5302
	%	25.7%	27.6%	21.8%	13.5%	6.0%	2.6%	2.7%	100.0%
Solo Full-	N	1041	1191	793	515	264	145	132	4081
Time	%	25.5%	29.2%	19.4%	12.6%	6.5%	3.6%	3.2%	100.0%
Solo Part-	N	525	1027	395	167	88	38	41	2281
Time	%	23.0%	45.0%	17.3%	7.3%	3.9%	1.7%	1.8%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	75	66	43	21	12	3	5	225
	%	33.3%	29.3%	19.1%	9.3%	5.3%	1.3%	2.2%	100.0%
Total	N	3698	5071	3838	2320	1045	449	408	16829
	%	22.0%	30.1%	22.8%	13.8%	6.2%	2.7%	2.4%	100.0%

	Enganonav	
	Frequency	Percent
Alcona	11	.0
Alger	12	.0
Allegan	135	.4
Alpena	61	.2
Antrim	57	.2
Arenac	16	.0
Baraga	5	.0
Barry	57	.2
Bay	183	.5
Benzie	33	.Î
Berrien	302	.9
Branch	37	.1
Calhoun	219	.6
Cass	54	.2
Charlevoix	63	.2
Cheboygan	49	.1
Chippewa	61	.2
Clare	38	.1
Clinton	123	.3
Crawford	24	.1
Delta	56	.2
Dickinson	45	.1
Eaton	322	.9
Emmet	133	.4
Genesee	772	2.2
Gladwin	20	.1
Gogebic	26	.1
Grand Traverse	456	1.3
Gratiot	39	.1
Hillsdale	33	.1
Houghton	34	.1
Huron	44	.1
Ingham	2534	7.2
Ionia	52	.1
Iosco	42	.1
Iron	22	.1
Isabella	102	.3

Active Michigan Residents by County, 2017				
	Frequency	Percent		
Jackson	275	.8		
Kalamazoo	759	2.2		
Kalkaska	9	.0		
Kent	2702	7.7		
Keweenaw	4	.0		
Lake	5	.0		
Lapeer	116	.3		
Leelanau	112	.3		
Lenawee	111	.3		
Livingston	467	1.3		
Luce	8	.0		
Mackinac	18	.1		
Macomb	1957	5.6		
Manistee	37	.1		
Marquette	140	.4		
Mason	45	.1		
Mecosta	54	.2		
Menominee	22	.1		
Midland	221	.6		
Missaukee	12	.0		
Monroe	192	.5		
Montcalm	51	.1		
Montmorency	6	0.		
Muskegon	259	.7		
Newaygo	37	.1		
Oakland	11275	32.0		
Oceana	28	.1		
Ogemaw	27	.1		
Ontonagon	4	.0		
Osceola	12	.0		
Oscoda	8	.0		
Otsego	59	.2		
Ottawa	406	1.2		
Presque Isle	14	.0		
Roscommon	31	.1		
Saginaw	425	1.2		
Saint Clair	213	.6		

Active Michigan Residents by County, 2017					
	Frequency	Percent			
Saint Joseph	59	.2			
Sanilac	46	.1			
Schoolcraft	7	.0			
Shiawassee	84	.2			
Tuscola	50	.1			
Van Buren	87	.2			
Washtenaw	1786	5.1			
Wayne	6630	18.8			
Wexford	53	.2			
Not Reported	3	.0			
Total	35198	100.0			

Active US Residents by State, 2017					
	N	Percent			
Alaska	12	.2			
Alabama	35	.5			
Arkansas	14	.2			
Arizona	194	2.9			
California	514	7.7			
Colorado	135	2.0			
Connecticut	63	.9			
District of Columbia	368	5.5			
Delaware	12	.2			
Florida	812	12.2			
Georgia	168	2.5			
Hawaii	17	.3			
Iowa	31	.5			
Idaho	12	.2			
Illinois	709	10.7			
Indiana	298	4.5			
Kansas	28	.4			
Kentucky	58	.9			
Louisiana	24	.4			
Massachusetts	98	1.5			

Active US Resid	ents by State, 2017	
	N	Percent
Maryland	184	2.8
Maine	11	.2
Minnesota	81	1.2
Missouri	54	.8
Mississippi	13	.2
Montana	13	.2
North Carolina	189	2.8
North Dakota	7	.1
Nebraska	15	.2
New Hampshire	14	.2
New Jersey	85	1.3
New Mexico	40	.6
Nevada	79	1.2
New York	204	3.1
Ohio	688	10.4
Oklahoma	20	.3
Oregon	41	.6
Pennsylvania	139	2.1
Rhode Island	7	.1
South Carolina	68	1.0
South Dakota	4	.1
Tennessee	87	1.3
Texas	356	5.4
Utah	28	.4
Virginia	339	5.1
Vermont	10	.2
Washington	94	1.4
Wisconsin	147	2.2
West Virginia	8	.1
Wyoming	9	.1
Total	6636	100.0

State Bar of Michigan Demographics by County 2017

Active Michigan Residents Join	Year by County, 2017
(2017 Includes only Individuals	Joining by July 2017)

		(20	17 Includ	ics only i		is Joining Year	by sury 2	2017)			10
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Year
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Total
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Allegan	1	1	3	5	1	5	5	5	1	3	30
Alpena	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0	0	10
Antrim	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	5
Arenac	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	4
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Barry	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	1	9
Bay	3	2	5	5	3	2	4	6	3	0	33
Benzie	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	6
Berrien	8	6	7	8	9	12	12	7	15	3	87
Branch	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Calhoun	7	4	4	5	4	7	5	9	2	0	47
Cass	3	0	2	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	10
Charlevoix	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	9
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Chippewa	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	7
Clare	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	2	0	0	9
Clinton	0	2	6	2	2	1	0	2	3	2	20
Crawford	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
Delta	2	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	10
Dickinson	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	7
Eaton	12	6	8	13	3	8	12	8	2	2	74
Emmet	2	1	1	2	0	2	2	2	2	1	15
Genesee	11	11	21	11	29	22	14	13	14	5	151
Gladwin	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Grand											
Traverse	6	6	12	10	12	16	9	9	6	5	91
Gratiot	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	7

Active Michigan Residents Join Year by County, 2017 (2017 Includes only Individuals Joining by July 2017)

		(=0		-05 0111j I		is Johning Year	, ~ , ~ , ~ ,				10
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Year Total
Hillsdale	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
Houghton	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	12
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	5
Ingham	76	66	72	72	60	76	93	53	72	22	662
Ionia	3	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	1	13
Iosco	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
Iron	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Isabella	2	5	1	4	2	3	5	3	3	0	28
Jackson	8	7	9	5	7	10	3	5	6	1	61
Kalamazoo	16	18	18	12	17	22	19	23	20	7	172
Kalkaska	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Kent	78	63	76	80	76	100	89	75	81	26	744
Lake	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lapeer	2	2	4	4	3	4	2	2	5	0	28
Leelanau	2	4	0	6	4	2	4	4	0	1	27
Lenawee	2	4	3	2	4	2	8	2	1	0	28
Livingston	9	11	14	8	5	15	11	10	16	6	105
Luce	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	4
Macomb	31	53	43	66	56	54	45	39	45	14	446
Manistee	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	5
Marquette	7	4	3	3	4	3	2	4	1	0	31
Mason	1	1	1	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	10
Mecosta	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	0	8
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	5
Midland	5	5	2	6	5	4	5	7	9	3	51
Missaukee	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Monroe	4	7	6	1	3	1	4	6	4	2	38
Montcalm	3	2	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	14
Muskegon	9	7	10	6	3	2	5	9	9	0	60
Newaygo	0	1	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	7
Oakland	273	242	272	285	286	334	314	269	253	82	2610
Oceana	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
Ogemaw	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5

Active Michigan Residents Join Year by County, 2017 (2017 Includes only Individuals Joining by July 2017)

		,		·	Join	Year		ŕ			10
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Year Total
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Osceola	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Oscoda	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Otsego	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	2	0	0	8
Ottawa	13	14	9	8	11	13	13	8	9	6	104
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	1	0	0	8
Saginaw	4	5	11	10	5	10	8	8	7	4	72
Saint Clair	4	5	1	3	2	6	4	7	3	1	36
Saint											
Joseph	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	2	1	0	15
Sanilac	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Shiawassee	1	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	3	0	12
Tuscola	2	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	2	1	10
Van Buren	2	1	2	2	2	4	2	1	3	0	19
Washtenaw	41	33	45	51	41	53	61	48	62	23	458
Wayne	131	156	139	151	152	181	194	227	219	60	1610
Wexford	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	6
Not											
Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	806	770	831	877	831	1018	993	906	911	289	8232

	Active Michigan Res	idents by Gender and C	ounty, 2017	
		Gende	r	
		Male	Female	Total
Alcona	N	8	3	11
	0/0	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Alger	N	10	2	12
	%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Allegan	N	90	45	135
	%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Alpena	N	47	14	61
	%	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%
Antrim	N	45	12	57
	0/0	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Arenac	N	14	2	16
	0/0	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Baraga	N	4	1	5
G	0/0	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	41	16	57
2411 y	%	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Bay	N	138	45	183
J	%	75.4%	24.6%	100.0%
Benzie	N	21	12	33
	%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Berrien	N	205	97	302
	%	67.9%	32.1%	100.0%
Branch	N	26	11	37
2 - WV	%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	146	73	219
	%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Cass	N	37	17	54
- 4	%	68.5%	31.5%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	47	16	63
CAMP AV I VAZA	%	74.6%	25.4%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	34	15	49
oncoo guii	%	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	38	23	61
отррона 	%	62.3%	37.7%	100.0%
Clare	N	27	11	38
Ciaic	%	71.1%	28.9%	100.0%
	70	/1.1 /0	20.970	100.0%

	Active Michigan Resid	lents by Gender and C	ounty, 2017	
		Gende	r	
		Male	Female	Total
Clinton	N	73	50	123
	%	59.3%	40.7%	100.0%
Crawford	N	20	4	24
	%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Delta	N	43	13	56
	%	76.8%	23.2%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	31	14	45
	%	68.9%	31.1%	100.0%
Eaton	N	203	119	322
	%	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
Emmet	N	98	35	133
	%	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%
Genesee	N	520	252	772
	%	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	17	3	20
	%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	21	5	26
	%	80.8%	19.2%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	333	123	456
	%	73.0%	27.0%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	20	19	39
	%	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	25	8	33
	%	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Houghton	N	28	6	34
<u> </u>	%	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%
Huron	N	30	14	44
	%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Ingham	N	1576	958	2534
C	%	62.2%	37.8%	100.0%
Ionia	N	33	19	52
	%	63.5%	36.5%	100.0%
Iosco	N	30	12	42
	%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Iron	N	16	6	22
	%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%

	Active Michigan Re	sidents by Gender and C Gende		
		Male	r Female	Total
Isabella	N			
Isabella	N	63	39	102
T 1	%	61.8%	38.2%	100.0%
Jackson	N	194	81	275
	%	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
Kalamazoo	N	528	231	759
	%	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
Kalkaska	N	7	2	9
	%	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Kent	N	1876	826	2702
	%	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%
Keweenaw	N	4	0	4
	%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lake	N	5	0	5
	%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lapeer	N	89	27	116
	%	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
Leelanau	N	72	40	112
	%	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Lenawee	N	73	38	111
	%	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%
Livingston	N	283	184	467
_	%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Luce	N	7	1	8
	%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Mackinac	N	13	5	18
	%	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%
Macomb	N	1355	602	1957
	%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Manistee	N	29	8	37
1124422	%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%
Marquette	N	86	54	140
quette	%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
Mason	N	33	12	45
IVIASUII	% %	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
Manasta				
Mecosta	N	39	15	100.00/
	0/0	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%

	Active Michigan Re	sidents by Gender and C	ounty, 2017	
		Gende	er	
		Male	Female	Total
Menominee	N	17	5	22
	%	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Midland	N	147	74	221
	%	66.5%	33.5%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	9	3	12
	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	133	59	192
	%	69.3%	30.7%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	36	15	51
	%	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	4	2	6
	%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	182	77	259
	%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	28	9	37
	%	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%
Oakland	N	7505	3770	11275
	%	66.6%	33.4%	100.0%
Oceana	N	23	5	28
	%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	17	10	27
J	%	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	3	1	4
S	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	11	1	12
	%	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	2	6	8
	%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Otsego	N	42	17	59
Ü	%	71.2%	28.8%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	275	131	406
	%	67.7%	32.3%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	8	6	14
1	%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	22	9	31
	%	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%
	/0	/ 1.0 / 0	47.0 /0	100.0 /0

	Active Michigan Res	sidents by Gender and C	ounty, 2017	
		Gende	r	
		Male	Female	Total
Saginaw	N	313	112	425
	%	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	150	63	213
	%	70.4%	29.6%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	46	13	59
	%	78.0%	22.0%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	32	14	46
	%	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	5	2	7
	%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	55	29	84
	%	65.5%	34.5%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	26	24	50
	%	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	57	30	87
	%	65.5%	34.5%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	1013	773	1786
	%	56.7%	43.3%	100.0%
Wayne	N	4098	2532	6630
	%	61.8%	38.2%	100.0%
Wexford	N	39	14	53
	%	73.6%	26.4%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	1	2	3
	%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Total	N	23150	12048	35198
	%	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%

			v		Race/Etl			,		
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
Alcona	N	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Alger	N	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	10
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	90.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Allegan	N	1	1	0	83	4	4	0	4	97
	%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	85.6%	4.1%	4.1%	.0%	4.1%	100.0%
Alpena	N	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	39
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Antrim	N	0	1	0	36	0	1	0	1	39
•	%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	92.3%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.6%	100.0%
Arenac	N	0	0	1	10	1	0	0	0	12
Dawasa	% N	.0%	.0%	8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Baraga	N %	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Danner	N	2	1	0	30	.0%	0	0	25.0%	36
Barry	%	5.6%	2.8%	.0%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	100.0%
Bay	N	3.0 / 6	0	1	105	3	2	0	8	120
Бау	%	.8%	.0%	.8%	87.5%	2.5%	1.7%	.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Benzie	N	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	0.770	25
Denzie	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	96.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Berrien	N	1	4	9	202	4	2	0	9	231
201101	%	.4%	1.7%	3.9%	87.4%	1.7%	.9%	.0%	3.9%	100.0%
Branch	N	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	26
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	2	3	2	128	4	3	0	12	154
	%	1.3%	1.9%	1.3%	83.1%	2.6%	1.9%	.0%	7.8%	100.0%
Cass	N	1	0	1	30	0	2	0	0	34
	%	2.9%	.0%	2.9%	88.2%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	0	0	0	37	1	0	0	2	40
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	92.5%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	0	0	0	36	0	1	0	1	38
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	94.7%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.6%	100.0%

			v		Race/Etl			,			
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total	
Chippewa	N	6	1	0	31	0	2	0	1	41	
	%	14.6%	2.4%	.0%	75.6%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	2.4%	100.0%	
Clare	N	0	0	0	24	0	1	0	1	26	
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	92.3%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	100.0%	
Clinton	N	1	3	1	78	0	3	1	0	87	
	%	1.1%	3.4%	1.1%	89.7%	.0%	3.4%	1.1%	.0%	100.0%	
Crawford	N	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	0	15	
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	93.3%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Delta	N	0	0	0	37	0	1	0	1	39	
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	94.9%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.6%	100.0%	
Dickinson	N	0	1	0	32	0	0	0	1	34	
	%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	94.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%	
Eaton	N	1	3	10	198	3	2	0	10	227	
	%	.4%	1.3%	4.4%	87.2%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	4.4%	100.0%	
Emmet	N	4	0	0	88	1	0	0	2	95	
	%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	92.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%	
Genesee	N	6	6	38	467	11	10	18	20	576	
	%	1.0%	1.0%	6.6%	81.1%	1.9%	1.7%	3.1%	3.5%	100.0%	
Gladwin	N	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Gogebic	N	0	1	0	16	1	0	0	0	18	
	%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	88.9%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Grand	N	4	3	0	295	0	3	0	14	319	
Traverse	%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	92.5%	.0%	.9%	.0%	4.4%	100.0%	
Gratiot	N	0	1	0	25	1	0	0	0	27	
*****	%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	92.6%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Hillsdale	N %	.0%	.0%	.0%	95.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	21 100.0%	
Houghton	N	2	0	0	23	.0%	0	.0%	0	25	
Houghton	%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	92.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Huron	N	0.0%	0	0	34	.0%	2	0	.0%	37	
Hulon	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	91.9%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	2.7%	100.0%	
	70	.U 70	.0%	.0%	91.9%	.U%0	3.4%	.070	4.170	100.0%	

			v		Race/Etl			,		
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
Ingham	N	9	33	69	1543	32	43	10	87	1826
	%	.5%	1.8%	3.8%	84.5%	1.8%	2.4%	.5%	4.8%	100.0%
Ionia	N	0	1	0	30	0	2	0	2	35
	%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	85.7%	.0%	5.7%	.0%	5.7%	100.0%
Iosco	N	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Iron	N	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	16
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Isabella	N	3	1	2	59	1	5	0	5	76
	%	3.9%	1.3%	2.6%	77.6%	1.3%	6.6%	.0%	6.6%	100.0%
Jackson	N	0	5	4	187	3	3	1	5	208
	%	.0%	2.4%	1.9%	89.9%	1.4%	1.4%	.5%	2.4%	100.0%
Kalamazoo	N	2	8	19	487	8	9	0	26	559
	%	.4%	1.4%	3.4%	87.1%	1.4%	1.6%	.0%	4.7%	100.0%
Kalkaska	N	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	8
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	87.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%
Kent	N	3	21	38	1656	36	31	13	72	1870
	%	.2%	1.1%	2.0%	88.6%	1.9%	1.7%	.7%	3.9%	100.0%
Keweenaw	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lake	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lapeer	N	0	0	0	79	1	0	1	3	84
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	94.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%
Leelanau	N	3	0	0	72	0	0	0	3	78
	%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	92.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	100.0%
Lenawee	N	0	0	0	59	1	2	1	8	71
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	83.1%	1.4%	2.8%	1.4%	11.3%	100.0%
Livingston	N	1	1	1	287	2	8	3	15	318
	%	.3%	.3%	.3%	90.3%	.6%	2.5%	.9%	4.7%	100.0%
Luce	N	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

	(Race/Ethnicity Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer) Race/Ethnicity									
					Race/Etl	nicity				
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
Mackinac	N	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Macomb	N	5	17	41	1138	19	16	44	71	1351
	%	.4%	1.3%	3.0%	84.2%	1.4%	1.2%	3.3%	5.3%	100.0%
Manistee	N	1	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	33
	%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	97.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Marquette	N	1	1	0	91	1	3	0	5	102
	%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	89.2%	1.0%	2.9%	.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Mason	N	0	0	0	25	2	0	0	0	27
N/L /	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	92.6%	7.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	000/	0	0	34	0	2 99/	0	2 99/	36
Menominee	% N	.0%	.0%	.0%	94.4%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	2.8%	100.0% 16
Menominee	%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	81.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%
Midland	N	0.5%	5	3	141	1	1	0	12.5 76	159
Midiand	%	.0%	3.1%	1.9%	88.7%	.6%	.6%	.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	100.070
Wissaukee	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	1	4	1	111	1	3	1	11	133
1120-1200	%	.8%	3.0%	.8%	83.5%	.8%	2.3%	.8%	8.3%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	0	0	0	33	1	0	0	2	36
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	91.7%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
	%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	0	2	6	161	1	2	1	9	182
	%	.0%	1.1%	3.3%	88.5%	.5%	1.1%	.5%	4.9%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	0	0	0	25	0	1	0	2	28
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	89.3%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	7.1%	100.0%
Oakland	N	26	195	399	6452	103	121	204	389	7889
	%	.3%	2.5%	5.1%	81.8%	1.3%	1.5%	2.6%	4.9%	100.0%
Oceana	N	0	0	0	21	0	1	0	0	22
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	95.5%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

		(Race/Ethnicity Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer) Race/Ethnicity								
			•		Ruce, Et				4.	
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
Ogemaw	N	0	0	0	13	1	1	0	2	17
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	76.5%	5.9%	5.9%	.0%	11.8%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	7
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	57.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	42.9%	100.0%
Otsego	N	0	1	0	41	2	0	0	0	44
	%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	93.2%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	0	1	1	260	9	1	0	13	285
	%	.0%	.4%	.4%	91.2%	3.2%	.4%	.0%	4.6%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	1	1	0	21	1	0	0	1	25
	%	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	84.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	1	1	17	245	6	3	2	12	287
	%	.3%	.3%	5.9%	85.4%	2.1%	1.0%	.7%	4.2%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	0	4	1	138	1	1	3	10	158
	%	.0%	2.5%	.6%	87.3%	.6%	.6%	1.9%	6.3%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	0	0	0	40	0	1	0	3	44
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	90.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	6.8%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	30
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	2	2	0	55	0	2	0	2	63
	%	3.2%	3.2%	.0%	87.3%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.2%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	0	1	0	32	0	1	0	5	39
	%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	82.1%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	12.8%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	4	0	0	62	0	0	0	1	67
	%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	92.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	100.0%

		Race/Ethnicity								
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic- Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	Total
Washtenaw	N	1	39	51	1087	21	32	15	30	1276
	%	.1%	3.1%	4.0%	85.2%	1.6%	2.5%	1.2%	2.4%	100.0%
Wayne	N	19	112	685	3454	94	101	175	174	4814
	%	.4%	2.3%	14.2%	71.7%	2.0%	2.1%	3.6%	3.6%	100.0%
Wexford	N	0	1	0	34	0	0	0	0	35
	%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	97.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	N	117	486	1401	20564	386	435	493	1076	24958
	%	.5%	1.9%	5.6%	82.4%	1.5%	1.7%	2.0%	4.3%	100.0%

		Active Michigan R	esidents, Generati	ion by County, 201	7	
			Gener	ation		
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	Total
Alcona	N	3	4	4	0	11
	%	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Alger	N	2	6	4	0	12
	%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Allegan	N	14	59	46	16	135
	%	10.4%	43.7%	34.1%	11.9%	100.0%
Alpena	N	11	29	12	9	61
	%	18.0%	47.5%	19.7%	14.8%	100.0%
Antrim	N	18	29	6	4	57
	%	31.6%	50.9%	10.5%	7.0%	100.0%
Arenac	N	0	9	3	4	16
	%	.0%	56.3%	18.8%	25.0%	100.0%
Baraga	N	0	4	0	1	5
	%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	4	21	24	8	57
	%	7.0%	36.8%	42.1%	14.0%	100.0%
Bay	N	14	82	62	25	183
	%	7.7%	44.8%	33.9%	13.7%	100.0%
Benzie	N	4	16	9	4	33
	%	12.1%	48.5%	27.3%	12.1%	100.0%
Berrien	N	29	116	105	52	302
	%	9.6%	38.4%	34.8%	17.2%	100.0%
Branch	N	4	19	10	4	37
	%	10.8%	51.4%	27.0%	10.8%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	15	92	91	21	219
	%	6.8%	42.0%	41.6%	9.6%	100.0%
Cass	N	3	23	25	3	54
	%	5.6%	42.6%	46.3%	5.6%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	11	34	13	5	63
	%	17.5%	54.0%	20.6%	7.9%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	6	31	11	1	49
	%	12.2%	63.3%	22.4%	2.0%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	5	24	26	6	61
_	%	8.2%	39.3%	42.6%	9.8%	100.0%

		Active Michigan R	Residents, Generat	ion by County, 201	17				
			Generation						
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	Total			
Clare	N	6	9	15	8	38			
	%	15.8%	23.7%	39.5%	21.1%	100.0%			
Clinton	N	12	55	43	13	123			
	%	9.8%	44.7%	35.0%	10.6%	100.0%			
Crawford	N	3	6	13	2	24			
	%	12.5%	25.0%	54.2%	8.3%	100.0%			
Delta	N	5	31	11	9	56			
	%	8.9%	55.4%	19.6%	16.1%	100.0%			
Dickinson	N	5	21	13	6	45			
	%	11.1%	46.7%	28.9%	13.3%	100.0%			
Eaton	N	20	136	115	51	322			
	%	6.2%	42.2%	35.7%	15.8%	100.0%			
Emmet	N	21	63	43	6	133			
	%	15.8%	47.4%	32.3%	4.5%	100.0%			
Genesee	N	67	294	294	117	772			
	%	8.7%	38.1%	38.1%	15.2%	100.0%			
Gladwin	N	4	9	5	2	20			
	%	20.0%	45.0%	25.0%	10.0%	100.0%			
Gogebic	N	1	14	10	1	26			
	%	3.8%	53.8%	38.5%	3.8%	100.0%			
Grand Traverse	N	36	183	177	60	456			
	%	7.9%	40.1%	38.8%	13.2%	100.0%			
Gratiot	N	4	14	18	3	39			
	%	10.3%	35.9%	46.2%	7.7%	100.0%			
Hillsdale	N	3	11	16	3	33			
	%	9.1%	33.3%	48.5%	9.1%	100.0%			
Houghton	N	2	13	15	4	34			
	%	5.9%	38.2%	44.1%	11.8%	100.0%			
Huron	N	5	21	15	3	44			
	%	11.4%	47.7%	34.1%	6.8%	100.0%			
Ingham	N	118	937	1021	458	2534			
	%	4.7%	37.0%	40.3%	18.1%	100.0%			
Ionia	N	3	17	24	8	52			
	%	5.8%	32.7%	46.2%	15.4%	100.0%			

		Active Michigan R	esidents, Generat	ion by County, 201	7				
			Generation						
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	Total			
Iosco	N	6	22	9	5	42			
	%	14.3%	52.4%	21.4%	11.9%	100.0%			
Iron	N	0	13	5	4	22			
	%	.0%	59.1%	22.7%	18.2%	100.0%			
Isabella	N	4	35	40	23	102			
	%	3.9%	34.3%	39.2%	22.5%	100.0%			
Jackson	N	20	119	100	36	275			
	%	7.3%	43.3%	36.4%	13.1%	100.0%			
Kalamazoo	N	50	302	303	104	759			
	%	6.6%	39.8%	39.9%	13.7%	100.0%			
Kalkaska	N	1	5	1	2	9			
	%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	22.2%	100.0%			
Kent	N	132	918	1166	486	2702			
	%	4.9%	34.0%	43.2%	18.0%	100.0%			
Keweenaw	N	1	3	0	0	4			
	%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%			
Lake	N	1	3	0	1	5			
	%	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%			
Lapeer	N	12	37	51	16	116			
	%	10.3%	31.9%	44.0%	13.8%	100.0%			
Leelanau	N	17	48	33	14	112			
	%	15.2%	42.9%	29.5%	12.5%	100.0%			
Lenawee	N	11	39	45	16	111			
	%	9.9%	35.1%	40.5%	14.4%	100.0%			
Livingston	N	31	166	199	71	467			
	%	6.6%	35.5%	42.6%	15.2%	100.0%			
Luce	N	0	3	3	2	8			
	%	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	100.0%			
Mackinac	N	2	9	4	3	18			
	%	11.1%	50.0%	22.2%	16.7%	100.0%			
Macomb	N	147	673	799	338	1957			
	%	7.5%	34.4%	40.8%	17.3%	100.0%			
Manistee	N	6	17	10	4	37			
	%	16.2%	45.9%	27.0%	10.8%	100.0%			

		Active Michigan R	Residents, Generat	ion by County, 201	7				
			Generation						
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	Total			
Marquette	N	11	61	45	23	140			
	%	7.9%	43.6%	32.1%	16.4%	100.0%			
Mason	N	2	20	13	10	45			
	%	4.4%	44.4%	28.9%	22.2%	100.0%			
Mecosta	N	7	24	19	4	54			
	%	13.0%	44.4%	35.2%	7.4%	100.0%			
Menominee	N	2	13	4	3	22			
	%	9.1%	59.1%	18.2%	13.6%	100.0%			
Midland	N	15	74	100	32	221			
	%	6.8%	33.5%	45.2%	14.5%	100.0%			
Missaukee	N	2	4	5	1	12			
	%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	100.0%			
Monroe	N	10	73	79	30	192			
	%	5.2%	38.0%	41.1%	15.6%	100.0%			
Montcalm	N	6	18	16	11	51			
	%	11.8%	35.3%	31.4%	21.6%	100.0%			
Montmorency	N	1	5	0	0	6			
	%	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%			
Muskegon	N	20	98	101	40	259			
	%	7.7%	37.8%	39.0%	15.4%	100.0%			
Newaygo	N	2	14	15	6	37			
	%	5.4%	37.8%	40.5%	16.2%	100.0%			
Oakland	N	832	3753	4626	2064	11275			
	%	7.4%	33.3%	41.0%	18.3%	100.0%			
Oceana	N	5	13	9	1	28			
	%	17.9%	46.4%	32.1%	3.6%	100.0%			
Ogemaw	N	3	12	10	2	27			
	%	11.1%	44.4%	37.0%	7.4%	100.0%			
Ontonagon	N	0	3	1	0	4			
	%	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%			
Osceola	N	1	7	3	1	12			
	%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	100.0%			
Oscoda	N	1	3	2	2	8			
	%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%			

		Active Michigan R	Residents, Generat	ion by County, 201	17				
			Generation						
		Traditionalists 1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	Total			
Otsego	N	7	28	19	5	59			
	%	11.9%	47.5%	32.2%	8.5%	100.0%			
Ottawa	N	24	139	174	69	406			
	%	5.9%	34.2%	42.9%	17.0%	100.0%			
Presque Isle	N	1	10	3	0	14			
	%	7.1%	71.4%	21.4%	.0%	100.0%			
Roscommon	N	3	12	9	7	31			
	%	9.7%	38.7%	29.0%	22.6%	100.0%			
Saginaw	N	43	199	123	60	425			
	%	10.1%	46.8%	28.9%	14.1%	100.0%			
Saint Clair	N	17	88	80	28	213			
	%	8.0%	41.3%	37.6%	13.1%	100.0%			
Saint Joseph	N	4	21	24	10	59			
	%	6.8%	35.6%	40.7%	16.9%	100.0%			
Sanilac	N	5	25	13	3	46			
	%	10.9%	54.3%	28.3%	6.5%	100.0%			
Schoolcraft	N	0	5	1	1	7			
	%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	100.0%			
Shiawassee	N	10	39	28	7	84			
	%	11.9%	46.4%	33.3%	8.3%	100.0%			
Tuscola	N	4	20	17	9	50			
	%	8.0%	40.0%	34.0%	18.0%	100.0%			
Van Buren	N	9	31	38	9	87			
	%	10.3%	35.6%	43.7%	10.3%	100.0%			
Washtenaw	N	150	611	765	260	1786			
	%	8.4%	34.2%	42.8%	14.6%	100.0%			
Wayne	N	384	2387	2574	1285	6630			
	%	5.8%	36.0%	38.8%	19.4%	100.0%			
Wexford	N	2	27	21	3	53			
	%	3.8%	50.9%	39.6%	5.7%	100.0%			
Not Reported	N	0	1	1	1	3			
	%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%			
Total	N	2482	12682	14005	6029	35198			
	%	7.1%	36.0%	39.8%	17.1%	100.0%			

Active M	ichigan Residents b	y Private and Non-Priv	vate Practice by County, 2	2017
		Pract	ice Area	
		Private	Non-Private	
		Practitioners	Practitioners	Total
Alcona	N	5	6	11
	%	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
Alger	N	7	5	12
	%	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
Allegan	N	49	86	135
	%	36.3%	63.7%	100.0%
Alpena	N	36	25	61
	%	59.0%	41.0%	100.0%
Antrim	N	21	36	57
	%	36.8%	63.2%	100.0%
Arenac	N	10	6	16
	%	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Baraga	N	0	5	5
	%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	24	33	57
	%	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
Bay	N	102	81	183
	%	55.7%	44.3%	100.0%
Benzie	N	16	17	33
	%	48.5%	51.5%	100.0%
Berrien	N	138	164	302
	%	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
Branch	N	17	20	37
	%	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	93	126	219
	%	42.5%	57.5%	100.0%
Cass	N	18	36	54
	%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	21	42	63
	%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	22	27	49
<i>v</i> o	%	44.9%	55.1%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	25	36	61
r	%	41.0%	59.0%	100.0%

Practice Area Private Practitioners Pr	Active I	Michigan Residents l	by Private and Non-Priva	ate Practice by County, 2	2017
Practitioners Practitioners Total			Practi	ce Area	
Clare N 19 19 38 % 50.0% 50.0% 100.0% Clinton N 43 80 123 % 35.0% 65.0% 100.0% Crawford N 11 13 24 % 45.8% 54.2% 100.0% Delta N 27 29 56 % 48.2% 51.8% 100.0% Dickinson N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
Solution					
Clinton N 43 80 123 % 35.0% 65.0% 100.0% Crawford N 11 13 24 % 45.8% 54.2% 100.0% Delta N 27 29 56 % 48.2% 51.8% 100.0% Dickinson N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesce N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N <	Clare				
Crawford % 35.0% 65.0% 100.0% Crawford N 11 13 24 % 45.8% 54.2% 100.0% Delta N 27 29 56 % 48.2% 51.8% 100.0% Eaton N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Graid N <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Crawford N 11 13 24 % 45.8% 54.2% 100.0% Delta N 27 29 56 % 48.2% 51.8% 100.0% Dickinson N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6%	Clinton				
Mathematical Property					
Delta N 27 29 56 % 48.2% 51.8% 100.0% Dickinson N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % <	Crawford		11		24
N		%	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
Dickinson N 22 23 45 % 48.9% 51.1% 100.0% Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesce N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5%	Delta	N	27	29	56
Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 Houghton N 18 26 44 M 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 M 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 India N 28 24 52		%	48.2%	51.8%	100.0%
Eaton N 118 204 322 % 36.6% 63.4% 100.0% Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 44.2%	Dickinson	N	22	23	45
Emmet N 666 67 133 \[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		%	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%
Emmet N 66 67 133 % 49.6% 50.4% 100.0% Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% <t< td=""><td>Eaton</td><td>N</td><td>118</td><td>204</td><td>322</td></t<>	Eaton	N	118	204	322
Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0%		%	36.6%	63.4%	100.0%
Genesee N 382 390 772 % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1%	Emmet	N	66	67	133
Gladwin % 49.5% 50.5% 100.0% Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52		%	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
Gladwin N 9 11 20 % 45.0% 55.0% 100.0% Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Genesee	N	382	390	772
Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52		%	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%
Gogebic N 15 11 26 % 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Gladwin	N	9	11	20
% 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52		0/0	45.0%	55.0%	100.0%
% 57.7% 42.3% 100.0% Grand Traverse N 258 198 456 % 56.6% 43.4% 100.0% Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Gogebic	N	15	11	26
Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	C	0/0	57.7%	42.3%	100.0%
Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Grand Traverse	N	258	198	456
Gratiot N 20 19 39 % 51.3% 48.7% 100.0% Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52					
Whillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Gratiot	N			
Hillsdale N 16 17 33 % 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52					
Wo 48.5% 51.5% 100.0% Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Hillsdale				
Houghton N 14 20 34 % 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52					
% 41.2% 58.8% 100.0% Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Houghton				
Huron N 18 26 44 % 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52					
% 40.9% 59.1% 100.0% Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Huron				
Ingham N 763 1771 2534 % 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52					
% 30.1% 69.9% 100.0% Ionia N 28 24 52	Ingham				
Ionia N 28 24 52	ıngılalıı				
		70	30.1 /0	09,970	100.0 /0
% 53.8% 46.2% 100.0%	Ionia	N	28	24	52
		0/0	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%

	Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2017									
		Pract	tice Area							
		Private	Non-Private							
		Practitioners	Practitioners	Total						
Iosco	N	22	20	42						
	%	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%						
Iron	N	18	4	22						
	%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%						
Isabella	N	47	55	102						
	%	46.1%	53.9%	100.0%						
Jackson	N	116	159	275						
	%	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%						
Kalamazoo	N	400	359	759						
	%	52.7%	47.3%	100.0%						
Kalkaska	N	3	6	9						
	%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%						
Kent	N	1473	1229	2702						
	%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%						
Keweenaw	N	1	3	4						
	%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%						
Lake	N	0	5	5						
	%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
Lapeer	N	63	53	116						
•	%	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%						
Leelanau	N	50	62	112						
	%	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%						
Lenawee	N	69	42	111						
	%	62.2%	37.8%	100.0%						
Livingston	N	203	264	467						
0	%	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%						
Luce	N	3	5	8						
	%	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%						
Mackinac	N	8	10	18						
	%	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%						
Macomb	N	987	970	1957						
	%	50.4%	49.6%	100.0%						
Maniatao										
Manistee	N	15	22 50.50/	100.00/						
	%	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%						

Active Michig	an Residents b	y Private and Non-Priv	vate Practice by County, 2	2017
		Pract	tice Area	
		Private	Non-Private	
		Practitioners	Practitioners	Total
Marquette	N	76	64	140
	%	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%
Mason	N	25	20	45
	%	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	23	31	54
	%	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%
Menominee	N	14	8	22
	%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Midland	N	64	157	221
	%	29.0%	71.0%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	6	6	12
	%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	84	108	192
	%	43.8%	56.3%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	29	22	51
	%	56.9%	43.1%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	1	5	6
	%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	124	135	259
	%	47.9%	52.1%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	15	22	37
	%	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
Oakland	N	6172	5103	11275
	%	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
Oceana	N	18	10	28
	%	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	14	13	27
	%	51.9%	48.1%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	2	2	4
	%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	8	4	12
	%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	3	5	8
	%	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%

Active Mic	higan Residents	by Private and Non-Priva	ate Practice by County, 2	2017
		Practi	ice Area	
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	Total
Otsego	N	29	30	59
	%	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	199	207	406
	%	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	7	7	14
	%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	12	19	31
	%	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	243	182	425
	%	57.2%	42.8%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	117	96	213
	%	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	36	23	59
	%	61.0%	39.0%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	24	22	46
	%	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	4	3	7
	%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	29	55	84
	%	34.5%	65.5%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	19	31	50
	%	38.0%	62.0%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	42	45	87
	%	48.3%	51.7%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	752	1034	1786
	%	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
Wayne	N	2700	3930	6630
	%	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
Wexford	N	26	27	53
	%	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	1	2	3
	%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Total	N	16829	18369	35198
	%	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%

	Activ	ve Michigan I	Residents in	Private Pr	actice by Fi	rm Size an	d County, 2	2017	
					Firm Size				
		Not	Very	Large	Medium	Small	Solo	Solo	Total
		Reported	Large 100+	21-99	11-20	2-10	Full- Time	Part- Time	Total
Alcona	N	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	5
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Alger	N	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	7
	%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%
Allegan	N	0	1	0	0	22	16	10	49
	%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	44.9%	32.7%	20.4%	100.0%
Alpena	N	1	0	0	0	26	5	4	36
	%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	72.2%	13.9%	11.1%	100.0%
Antrim	N	0	0	0	0	5	7	9	21
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.8%	33.3%	42.9%	100.0%
Arenac	N	0	0	1	0	4	2	3	10
	%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	0	0	0	0	14	9	1	24
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	58.3%	37.5%	4.2%	100.0%
Bay	N	1	0	0	2	60	24	15	102
	%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	58.8%	23.5%	14.7%	100.0%
Benzie	N	2	0	0	0	2	6	6	16
	%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Berrien	N	0	2	10	6	63	43	14	138
	%	.0%	1.4%	7.2%	4.3%	45.7%	31.2%	10.1%	100.0%
Branch	N	1	0	0	0	5	8	3	17
	%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	0	0	6	2	43	34	8	93
	%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	2.2%	46.2%	36.6%	8.6%	100.0%
Cass	N	0	0	0	1	4	11	2	18
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%	11.1%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	1	0	0	0	8	7	5	21
	%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	38.1%	33.3%	23.8%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	1	4	0	0	4	10	3	22
	%	4.5%	18.2%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	45.5%	13.6%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	0	0	0	0	2	13	10	25
_	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	52.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Clare	N	0	0	0	0	13	4	2	19
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	68.4%	21.1%	10.5%	100.0%

	Activ	ve Michigan I	Residents in	Private Pr	actice by Fi	rm Size an	d County, 2	017	
					Firm Size				
		Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full- Time	Solo Part- Time	Total
Clinton	N	0	0	0	0	10	14	19	43
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.3%	32.6%	44.2%	100.0%
Crawford	N	0	0	0	0	6	3	2	11
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	100.0%
Delta	N	1	0	0	0	16	8	2	27
	%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	59.3%	29.6%	7.4%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	0	0	0	1	8	12	1	22
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Eaton	N	1	0	11	1	37	42	26	118
	%	.8%	.0%	9.3%	.8%	31.4%	35.6%	22.0%	100.0%
Emmet	N	2	8	1	1	18	17	19	66
	%	3.0%	12.1%	1.5%	1.5%	27.3%	25.8%	28.8%	100.0%
Genesee	N	5	5	12	2	123	172	63	382
	%	1.3%	1.3%	3.1%	.5%	32.2%	45.0%	16.5%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	9
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	44.4%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	0	0	1	0	8	3	3	15
	%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	53.3%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Grand	N	1	0	23	21	121	52	40	258
Traverse	%	.4%	.0%	8.9%	8.1%	46.9%	20.2%	15.5%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	0	0	0	0	7	10	3	20
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.0%	50.0%	15.0%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	0	0	0	0	6	8	2	16
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
Houghton	N	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	14
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	50.0%	21.4%	100.0%
Huron	N	1	0	0	0	10	5	2	18
	%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	55.6%	27.8%	11.1%	100.0%
Ingham	N	11	88	112	57	225	170	100	763
	%	1.4%	11.5%	14.7%	7.5%	29.5%	22.3%	13.1%	100.0%
Ionia	N	0	0	0	0	10	14	4	28
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.7%	50.0%	14.3%	100.0%
Iosco	N	0	0	0	0	7	8	7	22
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	31.8%	36.4%	31.8%	100.0%

	Activ	e Michigan I	Residents in	Private Pr	actice by Fi	rm Size an	d County, 2	017	
					Firm Size				
		Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full- Time	Solo Part- Time	Total
Iron	N	0	0	0	0	11	6	1	18
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	61.1%	33.3%	5.6%	100.0%
Isabella	N	0	0	0	0	24	17	6	47
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	51.1%	36.2%	12.8%	100.0%
Jackson	N	2	0	0	6	51	27	30	116
	%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	44.0%	23.3%	25.9%	100.0%
Kalamazoo	N	2	65	25	38	141	83	46	400
	%	.5%	16.3%	6.3%	9.5%	35.3%	20.8%	11.5%	100.0%
Kalkaska	N	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Kent	N	12	330	289	54	402	244	142	1473
	%	.8%	22.4%	19.6%	3.7%	27.3%	16.6%	9.6%	100.0%
Keweenaw	N	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lapeer	N	1	0	0	1	35	16	10	63
	%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	55.6%	25.4%	15.9%	100.0%
Leelanau	N	0	0	1	1	25	13	10	50
	%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.0%	50.0%	26.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Lenawee	N	1	0	7	0	18	30	13	69
	%	1.4%	.0%	10.1%	.0%	26.1%	43.5%	18.8%	100.0%
Livingston	N	5	1	2	1	82	69	43	203
	%	2.5%	.5%	1.0%	.5%	40.4%	34.0%	21.2%	100.0%
Luce	N	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Mackinac	N	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	8
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Macomb	N	13	17	31	42	338	375	171	987
	%	1.3%	1.7%	3.1%	4.3%	34.2%	38.0%	17.3%	100.0%
Manistee	N	1	0	3	0	2	7	2	15
	%	6.7%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	13.3%	46.7%	13.3%	100.0%
Marquette	N	1	1	2	8	42	15	7	76
	%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	10.5%	55.3%	19.7%	9.2%	100.0%
Mason	N	1	0	0	0	8	11	5	25
	%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.0%	44.0%	20.0%	100.0%

					Firm Size		d County, 2		
		Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full- Time	Solo Part- Time	Total
Mecosta	N	0	0	0	0	12	6	5	23
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	52.2%	26.1%	21.7%	100.0%
Menominee	N	0	0	0	0	4	9	1	14
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	100.0%
Midland	N	0	6	0	2	30	15	11	64
	%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	3.1%	46.9%	23.4%	17.2%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Monroe	N	0	1	3	0	36	29	15	84
	%	.0%	1.2%	3.6%	.0%	42.9%	34.5%	17.9%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	1	0	0	0	9	15	4	29
	%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	31.0%	51.7%	13.8%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	1	7	2	5	53	35	21	124
	%	.8%	5.6%	1.6%	4.0%	42.7%	28.2%	16.9%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	0	0	0	0	7	6	2	15
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	46.7%	40.0%	13.3%	100.0%
Oakland	N	100	778	950	596	1854	1236	658	6172
	%	1.6%	12.6%	15.4%	9.7%	30.0%	20.0%	10.7%	100.0%
Oceana	N	0	0	0	0	5	4	9	18
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	27.8%	22.2%	50.0%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	1	0	0	0	3	6	4	14
S	%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.4%	42.9%	28.6%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	8
0 5 0 0 1 1	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Otsego	N	0	0	0	0	15	9	5	29
Ü	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	51.7%	31.0%	17.2%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	2	11	6	33	60	52	35	199
	%	1.0%	5.5%	3.0%	16.6%	30.2%	26.1%	17.6%	100.0%

	Activ	e Michigan I	Residents in	Private Pr	actice by Fir	m Size an	d County, 2	017	
					Firm Size				
		Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full- Time	Solo Part- Time	Total
Presque Isle	N	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	7
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	0	0	0	0	5	4	3	12
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	41.7%	33.3%	25.0%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	1	5	28	3	119	61	26	243
	%	.4%	2.1%	11.5%	1.2%	49.0%	25.1%	10.7%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	0	1	4	0	58	39	15	117
	%	.0%	.9%	3.4%	.0%	49.6%	33.3%	12.8%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	0	0	0	0	18	15	3	36
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	0	0	0	0	4	12	8	24
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	0	0	0	0	5	17	7	29
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.2%	58.6%	24.1%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	1	0	0	0	8	5	5	19
	%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	42.1%	26.3%	26.3%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	0	0	1	5	7	13	16	42
	%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	11.9%	16.7%	31.0%	38.1%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	15	144	53	32	220	155	133	752
	%	2.0%	19.1%	7.0%	4.3%	29.3%	20.6%	17.7%	100.0%
Wayne	N	35	556	254	146	674	644	391	2700
	%	1.3%	20.6%	9.4%	5.4%	25.0%	23.9%	14.5%	100.0%
Wexford	N	0	0	0	0	15	11	0	26
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	57.7%	42.3%	.0%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	N	225	2032	1840	1068	5302	4081	2281	16829
	%	1.3%	12.1%	10.9%	6.3%	31.5%	24.2%	13.6%	100.0%

	A	Active Michigan Ro	esidents by A	_	County, 201	1	
				Age Group			
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
Alcona	N	0	0	2	2	7	11
	%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%	100.0%
Alger	N	0	0	2	2	8	12
	%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	100.0%
Allegan	N	3	19	19	29	65	135
	%	2.2%	14.1%	14.1%	21.5%	48.1%	100.0%
Alpena	N	1	9	4	13	34	61
	%	1.6%	14.8%	6.6%	21.3%	55.7%	100.0%
Antrim	N	3	2	2	5	45	57
	%	5.3%	3.5%	3.5%	8.8%	78.9%	100.0%
Arenac	N	1	3	0	5	7	16
	%	6.3%	18.8%	.0%	31.3%	43.8%	100.0%
Baraga	N	0	1	0	1	3	5
	%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	1	9	7	17	23	57
·	%	1.8%	15.8%	12.3%	29.8%	40.4%	100.0%
Bay	N	3	31	31	33	85	183
	%	1.6%	16.9%	16.9%	18.0%	46.4%	100.0%
Benzie	N	0	4	6	4	19	33
	%	.0%	12.1%	18.2%	12.1%	57.6%	100.0%
Berrien	N	8	61	50	55	128	302
2011011	%	2.6%	20.2%	16.6%	18.2%	42.4%	100.0%
Branch	N	1	4	4	11	17	37
Branch	%	2.7%	10.8%	10.8%	29.7%	45.9%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	2.770	26	50	55	86	219
Cambun	%	.9%	11.9%	22.8%	25.1%	39.3%	100.0%
Cass	N	.970	6	13	25.1%	26	54
Cass	%						
CI I '		.0%	11.1%	24.1%	16.7%	48.1%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	0	6	7	7	43	63
	0/0	.0%	9.5%	11.1%	11.1%	68.3%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	1	2	4	9	33	49
	%	2.0%	4.1%	8.2%	18.4%	67.3%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	2	7	14	14	24	61
	%	3.3%	11.5%	23.0%	23.0%	39.3%	100.0%
Clare	N	3	5	10	6	14	38
	%	7.9%	13.2%	26.3%	15.8%	36.8%	100.0%

	A	Active Michigan R	esidents by A	ge Group and	County, 201	7	
				Age Group			
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
Clinton	N	1	19	12	33	58	123
	%	.8%	15.4%	9.8%	26.8%	47.2%	100.0%
Crawford	N	0	5	7	3	9	24
	%	.0%	20.8%	29.2%	12.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Delta	N	2	10	5	7	32	56
	%	3.6%	17.9%	8.9%	12.5%	57.1%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	1	7	6	10	21	45
	%	2.2%	15.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.7%	100.0%
Eaton	N	8	62	55	61	136	322
	%	2.5%	19.3%	17.1%	18.9%	42.2%	100.0%
Emmet	N	1	11	19	24	78	133
	%	.8%	8.3%	14.3%	18.0%	58.6%	100.0%
Genesee	N	16	127	159	161	309	772
2	%	2.1%	16.5%	20.6%	20.9%	40.0%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	0	2	3	3	12	20
() - () - () - () - () - () - () - () -	%	.0%	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	0	2	5	5	14	26
Gogenie	%	.0%	7.7%	19.2%	19.2%	53.8%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	5	85	83	95	188	456
Grand Traverse	%	1.1%	18.6%	18.2%	20.8%	41.2%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	1.170	5	12	6	15	39
Granot	%	2.6%	12.8%	30.8%	15.4%	38.5%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	1	3	7	9	13	33
Imsuarc	%	3.0%	9.1%	21.2%	27.3%	39.4%	100.0%
Houghton	N	0	7.170	7	7	13	34
Houghton	%	.0%	20.6%	20.6%	20.6%	38.2%	100.0%
Huron	N	2	20.070	7	8	22	44
1101011	%	4.5%	11.4%	15.9%	18.2%	50.0%	100.0%
Inghom	N						
Ingham		107	526	496	525	880	2534
Ionio	% N	4.2%	20.8%	19.6%	20.7%	34.7%	100.0%
Ionia	N	7.79/	7.79/	14	12	18	52
Tagas	%	7.7%	7.7%	26.9%	23.1%	34.6%	100.0%
Iosco	N	4.00/	7.10/	0.50/	14.20/	27	42
-	% N	4.8%	7.1%	9.5%	14.3%	64.3%	100.0%
Iron	N	1	3	2	4	12	22
	%	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	100.0%

	F	ctive Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2017					
		29 or Under	20.45.20	Age Group 40 to 49	50 to 59	60 an Owan	Total
T 1 11	».T		30 to 39			60 or Over	Total
Isabella	N	2	24	21	23	32	102
	%	2.0%	23.5%	20.6%	22.5%	31.4%	100.0%
Jackson	N	5	43	55	49	123	275
	%	1.8%	15.6%	20.0%	17.8%	44.7%	100.0%
Kalamazoo	N	22	130	149	160	298	759
	%	2.9%	17.1%	19.6%	21.1%	39.3%	100.0%
Kalkaska	N	1	1	0	2	5	9
	%	11.1%	11.1%	.0%	22.2%	55.6%	100.0%
Kent	N	95	606	576	567	858	2702
	%	3.5%	22.4%	21.3%	21.0%	31.8%	100.0%
Keweenaw	N	0	0	0	1	3	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Lake	N	0	1	0	1	3	5
	%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Lapeer	N	2	22	25	27	40	116
•	%	1.7%	19.0%	21.6%	23.3%	34.5%	100.0%
Leelanau	N	1	17	20	17	57	112
	%	.9%	15.2%	17.9%	15.2%	50.9%	100.0%
Lenawee	N	5	18	23	22	43	111
	%	4.5%	16.2%	20.7%	19.8%	38.7%	100.0%
Livingston	N	20	81	84	107	175	467
	%	4.3%	17.3%	18.0%	22.9%	37.5%	100.0%
Luce	N	0	2	1	2	3	8
	%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	100.0%
Mackinac	N	0	3	1	3	11	18
	%	.0%	16.7%	5.6%	16.7%	61.1%	100.0%
Macomb	N	77	372	406	396	706	1957
	%	3.9%	19.0%	20.7%	20.2%	36.1%	100.0%
Manistee	N	2	3	2	12	18	37
	%	5.4%	8.1%	5.4%	32.4%	48.6%	100.0%
Marquette	N	2	26	20	32.4 / 6	62	140
	%	1.4%	18.6%	14.3%	21.4%	44.3%	100.0%
Mason							
	N	2 29/	24.49/	12 20/	15 69/	20	100.00/
Mecosta	% N	2.2%	24.4%	13.3%	15.6%	44.4%	100.0%
	N	2 704	6	6	13	27	54
	%	3.7%	11.1%	11.1%	24.1%	50.0%	100.0%

	A	Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2017					
		Age Group					
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
Menominee	N	1	2	4	3	12	22
	%	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	13.6%	54.5%	100.0%
Midland	N	6	36	52	56	71	221
	%	2.7%	16.3%	23.5%	25.3%	32.1%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	0	2	2	2	6	12
	%	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	4	35	42	35	76	192
	%	2.1%	18.2%	21.9%	18.2%	39.6%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	2	12	7	9	21	51
	%	3.9%	23.5%	13.7%	17.6%	41.2%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	0	0	0	1	5	6
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	8	47	49	54	101	259
	%	3.1%	18.1%	18.9%	20.8%	39.0%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	1	9	10	2	15	37
	%	2.7%	24.3%	27.0%	5.4%	40.5%	100.0%
Oakland	N	451	2305	2217	2433	3869	11275
	%	4.0%	20.4%	19.7%	21.6%	34.3%	100.0%
Oceana	N	0	3	3	5	17	28
	%	.0%	10.7%	10.7%	17.9%	60.7%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	1	1	6	8	11	27
- g	%	3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	29.6%	40.7%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	0	0	1	3	4
8	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	0	1	1	2	8	12
	%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	1	1	1	1	4	8
	%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	100.0%
Otsego	N	2	6	10	9	32	59
J 150 80	%	3.4%	10.2%	16.9%	15.3%	54.2%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	11	81	87	89	138	406
	%	2.7%	20.0%	21.4%	21.9%	34.0%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	0	1	0	3	10	14
	%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	21.4%	71.4%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	1	6	1	9	14	31
21000011111111111	%	3.2%	19.4%	3.2%	29.0%	45.2%	100.0%

Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2017							
	Age Group						
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	Total
Saginaw	N	18	60	51	83	213	425
	%	4.2%	14.1%	12.0%	19.5%	50.1%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	9	27	44	45	88	213
	%	4.2%	12.7%	20.7%	21.1%	41.3%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	3	15	6	12	23	59
	%	5.1%	25.4%	10.2%	20.3%	39.0%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	0	7	4	11	24	46
	%	.0%	15.2%	8.7%	23.9%	52.2%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	1	1	0	5	7
	%	.0%	14.3%	14.3%	.0%	71.4%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	1	11	13	19	40	84
	%	1.2%	13.1%	15.5%	22.6%	47.6%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	3	8	8	13	18	50
	%	6.0%	16.0%	16.0%	26.0%	36.0%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	4	10	16	18	39	87
	%	4.6%	11.5%	18.4%	20.7%	44.8%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	49	332	369	374	662	1786
	%	2.7%	18.6%	20.7%	20.9%	37.1%	100.0%
Wayne	N	323	1316	1278	1422	2291	6630
	%	4.9%	19.8%	19.3%	21.4%	34.6%	100.0%
Wexford	N	0	6	9	13	25	53
	%	.0%	11.3%	17.0%	24.5%	47.2%	100.0%
Not Reported	N	0	1	1	0	1	3
	%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	100.0%
Total	N	1317	6789	6815	7427	12850	35198
	%	3.7%	19.3%	19.4%	21.1%	36.5%	100.0%