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## The Economics of Law Practice in Michigan

## Objectives of the Economics Survey

Over the past 28 years, the State Bar of Michigan has surveyed and published information on the economics of law practice in Michigan. During this period, the number of attorneys actively practicing in Michigan has increased steadily from about 12,000 in 1972 to about 31,000 in 2000. At the same time, Michigan's population has grown and then stabilized while, within the state, regions have independently experienced concurrent periods of economic expansion and decline.
To determine some of the impacts of these changes on the profession, the Law Practice Management Section periodically monitors and reports on:

- Current membership demographics and its geographic distribution
- Attorney practice (legal) classification and net income by location, tenure, and firm size
- Associate, legal assistant, and secretary compensation by tenure and experience
- Prevailing average hourly billing rates for attorneys and legal assistants
- Time allocated to billable and nonbillable professional activities
- Overhead expenses associated with maintaining a private practice by location and firm size
- Perceptions on current and future economic circumstances related to law practice
The above information has been consolidated into this reference guide and is organized both geographically and over time to track trends within and across the state's regional markets. Attorneys can compare themselves and their firm against "norms" established by the aggregation of survey data. Hopefully, such comparisons can lead
to the delivery of more effective and efficient services to clients and to the general citizenry in Michigan.

The Law Practice Management Section of the Bar receives many requests from attorneys for help in establishing assigned counsel fees, for help in cases involving judicial determination of attorney fees, and for updates from previous survey periods. This report consolidates the most recently available (summer 2000) data on average hourly billing rates.

Special attention has been given to the overall analysis of gender- and race-specific factors influencing attorney income and work time. Because of the small proportion of members who are African-American, they were over-sampled to gather as much information as possible. Only AfricanAmerican and Caucasian responses are compared in race/ethnicity-specific exhibits in this report since there were insufficient data from other non-Caucasian groups, such as Hispanic-American and AsianAmerican groups.

## Methods Used to Field the Survey

The previous six surveys were conducted during July 1981, April 1984, June 1988, March 1991, April 1994, and April 1997. This report is based on a June 2000 survey that replicated most questions found on previous questionnaires to track trends of attorney incomes, billing rates, and law office management practices. Reporting conventions remain identical to previous survey reports regarding geographic location of the law firm or place of work, office size, and "years in practice" breakdowns.

A 45-question questionnaire was designed by the Survey Committee, chaired
by Vince Romano of Grosse Pointe Park. The confidential questionnaire was mailed to a random sample of the State Bar Membership using a current roster of in-state, active members. The Applied Statistics Laboratory (ASL) of Ann Arbor tabulated and analyzed the data obtained from 1,600 usable returned questionnaires to prepare this report. These returns represent a response rate of 20 percent of the 8,000 questionnaires originally mailed. Approximately 400 returns were received from non-Caucasian attorneys from a separate mailing of approximately 2,500 surveys.

Appendix A summarizes the geographic distribution of the respondents compared with previous surveys. Appendix B compares the distribution of Bar membership by geographic area since 1976. Appendix C compares the distribution of the respondents by practice classification or legal occupation since 1976.

The mailing was based on a 25 percent ( 1 of 4 members) systematic Nth sample of State Bar active members rank ordered by office mailing address within Michigan only. This sample was drawn proportionately within each of the state's five-digit zip codes to assure a uniform sample representation throughout the state. For example, 12 questionnaires were mailed to offices in a zip code containing 48 members ( $48 \div 4=12$ ), while 120 questionnaires were mailed to offices in a zip code containing 480 members ( $480 \div 4=120$ ).

A separate mailing was targeted to 100 percent of Bar members self-identified on their dues applications as non-Caucasian.

All data were edited, verified, and converted to machine readable form during July and August 2000. Raw data is resident on computer files maintained at ASL.

The survey was confidential, with no identification of the respondents. All exhibits in the report present aggregate data to prevent respondent identification.
Additional tabulations can be generated for Bar members upon request. Inquiries can be made to ASL, 2855 Dexter Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103, Attention: Dr. Lawrence Stiffman. Phone requests for assistance in interpreting data found in this report should also be directed to Dr. Stiffman at (313) 996-0262 or e-mail at ASLinfo@aol.com

## A Review of Statistical Terms

To help interpret the information in this report, here is a brief discussion of measures of central tendency (median and mean) and measures of dispersion (spread).

## Measures of Central Tendency

The mean (also called the average or arithmetic average) is calculated by adding the values of all responses, then dividing by the number of responses.

Example: Three responses, 3, 1, and 2, are reported. The average is calculated by adding their values $(1+2+3=6)$, then dividing by the number of responses (3). Thus, the average is $6 \div 3=2$.
The median is the middle value of a series (distribution) of values, which is initially rank-ordered (from low to high or vice versa). By definition, half the numbers are greater than and half are less than the median.

Example: Three responses, 30, 1, and 2, are reported. The median is the middle number of the order of distribution (1,2, 30) or 2 . The average of this same distribution is 33 divided by 3 , or 11 . Use of the median as a statistic for central tendency reduces the effect of "outliers" (extremely high or low values, such as 30), while the average does not. Median values are utilized throughout this report to denote the measure of central tendency.

## Measures of Dispersion

In addition to the median, three other percentile values are used in this report to reveal the spread or dispersion of a particular data distribution. These include:

- 25th percentile (lower quartile). Onefourth of the values is less than and threefourths are more than this value.
- 75th percentile (upper quartile). Three fourths of the values are less than and onefourth is more than this value.
- 95th percentile. Ninety-five percent of the values are less than and five percent are more than this value.


## Economic Regions Defined

Michigan was divided into six economic regions and 21 smaller areas for aggregation and reporting key statistics generated from the survey. These areas also conform to those of previous survey reports and include:

- Downtown Detroit
- South Oakland County
- Remainder of Metropolitan Detroit Area
- Lansing
- Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (cities) outside of Southeastern Michigan termed "Outstate M etro Areas"
- Remaining counties and cities termed "Other Outstate Areas"


## Interpreting Findings

Net income, gross revenue, and overhead expense represent 1999 values, since the survey was fielded in the spring of 2000. All other data represent 2000 values covering the response period between May and June, 2000.

To denote gaps such as the "gender gap" of reporting incomes, the term proportion is used on selected exhibits. Proportion denotes the median value of one group
divided by another. Hypothetically, a reported income of $\$ 75,000$ for female or African-American attorneys divided by $\$ 100,000$ for male or Caucasian attorneys yields the proportion .75 . Here, proportion communicates that females or African Americans earn "seventy-five cents on the dollar" compared with their male or Caucasian counterparts.

Caution should be exercised in interpreting data when only a small number of responses are available. This is because of the strong influence of a few "outliers" that might distort reality. In such cases, readers are advised to "group up" to a larger geographic area or job classification, where appropriate. No value is represented if less than five responses were reported (denoted by $a-)$.

Personnel planning and decision-making include many personal factors not covered in surveys of this scope or nature. However, this report provides ranges of values that can help in developing sound and equitable hiring and compensation policies.

The sample of returns is closely correlated with the office location and gender of the overall membership, allowing inference from survey results to the overall Bar population. Statistical confidence levels are provided for three key measures used throughout the report: attorney 1999 net income (plus or minus 4.6 percent of the mean value), attorney 2000 billing rates (plus or minus 2.0 percent), and total hours in the 2000 work week (plus or minus 1.5 percent).

## Summary Profile of the Typical Michigan Attorney and Firm

This section summarizes key statistics that are more fully documented throughout the report. Emphasis here is on the concerns of the "average" attorney and the "average" firm with respect to net income, hourly billing rates, and office management practices. Other summary data displays, compiled on a geographic basis, are appended to this report.

## Membership Demographics

The population of attorneys is aging. The typical 2000 respondent is 46 years old and has been in practice for 17 years. In 1997, the typical respondent was 44 years old and in practice for 16 years. In both 1994 and 1991, the typical respondent was 42 and in practice for 13 years. In 1988, the typical respondent was 40 and in practice for 11 years; in 1984 the typical respondent was 38 and in practice for 7 years.

The proportion of attorneys that are female is increasing. The average male attorney is 48 years old and has been in practice 20 years. The average female attorney is 41 and has been in practice for 10 years. Twenty-six percent of respondents are female, up from 24 percent in 1997, 19 percent in 1994, and 22 percent in 1991. In 1988, 16 percent were female; in 1984, 12 percent were female.
Approximately 72 percent of respondents are private practitioners. Of the remainder, 12 percent work in government agencies, 9 percent are house counsel, and the remaining 7 percent are professors, work in legal aid or as law clerks, are unemployed, or are retired ("others"). W ithin these groupings, 31 percent of government attorney respondents are female and 35 percent of "others" are female.

Approximately 15 percent of all attorneys and 12 percent of private practitioners practice law on a part-time basis. This is a dramatic rise from 1997 where 8 percent of all attorneys and 7 percent of private practitioners reported part-time practicing. While 9.3 percent of male private practitioners work part-time, 23 percent of female private practitioners work part-time. The reasons reported for working parttime are "other businesses" ( 39 percent), "approaching retirement" (26 percent), "family considerations" (23 percent) and "economic necessity" (12 percent).

## Changes in Median Net Income

The median net income reported for all respondents for the calendar year 1999 or the last fiscal year reported (hereafter, 1999) is $\$ 71,167$ while the mean (average) net income is $\$ 92,178$. Over time, the rate of increase of income has slowed. The slowdown is because of several interacting factors, including increased competition among attorneys, increased competition between attorneys and others providing professional services, an increased proportion of female attorneys in the workplace, increased proportion of part-time attorneys, and other factors not addressed by this survey. Over the past 18 years, there
has been little progress in real (adjusted for inflation) income and wages for many Americans, including attorneys.

Exhibit 1 displays changes in average and median net income for all Michigan attorneys since 1976. Exhibit 5 adjusts this trend for inflation.

## Changes in Hourly Billing Rates and Work Volume

Approximately 93 percent of private practitioners have a standard or usual hourly rate that they apply as a guide, starting point, or basis for fee computation. The 1991 response was 90 percent.

The 2000 reported median hourly billing rate is $\$ 150$, up 20 percent from 1997. Exhibit 2 displays the trend in hourly billing rates reported since 1984. Office overhead expenditures are overlaid for the same time period revealing strong congruence over time.

Chargeable work time has stabilized at 40 hours per week. This median value has dropped to 35 hours. There was no change in overall time allocation on a weekly basis since 1991. Approximately 43 percent of respondents report uncollectables of 9 percent or more of fees billed (the same level as reported in 1997 and 1994).

Exhibit 1
Trends in Net Income of Michigan Attorneys, 1980-1999

| Statistic | 1980 | 1983 | 1987 | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (Average) Net Income | $\$ 41,570$ | $\$ 49,255$ | $\$ 65,833$ | $\$ 75,295$ | $\$ 84,210$ | $\$ 89,476$ | $\$ 92,178$ |
| \% Change from Previous Period | $25.2 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Median (50th Percentile) Net Income | $\$ 32,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 61,000$ | $\$ 68,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 71,000$ |
| \% Change from Previous Period | $23.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

Exhibit 2
Trends in Hourly Billing Rates and per Attorney Office Expenses, Michigan,1984-2000


## Perceptions of the Legal Services Market

Perceptions of the supply and demand for legal services continually shift. About 14 percent of respondents report insufficient work in 2000 (the same in 1997) compared with 17 percent in 1994, 12 percent in 1991, 13 percent in 1988, and 17 percent in 1984. The proportion that feel
they are overworked has decreased to 27 percent from 29 percent in 1997, 25 percent in 1994, and 28 percent in 1991. Approximately 59 percent feel that there are too many lawyers in Michigan, compared with 65 percent in 1997, 69 percent in 1994, 63 percent in 1991, 65 percent in 1988, and 77 percent who felt so in 1984.

Respondents show little optimism about economic prospects. Compared to 1999,
only 21 percent feel the economic circumstances of law practice are better than in 1997 while 25 percent feel circumstances are worse. Fifty-four percent perceived no change. At the same time, 15 percent feel that the economic circumstances of law practice will be better in 2001, while 23 percent see worsening conditions next year. The remainder see no change. Perceptions by office location, firm size, and years in practice are found as Appendices J-M.

## Changes in Office Expenditures and Revenues

The median value for total office expenditures per attorney in 1999 is $\$ 49,000$, a decrease of 1.5 percent from $\$ 51,500$ reported for 1996. Exhibit 3 compares three overhead categories with gross revenues and the percent change in each between 1983 and 1999. Median levels of overhead rates are static around 40 percent (38-42 percent).

Labor cost represents a predominant expenditure. Changes in reported salary levels indicate overall increases in the productivity of the law office work force. Exhibit 4 compares reported median salary levels for associates, legal assistants, and secretaries by length of service to median levels for 1984 through 2000.

Exhibit 3
Trends in Operating Expenses and Revenues per Attorney, Michigan Sole Practitioners and Firms, 1983-1999

|  |  | Median Values |  |  |  |  | Percent Average Annual Change |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures/Attorney | 1987 | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | $1987-1990$ | $1990-1993$ | $1993-1996$ | $1996-1999$ |  |
| Nonlawyer Personnel | $\$ 17,250$ | $\$ 19,000$ | $\$ 22,000$ | $\$ 24,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ | $3.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |  |
| Rent, Phone, and Utilities | 8,000 | 9,000 | 9,500 | 10,000 | 12,000 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 6.7 |  |
| All Other Expenditures | 13,100 | 12,500 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | -5.9 | 5.9 | 3.3 | - |  |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 38,500$ | $\$ 43,000$ | $\$ 47,800$ | $\$ 51,250$ | $\$ 49,000$ | $3.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |  |
| Gross Receipts/Attorney | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 114,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 124,000$ | $\$ 125,000$ | $4.7 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| Expenditures/Receipts | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.4 | 0.42 | 0.39 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

Exhibit 4
Median Annual Salary Levels-Associates, Legal Assistants, and Secretaries, 1984-2000

| Position | Median Values |  |  |  |  | Percent Average Annual Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 | 1988-1991 | 1991-1994 | 1994-1997 | 1997-2000 |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New, without experience | \$25,000 | \$30,000 | \$31,600 | \$36,000 | \$40,000 | 6.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 3.7\% |
| With 3 years experience | 30,000 | 36,000 | 40,000 | 42,000 | 48,000 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 4.8 |
| 5 years experience | 43,000 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 63,250 | 1.7 | 3.7 | - | 8.8 |
| 10 years experience | 60,000 | 60,000 | 68,000 | 67,000 | 80,000 | - | 4.4 | -0.5 | 6.5 |
| Legal Assistants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New, without experience | \$16,000 | \$18,700 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$26,000 | 5.7\% | 2.3\% | - | 10.0\% |
| With 3 years experience | 18,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 29,000 | 7.3 | 4.6 | - | 5.3 |
| 5 years experience | 20,000 | 25,000 | 28,000 | 30,000 | 34,000 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| 10 years experience | 25,000 | 28,000 | 30,000 | 32,000 | 40,000 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 8.3 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New, without experience | \$12,500 | \$15,600 | \$18,000 | \$18,000 | \$20,650 | 8.3\% | 5.1\% | - | 4.9\% |
| With 3 years experience | 15,200 | 18,000 | 20,300 | 22,300 | 25,000 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| 5 years experience | 18,000 | 20,000 | 24,000 | 25,500 | 28,000 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 3.3 |
| 10 years experience | \$20,000 | \$24,000 | \$26,000 | \$30,000 | \$31,500 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 1.7 |

Exhibit 5
Change in Net Income, Michigan Attorneys, 1983-1999


## The Income of Michigan Attorneys

Themedian net income for all Michigan attorneys reported for 1999 is $\$ 71,167$, an increase of only 1.7 percent over the reported 1996 level of $\$ 70,000$. Mean 1999 net income is $\$ 92,178$, compared with $\$ 89,476$ in 1996. Exhibit 5 displays the change since 1983 in nominal or reported median net income, against levels adjusted for inflation (real levels). Real income has been essentially flat or decreasing for 18 years.
While there are many influences on attorney income levels, clues explaining income variation at a given point in time can be derived from seven factors addressed by questions in the survey:

- Legal occupation or classification and specialization
- Tenure (years in practice)
- Firm size (number of attorneys in firm)
- Office location (geographic area where law office is located)
- Gender and work style habits (fulltime versus part-time status)

Each factor is discussed in the remainder of this section.

## Legal Occupation and Specialization

Exhibit 6 summarizes 1996 net incomes of attorneys by 13 practice classifications reported by 940 respondents. By convention, this and subsequent exhibits providing percentile information offer four data points-the 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th per-centiles-on the variable (item) of interest. For example, 25 percent of all house counsel earn less than $\$ 61,333$, half earn less than $\$ 90,000$ while half earn more than $\$ 90,000,25$ percent earn more than \$132,500 and 5 percent earn more than $\$ 292,000$. This "range" of net income is large-from $\$ 20,750$ for sole practitioners at the 25th percentile to $\$ 427,000$ for large firm partners at the 95th percentile level.

Exhibits 7 and 8 consider male-only and female-only distributions. Overall, median net income for females ( $\$ 57,783$ ) is 73 percent of the median net income of males
$(\$ 80,000)$. This is due, in part, to the higher proportion of male respondents who are partners in firms with eight or more partners (11 percent of all male respondents) versus the proportion of female respondents who are partners in large firms ( 6 percent of all female respondents).

Exhibits 9 and 10 array distributions of African-American and Caucasian respondents. Overall, median net income for African Americans ( $\$ 65,000$ ) is 93 percent of that of Caucasian attorneys ( $\$ 70,000$ ).

Exhibit 11 reveals income clustering for 10 occupational classifications. Changes in mean income between 1983 and 1999 are summarized as Exhibit 12.

Attorneys were asked to select from a list of various fields of law those that provided their highest sources of income. Exhibit 13 includes the median 1999 net incomes of respondents by their primary source of income reported.

Exhibit 14 compares the change in net income since 1987 for selected specialty categories that represent approximately 90

1999 Net Income of Michigan Attorneys by Practice Classification

| Practice Classification | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Sole Practitioner | 300 | \$ 20,750 | \$ 45,000 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 185,100 |
| Sole Pract. with 1 or more assoc. | 60 | 39,625 | 98,333 | 160,250 | 424,200 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 56 | 35,750 | 59,000 | 106,250 | 246,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 233 | 65,000 | 100,000 | 156,500 | 427,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 152 | 100,000 | 147,000 | 207,500 | 381,250 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 151 | 38,833 | 50,000 | 68,500 | 113,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 105 | 50,000 | 69,333 | 90,000 | 225,000 |
| Judge | 36 | 79,375 | 112,000 | 119,000 | 143,000 |
| Arbitrator/M ediator | 20 | 68,750 | 79,333 | 84,000 | 95,000 |
| City/State/County Government | 177 | 45,000 | 60,000 | 79,333 | 101,000 |
| Federal Service | 23 | 76,000 | 95,000 | 110,000 | 129,000 |
| House Counsel | 150 | 61,333 | 90,000 | 132,500 | 292,000 |
| Legal Aid | 44 | 34,667 | 45,500 | 55,000 | 98,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,612 | \$43,000 | \$71,167 | \$ 110,000 | \$250,000 |

Exhibit 7
1999 Net Income of Male Attorneys by Practice Classification

| Practice Classification | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Sole Practitioner | 233 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 89,333 | \$ 191,600 |
| Sole Pract. with 1 or more assoc. | 51 | 49,167 | 100,000 | 164,000 | 261,000 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 49 | 35,000 | 65,000 | 108,000 | 275,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 201 | 70,000 | 105,000 | 160,000 | 417,280 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 129 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 219,000 | 401,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 90 | 40,250 | 50,000 | 69,750 | 187,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 67 | 47,083 | 65,000 | 91,875 | 305,000 |
| Judge | 29 | 90,500 | 114,000 | 120,000 | 150,000 |
| Arbitrator/Mediator/ALJ | 14 | 70,500 | 81,000 | 88,500 | 95,000 |
| City/State/County Government | 112 | 46,500 | 64,000 | 85,000 | 106,100 |
| Federal Service | 17 | 79,000 | 95,000 | 102,000 | 130,000 |
| House Counsel | 97 | 68,000 | 100,000 | 160,000 | 330,000 |
| Legal Aid | 23 | 35,250 | 49,000 | 55,000 | 178,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,112 | \$ 46,108 | \$80,000 | \$ 125,000 | \$254,000 |

Exhibit 8
1999 Net Income of Female Attorneys by Practice Classification

| Practice Classification | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Sole Practitioner | 64 | \$ 11,250 | \$ 31,000 | \$ 59,000 | \$ 153,750 |
| Sole Pract. with 1 or more assoc. | 9 | 30,000 | 37,000 | 70,000 | 110,000 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 6 | 42,750 | 57,750 | 70,000 | 100,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 29 | 42,000 | 75,000 | 103,500 | 497,500 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 23 | 100,000 | 130,000 | 165,000 | 393,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 61 | 34,500 | 45,000 | 68,000 | 116,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 38 | 55,000 | 73,250 | 81,250 | 152,500 |
| Judge | 7 | 30,000 | 60,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| Arbitrator/Mediator/ALJ | 6 | 27,250 | 75,500 | 79,500 | 81,000 |
| City/State/County Government | 61 | 44,500 | 56,700 | 70,000 | 86,900 |
| Federal Service | 5 | 73,000 | 84,000 | 112,500 | 115,000 |
| House Counsel | 47 | 52,500 | 74,333 | 90,000 | 209,000 |
| Legal Aid | 20 | 34,750 | 45,250 | 50,250 | 98,500 |
| All Attorneys | 376 | \$37,750 | \$57,783 | \$ 81,075 | \$ 172,500 |

Exhibit 9
1999 Net Income of Michigan Caucasian Attorneys by Practice Classification

| Practice Classification | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Sole Practitioner | 302 | \$ 22,750 | \$ 47,500 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 180,000 |
| Sole Pract. with 1 or more assoc. | 60 | 38,000 | 94,000 | 150,000 | 250,000 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 55 | 35,000 | 55,000 | 100,000 | 223,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 228 | 65,000 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 396,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 145 | 100,000 | 145,000 | 208,000 | 361,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 147 | 37,000 | 50,000 | 62,000 | 100,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 105 | 47,000 | 69,000 | 88,500 | 192,500 |
| Judge | 34 | 79,000 | 111,000 | 118,000 | 145,000 |
| Arbitrator | 36 | 57,500 | 75,500 | 81,500 | 95,000 |
| City/State/County Government | 154 | 46,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 |
| Federal Service | 19 | 70,000 | 87,000 | 104,000 | 130,000 |
| House Counsel | 129 | 58,000 | 85,000 | 130,000 | 263,000 |
| Legal Aid | 40 | 35,000 | 45,000 | 54,000 | 85,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,454 | \$42,000 | \$70,000 | \$110,000 | \$242,500 |

## Exhibit 10

1999 Net Income of Michigan African-American Attorneys by Practice Classification

| Practice Classification | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Sole Practitioner | 57 | \$ 30,000 | \$ 32,000 | \$ 65,000 | \$ 152,000 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 12 | 32,000 | 51,000 | 80,000 | 180,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 10 | 50,000 | 72,500 | 166,250 | 350,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 6 | 83,750 | 185,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 9 | 45,000 | 65,000 | 73,000 | 80,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 14 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 75,000 | 99,000 |
| Judge | 11 | 104,000 | 114,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| Arbitrator | 12 | 81,000 | 85,000 | 87,750 | 90,000 |
| City/State/County Government | 57 | 45,500 | 58,000 | 77,500 | 101,000 |
| Federal Government | 6 | 49,000 | 92,500 | 112,500 | 123,000 |
| House Counsel | 26 | 62,000 | 85,000 | 106,250 | 309,000 |
| Legal Aid | 13 | 35,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 100,000 |
| All Attorneys | 242 | \$40,750 | \$65,000 | \$ 90,000 | \$170,000 |

Exhibit 11
Percent Distribution of 1999 Net Income by Practice Classification of Michigan Attorneys

| Income Group | All <br> Attorneys | Sole <br> Practitioners | Sole Pract. <br> w/Assoc. | Space <br> Sharer | Part. in firms <br> w/2-7 part. | Part. in firms <br> w/8+ part. | Assoc. in firms Assoc. in firms. <br> w/2-7 part. | House <br> w/8 <br> (tpart. | State and <br> Counsel | Legal <br> Loc. Gov |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\$ 20,000$ | $8.5 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Exhibit 12

## Change in Mean (Average) Income by Practice Classification of Michigan Attorneys, 1983-1999

| Practice Classification | Mean (Average) Net Income |  |  |  |  |  | Percent Change From: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 | 1987 | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | 1983-1987 | 1987-1990 | 1990-1993 | 1993-1996 | 1996-1999 |
| All Attorneys | \$49,255 | \$65,833 | \$75,295 | \$84,210 | \$89,476 | \$92,178 | 34 | 14.7 | 12.1 | 5.9 | 3.0 |
| Sole Practitioner | 34,759 | 48,659 | 52,145 | 54,261 | 69,559 | 61,413 | 40 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 22.0 | (11.7) |
| Sole Pract. with Associates | 70,750 | 88,103 | 153,177 | 119,395 | 134,410 | 105,906 | 24 | 74 | -19 | 11.2 | (21.2) |
| Sole Pract. Sharing Space | 34,786 | 63,815 | 60,931 | 57,194 | 60,188 | 84,435 | 83 | -4.7 | -6.6 | 5.0 | 40.3 |
| Partner | 75,560 | 108,880 | 126,503 | 127,736 | 139,333 | 144,333 | 44 | 16.2 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 3.6 |
| Associate | 31,756 | 44,315 | 50,746 | 55,591 | 57,805 | 64,006 | 39 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 10.7 |
| Judge | 54,070 | 83,350 | 91,441 | 89,269 | 89,030 | 96,800 | 54 | 9.6 | -2.2 | -0.3 | 8.7 |
| State and Local Government | 36,210 | 46,101 | 54,708 | 64,563 | 65,331 | 61,975 | 28 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 1.2 | (5.1) |
| House Counsel | 56,139 | 62,315 | 71,540 | 83,481 | 105,494 | 108,494 | 11 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 20.9 | 2.8 |
| Legal Aid | 17,436 | 33,230 | 34,750 | 44,765 | 36,863 | 48,922 | 91 | 4.6 | 29.4 | -21.4 | 32.7 |

percent of all respondents reporting such information.

Exhibit 15 arrays 1999 median net income by selected specialties and by gender. Here, the "gender gap" is defined as the proportion of median values. For example, "Females report incomes 69.5 percent of those for males for corporate legal work"). The small sample size here indicates caution when reviewing these statistics for some areas of legal concentration.

Exhibit 16 arrays 1996 median net income by selected specialties and ethnicities. The "racial/ethnicity gap" is much smaller than the gender gap. Because of the small number of responses, caution in interpreting findings is, again, warranted.

## Years in Practice

Exhibit 17 relates reported 1999 net income to the number of years in practice. Exhibit 18 summarizes changes since 1976
in median net income by years in practice. A trend of older attorneys now extending their work effort appears indicated.

Exhibit 19 displays median 1999 net income by gender for attorneys working fulltime. Overall, the gender gap for full-time attorneys is 75 percent ( $\$ 80,000$ for males versus $\$ 60,000$ for females). For part-time attorneys, the gap is smaller. ( $\$ 45,000$ for males versus $\$ 35,000$ for females). In 1996, the "part-time" gap was 51 percent.
Exhibits 20 and 21 organize 1999 median net income for Caucasians and African Americans by years in practice. While Caucasian attorneys report incomes similar to African-American attorneys, the net income of young African-American attorneys slightly exceeds their Caucasian counterparts.

## Size of Firm or Organization

Exhibit 22 displays 1999 net income by firm or organization size, measured by the
total number of attorneys in the firm. The firm size for those working in branch offices of larger firms is the total size of the firm. However, the geographic area of the branch office is used in exhibits displaying office location. Within the larger firmsize categories, lower percentile values generally represent associates, while higher percentile values generally represent partners. Median levels, here, represent a "mix" of both categories. Exhibit 23 and 24 distribute net income by gender and firm size.

## Office Location

Exhibit 25 displays median 1999 net income by selected practice classifications within each of six office locations. Exhibits 26 and 27 expand the geographic categories for all attorneys (Exhibit 26) and for private practitioners only (Exhibit 27). Appendices E-J include income distributions by region within Michigan.

Exhibit 13
Distribution of 1999 Net Income by Legal Specialization of Michigan Attorneys

| Primary Source of Income | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Administrative Law | 46 | \$ 59,500 | \$ 80,500 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 232,500 |
| Bankruptcy | 44 | 45,000 | 67,500 | 116,000 | 286,500 |
| Civil Rights | 23 | 35,000 | 77,000 | 100,000 | 412,000 |
| Collections | 24 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 96,500 | 304,500 |
| Corporate Law | 161 | 60,000 | 96,000 | 152,500 | 315,300 |
| Criminal Law (Public Defense) | 45 | 31,000 | 45,000 | 70,000 | 150,000 |
| Criminal Law (Private Defense) | 44 | 28,250 | 46,000 | 86,000 | 182,500 |
| Criminal Law (Prosecution) | 64 | 42,250 | 60,000 | 75,000 | 113,500 |
| Domestic Relations (Family Law) | 167 | 35,000 | 50,000 | 88,000 | 168,000 |
| Environmental/Natural Resources Law | 22 | 71,000 | 95,000 | 179,000 | 412,000 |
| General Practice | 58 | 30,250 | 55,000 | 84,500 | 153,000 |
| Health and Hospital Law | 15 | 65,000 | 79,000 | 150,000 | 350,000 |
| Immigration Law | 10 | 48,250 | 66,500 | 112,500 | 250,000 |
| Intellectual Property | 33 | 82,500 | 110,000 | 150,000 | 315,000 |
| Labor Law (Defense) | 37 | 63,000 | 99,000 | 200,000 | 302,000 |
| Labor Law (Plaintiff) | 22 | 39,000 | 70,500 | 86,500 | 197,000 |
| Municipal Law | 41 | 49,000 | 72,000 | 114,000 | 200,000 |
| Personal Injury (Defense) | 75 | 46,000 | 85,000 | 120,000 | 242,000 |
| Personal Injury (Plaintiff) | 90 | 38,300 | 95,000 | 171,000 | 404,000 |
| Professional Malpractice | 26 | 76,000 | 132,000 | 270,000 | 450,000 |
| Public Benefits Law | 5 | 38,500 | 55,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 |
| Real Property Law | 101 | 35,000 | 70,000 | 100,000 | 189,000 |
| Securities | 7 | 31,000 | 171,000 | 295,000 | 390,000 |
| Taxation | 26 | 51,000 | 87,500 | 157,500 | 241,000 |
| Trial Practice (Civil/Personal) | 24 | 51,000 | 73,000 | 141,000 | 663,000 |
| Trial Practice (Civil/Commercial) | 56 | 69,000 | 99,000 | 150,000 | 410,500 |
| W ills, Estates, and Probate | 90 | 26,000 | 51,000 | 98,000 | 172,000 |
| Workers' Compensation | 29 | 59,000 | 76,000 | 98,000 | 152,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,385 | \$40,000 | \$70,000 | \$120,000 | \$266,000 |

Exhibit 14
Change in Net Income by Top Ten Specialties of Michigan Attorneys, 1990-1999

|  | Median Values |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Source of Income | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | $1990-1993$ | $1993-1996$ | $1996-1999$ |  |
| Personal Injury (Plaintiff) | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 74,200$ | $\$ 76,000$ | $\$ 95,000$ | $6.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |  |
| Corporate Law | 80,000 | 85,000 | 75,000 | 96,000 | 6.3 | -11.8 | 28.0 |  |
| Wills, Estates, and Probate | 56,000 | 70,000 | 57,000 | 51,000 | 25.0 | -18.6 | $(11.0)$ |  |
| Criminal Law | 47,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | - | 6.4 | 50.0 | - |  |
| Domestic Relations | 40,000 | 44,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 |  |
| General Practice | 40,000 | 47,500 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 18.8 | 5.3 | 10.0 |  |
| Real Property | 67,000 | 72,000 | 75,000 | 70,000 | 7.5 | 4.2 | $(7.0)$ |  |
| Personal Injury (Defense) | 64,000 | 75,000 | 80,000 | 85,000 | 17.2 | 6.7 | 6.0 |  |
| Trial Practice | 65,000 | 80,000 | 85,000 | 92,655 | 23.1 | 6.3 | 9.0 |  |
| Workers' Compensation | 67,000 | 73,000 | 75,000 | 76,000 | 9.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 |  |
| All Attorneys | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 68,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 71,000$ | $13.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |  |

Exhibit 15
1999 Median Net Income by Specialty and Gender of Michigan Attorneys

| Primary Source of Income | Males | N | 1999 <br> Income | Females <br> N | 1999 <br> Income |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporate Law | 155 | $\$ 100,000$ | 19 | $\$ 69,500$ | Proportion |
| Criminal (Prosecution) | 21 | 63,000 | 18 | 47,000 | 74.6 |
| Criminal (Public Defense) | 33 | 50,000 | 14 | 41,250 | 82.5 |
| Domestic Relations | 107 | 60,000 | 64 | 47,250 | 78.8 |
| General Practice | 54 | 55,000 | 8 | 55,000 | 100.0 |
| Labor Law (Defense) | 30 | 105,000 | 9 | 109,000 | 103.8 |
| Municipal Law | 34 | 78,750 | 9 | 64,500 | 81.9 |
| Personal Injury (Defense) | 57 | 97,000 | 19 | 48,000 | 49.5 |
| Personal Injury (Plaintiff) | 85 | 99,167 | 14 | 35,000 | 35.3 |
| Real Property | 86 | 72,500 | 22 | 44,250 | 61.0 |
| Trial Practice | 23 | 69,250 | - | 80,000 | 115.5 |
| Wills, Estates, and Probate | 73 | 65,500 | 34 | 42,750 | 65.3 |
| Workers' Compensation | 23 | 78,500 | 8 | 68,500 | 87.3 |
| All Attorneys | 781 | $\$ 80,000$ | 238 | $\$ 41,250$ | $51.6 \%$ |

Exhibit 16

## 1999 Median Net Income by Selected Specialties and by Race/Ethnicity of Michigan Attorneys

| Primary Source of Income | Caucasians N | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | African Americans N | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Criminal (Prosecution) | 56 | \$ 60,000 | 23 | \$ 60,000 | 100\% |
| Criminal (Private Defense) | 45 | 55,000 | 22 | 45,000 | 82 |
| Administrative Law | 39 | 80,000 | 21 | 80,000 | 100 |
| Corporate Law | 147 | 94,000 | 19 | 65,000 | 69 |
| Criminal (Public Defense) | 44 | 42,000 | 16 | 54,000 | 129 |
| Domestic Relations | 164 | 50,000 | 15 | 33,000 | 66 |
| Municipal Law | 34 | 77,500 | 13 | 56,700 | 73 |
| Wills, Estates, and Probate | 84 | 51,000 | 11 | 22,000 | 43 |
| General Practice | 60 | 55,000 | 9 | 55,000 | 100 |
| Trial Practice (Civil/Corporate) | 53 | 97,000 | 9 | 73,000 | 75 |
| Personal Injury (Plaintiff) | 87 | 95,000 | 8 | 68,000 | 72 |
| Real Property | 99 | 67,000 | 7 | 67,000 | 100 |
| Personal Injury (Defense) | 72 | 82,500 | 6 | 81,500 | 99 |
| Labor Law (Defense) | 34 | 103,000 | 6 | 75,000 | 73 |
| Civil Rights | 20 | 73,000 | 5 | 35,000 | 48 |
| All Attorneys | 1,038 | \$70,000 | 190 | \$65,000 | 93\% |

## Exhibit 17

## 1999 Net Income by Years in Practice of All Michigan Attorneys

| Years in Practice | \% of |  |  | Value by Percentile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Responses | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Less than 5 | 241 | 15.1\% | \$32,000 | \$ 45,000 | \$ 60,500 | \$ 70,000 |
| 5-9 | 226 | 14.1 | 40,000 | 59,333 | 82,250 | 106,500 |
| 10-14 | 226 | 14.1 | 48,500 | 75,000 | 108,750 | 173,600 |
| 15-19 | 211 | 13.2 | 50,125 | 78,325 | 120,000 | 229,600 |
| 20-29 | 438 | 27.4 | 60,000 | 95,000 | 150,000 | 300,000 |
| 30-39 | 174 | 10.9 | 63,250 | 100,000 | 180,000 | 364,250 |
| 40+ | 83 | 5.2 | 24,000 | 70,000 | 125,000 | 150,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,688 | 100.0\% | \$43,000 | \$71,000 | \$110,000 | \$240,000 |

Exhibit 18
Trends in Median Net Income by Years in Practice of Michigan Attorneys, 1976-1999

|  |  | Median Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Years in Practice | 1976 | 1980 | 1983 | 1987 | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 |  |
| Less than 5 | $\$ 18,700$ | $\$ 19,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 37,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 38,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ |
| $5-9$ | 31,550 | 30,000 | 36,700 | 44,000 | 54,000 | 54,500 | 50,000 | 59,333 |
| $10-14$ | 40,000 | 43,500 | 48,600 | 56,000 | 65,000 | 70,000 | 72,000 | 75,000 |
| $15-19$ | 36,800 | 51,600 | 54,000 | 60,000 | 75,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 | 78,325 |
| $20-29$ | 41,200 | 53,000 | 60,000 | 88,000 | 90,000 | 85,000 | 90,000 | 95,000 |
| $30-39$ | 30,000 | 51,000 | 58,000 | 76,000 | 93,000 | 92,500 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| $40+$ | 10,520 | 21,000 | 17,800 | 30,000 | 40,000 | 55,500 | 105,000 | 70,000 |

Exhibit 19
1999 Median Net Income by Years in Practice and Gender of Michigan Full-Time Attorneys

| Years in Practice | N | Full-Time Males | N | Full-Time Females | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | Proportion 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 | 120 | \$ 46,900 | 92 | \$45,000 | 96\% | 93\% |
| 5-9 | 122 | 60,000 | 62 | 57,000 | 95 | 99 |
| 10-14 | 131 | 80,000 | 59 | 70,000 | 88 | 75 |
| 15-19 | 125 | 85,500 | 50 | 70,000 | 82 | 92 |
| 20-29 | 344 | 98,500 | 49 | 90,000 | 91 | 70 |
| 30-39 | 144 | 100,500 | 3 | 25,000 | 25 | - |
| 40+ | 43 | 100,000 | 1 | - | - |  |
| All (Full-time Only) | 1,029 | \$80,000 | 316 | \$60,000 | 75\% | 67\% |
| All (Part-time Only) | 43 | \$45,000 | 29 | \$34,000 | 76\% | 1.08\% |

Exhibit 20
1999 Net Income by Years in Practice of Michigan Caucasian Attorneys

|  |  | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Years in Practice | N | 25th | 50 th | 75th | 95th |
| Less than 5 | 220 | $\$ 32,000$ | $\$ 44,000$ | $\$ 54,750$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
| $5-9$ | 199 | 40,000 | 58,000 | 137,000 |  |
| $10-14$ | 196 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 192,000 |  |
| $15-19$ | 190 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 270,000 |  |
| $20-29$ | 374 | 60,000 | 95,000 | 110,000 | 321,000 |
| $30-39$ | 147 | 55,000 | 95,000 | 151,000 | 286,000 |
| $40+$ | 64 | 15,000 | 52,500 | 173,000 | 236,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,454 | $\$ 42,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | 118,000 | $\$ 243,000$ |

## Exhibit 21

1999 Net Income by Years in Practice of African-American Attorneys

| Years in Practice | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Less than 5 | 46 | \$ 31,500 | \$ 45,000 | \$ 62,000 | \$ 115,900 |
| 5-9 | 37 | 36,000 | 52,000 | 77,500 | 100,500 |
| 10-14 | 42 | 40,000 | 63,000 | 80,000 | 156,000 |
| 15-19 | 29 | 42,500 | 75,000 | 100,000 | 154,000 |
| 20-29 | 58 | 60,000 | 89,000 | 109,000 | 206,000 |
| 30-39 | 10 | 50,000 | 115,000 | 220,000 | 280,000 |
| 40+ | 6 | 74,000 | 99,500 | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| All Attorneys | 228 | \$41,000 | \$65,000 | \$ 90,000 | \$170,000 |

Exhibit 22
1999 Net Income by Size of Firm or Organization of All Michigan Attorneys

| Size of Firm or Organization | N | Percent | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| 1 | 355 | 23.8\% | \$ 25,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 90,750 | \$ 200,750 |
| 2 | 157 | 10.5 | 39,000 | 60,000 | 100,000 | 265,000 |
| 3-6 | 332 | 22.2 | 47,500 | 75,000 | 119,000 | 258,000 |
| 7-10 | 166 | 11.1 | 42,875 | 68,500 | 107,250 | 399,000 |
| 11-20 | 128 | 8.6 | 54,000 | 78,500 | 123,750 | 222,000 |
| 21-50 | 129 | 8.6 | 56,000 | 82,000 | 129,000 | 369,000 |
| 51-100 | 87 | 5.8 | 64,375 | 95,750 | 150,000 | 271,000 |
| >100 | 139 | 9.3 | 70,000 | 99,000 | 178,200 | 391,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,493 | 100.0\% | \$43,000 | \$72,000 | \$110,000 | \$250,000 |

Exhibit 23
1999 Net Income by Size of Firm or Organization of Male Michigan Attorneys

| Size of Firm |  |  | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| or Organization | N | Percent | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| 1 | 247 | 23.8\% | \$ 28,000 | \$ 52,000 | \$ 97,000 | \$ 203,000 |
| 2 | 109 | 10.5 | 40,000 | 65,000 | 114,000 | 225,000 |
| 3-6 | 238 | 23.0 | 54,000 | 80,000 | 127,000 | 251,000 |
| 7-10 | 112 | 10.8 | 50,000 | 80,000 | 120,000 | 408,000 |
| 11-10 | 84 | 8.1 | 60,000 | 90,000 | 140,000 | 200,000 |
| 21-50 | 93 | 9.0 | 53,000 | 90,000 | 153,000 | 358,000 |
| 51-100 | 60 | 5.8 | 64,000 | 97,000 | 150,000 | 249,000 |
| >100 | 94 | 9.1 | 75,000 | 104,000 | 200,000 | 373,000 |
| All Males | 1,037 | 100.0 | \$ 46,100 | \$80,000 | \$125,000 | \$254,000 |

Exhibit 24
1999 Net Income by Size of Firm or Organization of Female Michigan Attorneys

| Size of Firm |  |  | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| or Organization | N | Percent | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| 1 | 36 | 11.4\% | \$ 15,750 | \$ 39,500 | \$ 66,250 | \$ 153,250 |
| 2 | 36 | 11.4 | 32,250 | 45,000 | 92,000 | 307,500 |
| 3-6 | 66 | 20.9 | 40,000 | 51,500 | 70,000 | 100,000 |
| 7-10 | 48 | 15.2 | 33,500 | 46,000 | 73,750 | 164,000 |
| 11-20 | 42 | 13.3 | 41,500 | 62,500 | 80,000 | 152,000 |
| 21-50 | 33 | 10.4 | 59,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 | 260,000 |
| 51-100 | 22 | 7.0 | 58,000 | 88,500 | 139,000 | 349,000 |
| >100 | 33 | 10.4 | 66,500 | 75,000 | 113,000 | 216,000 |
| All Females | 316 | 100.0\% | \$37,750 | \$58,000 | \$81,000 | \$ 172,500 |

1999 Median Net Income by Practice Classification and Office Location of Michigan Attorneys

| Practice Classification | Median Values by Office Location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downtown Detroit | S. Oakland County | Remainder of Metro Detroit | Lansing | Outstate Metro Areas | Outstate |
| Sole Practitioner | \$ 68,839 | \$ 55,000 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 40,000 | \$ 45,000 |
| Sole Pract. with associates | 140,000 | 105,000 | 99,000 | 31,000 | 40,000 | 76,500 |
| Sole Pract. sharing space | 51,000 | 60,000 | 100,000 | 54,000 | 75,000 | 53,500 |
| Partner in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 125,000 | 127,500 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 80,000 |
| Partner in firm (with 8+ part.) | 175,000 | 135,000 | 150,000 | 165,000 | 112,500 | 101,000 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 2-7 part.) | 49,000 | 52,000 | 46,900 | 55,000 | 45,000 | 42,500 |
| Assoc. in firm (with 8+part.) | 75,000 | 70,000 | 60,500 | 70,250 | 69,000 | 56,000 |
| Judge | 120,000 | - | 100,000 | - | 113,500 | 113,000 |
| Arbitrator/Mediator/ALJ | 81,000 | 55,000 | 78,500 | 79,000 | 76,000 | 30,000 |
| State and Local Government | 60,500 | 105,000 | 60,000 | 74,000 | 54,000 | 54,000 |
| Federal Government | 84,000 | 25,028 | 90,000 | - | 97,000 | 123,500 |
| House Counsel | 92,500 | 84,000 | 97,000 | 70,000 | 90,000 | 85,000 |
| Counsel with Legal Aid | 79,000 | 36,000 | 45,750 | 38,500 | 35,500 | 45,000 |
| All Attorneys | \$85,500 | \$80,000 | \$61,000 | \$73,000 | \$68,000 | \$57,500 |

Exhibit 26
Distributions of 1999 Net Income by Office Location of All Michigan Attorneys

|  |  | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Office Location | N | 25 th | 50 th | 75 th | 95 th |
| Downtown Detroit | 196 | $\$ 58,750$ | $\$ 85,750$ | $\$ 135,250$ | $\$ 315,100$ |
| Detroit, |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Not downtown | 19 | 31,250 | 76,000 | 140,000 | 250,000 |
| Out county |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ (Wayne) | 103 | 32,500 | 50,000 | 99,250 | 308,000 |
| Pontiac | 17 | 51,000 | 65,000 | 81,000 | 159,000 |
| North Oakland |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ County | 110 | 40,250 | 79,500 | 143,750 | 431,540 |
| South Oakland |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ County | 290 | 50,000 | 80,000 | 150,000 | 350,000 |
| Mount Clemens | 22 | 39,250 | 53,450 | 77,500 | 175,000 |
| Macomb County | 38 | 31,250 | 82,500 | 129,750 | 220,000 |
| Ann Arbor | 58 | 45,000 | 74,250 | 146,000 | 375,000 |
| Battle Creek | 11 | 39,500 | 95,000 | 132,500 | 135,000 |
| Bay City | 15 | 47,000 | 100,000 | 152,500 | 450,000 |
| Flint | 53 | 33,500 | 59,500 | 107,000 | 234,000 |
| Grand Rapids | 96 | 45,000 | 79,500 | 116,250 | 251,250 |
| Jackson | 18 | 45,500 | 85,000 | 158,750 | 265,000 |
| Kalamazoo | 42 | 37,667 | 65,500 | 106,250 | 250,000 |
| Lansing | 143 | 48,500 | 73,667 | 100,000 | 207,000 |
| Muskegon | 19 | 30,500 | 56,000 | 115,000 | 210,000 |
| Saginaw | 13 | 40,000 | 52,000 | 120,000 | 195,000 |
| Outstate, |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Lower Peninsula | 199 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 100,000 | 188,500 |
| Upper Peninsula | 31 | 33,000 | 44,667 | 91,500 | 95,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,493 | $\$ 43,000$ | $\$ 71,167$ | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |

Exhibit 27
Distributions of 1999 Net Income by Office Location of Michigan Private Practitioners Only

| Office Location | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Downtown Detroit | 186 | \$ 59,417 | \$88,500 | \$ 136,750 | \$ 308,250 |
| Detroit, Not downtown | 18 | 36,250 | 77,000 | 145,000 | 250,000 |
| Out county (Wayne) | 83 | 37,000 | 57,500 | 119,167 | 341,000 |
| Pontiac | 16 | 50,750 | 67,500 | 83,250 | 159,000 |
| North Oakland County | 93 | 50,000 | 90,000 | 150,000 | 427,280 |
| South Oakland |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 254 | 55,417 | 87,500 | 150,000 | 353,750 |
| Mount Clemens | 20 | 39,750 | 53,450 | 81,750 | 175,000 |
| M acomb County | 33 | 45,500 | 87,000 | 130,000 | 200,000 |
| Ann Arbor | 47 | 50,000 | 80,000 | 149,250 | 375,000 |
| Battle Creek | 10 | 44,750 | 100,000 | 133,750 | 135,000 |
| Bay City | 15 | 47,000 | 100,000 | 152,500 | 450,000 |
| Flint | 50 | 36,000 | 60,500 | 113,750 | 234,000 |
| Grand Rapids | 89 | 49,333 | 80,000 | 120,000 | 260,000 |
| Jackson | 16 | 56,500 | 81,250 | 181,250 | 265,000 |
| Kalamazoo | 36 | 47,500 | 69,250 | 107,750 | 250,000 |
| Lansing | 122 | 50,250 | 72,750 | 99,583 | 207,500 |
| Muskegon | 17 | 31,000 | 56,000 | 120,000 | 210,000 |
| Saginaw | 13 | 40,000 | 52,000 | 120,000 | 195,000 |
| Outstate, Lower Peninsula | 166 | 44,250 | 64,708 | 100,000 | 192,750 |
| Upper Peninsula | 28 | 34,000 | 44,833 | 89,750 | 92,000 |
| All Attorneys | 1,312 | \$46,983 | \$75,000 | \$118,000 | \$250,000 |

## Law Firm Billing Rates and Billing Practices

## Attorney Hourly <br> Billing Rates

The reported 2000 median hourly billing rate of $\$ 150$ represents a 20 percent increase from $\$ 125$ in 1997, which had re-
mained unchanged from 1994. (Refer to Exhibit 2 for the trend line since 1984). While several interacting factors affect the setting and application of hourly billing rates, Exhibit 28 includes five discrete factors:

- Office location
- Size of firm
- Years in practice
- Primary source of income
- Practice classification or legal occupation

Exhibit 28
Distributions of 2000 Average Hourly Billing Rates by Selected Categories

| Category | N | \% | Value by Percentile |  |  |  | Category | N | \% | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |  |  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Office Location |  |  |  |  |  |  | Environmental Law | 16 | 1.5 | 133 | 163 | 239 | 295 |
| Downtown Detroit | 117 | 12.7\% | \$133 | \$177 | \$250 | \$ 333 | General Practice | 54 | 5.1 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 205 |
| South Oakland County | 247 | 19.8 | 125 | 158 | 200 | 250 | Health and Hospital Law | 12 | 1.1 | 176 | 230 | 318 | 340 |
| Remainder M etro Detroit | 226 | 21.4 | 125 | 150 | 180 | 250 | Immigration Law | 10 | 0.9 | 143 | 188 | 219 | 225 |
| Ann Arbor | 46 | 4.2 | 126 | 161 | 200 | 250 | Intellectual Property | 21 | 2.0 | 175 | 190 | 225 | 300 |
| Lansing | 76 | 9.1 | 108 | 150 | 176 | 235 | Labor Law (Management) | 21 | 2.0 | 150 | 195 | 250 | 280 |
| Outstate M etro Areas | 192 | 17.9 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 250 | Labor Law (Labor) | 13 | 1.2 | 110 | 135 | 225 | 250 |
| Outstate Other | 166 | 14.9 | 110 | 125 | 150 | 188 | Municipal Law | 32 | 3.0 | 118 | 147 | 169 | 215 |
| Firm Size |  |  |  |  |  |  | Personal Inj./Ins. (Def.) | 61 | 5.8 | 97 | 120 | 147 | 331 |
| 1 | 297 | 23.8\% | \$125 | \$147 | \$160 | \$ 230 | Personal Inj./Ins. (Plntf.) | 76 | 7.2 | 125 | 150 | 181 | 279 |
| 2 | 122 | 10.5 | 122 | 141 | 175 | 216 | Professional M alpractice | 21 | 2.0 | 115 | 135 | 188 | 325 |
| 3-6 | 228 | 22.2 | 125 | 150 | 180 | 248 | Real Property Law | 86 | 8.2 | 125 | 150 | 179 | 236 |
| 7-10 | 108 | 11.1 | 124 | 150 | 175 | 237 | Taxation | 18 | 1.7 | 136 | 213 | 274 | 325 |
| 11-20 | 63 | 8.6 | 125 | 157 | 185 | 239 | Trial Practice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-50 | 74 | 8.6 | 129 | 176 | 214 | 327 | (Civil-Personal) | 21 | 2.0 | 125 | 150 | 235 | 250 |
| 51-100 | 47 | 5.8 | 117 | 180 | 233 | 300 | Trial Practice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >100 | 81 | 9.3 | 150 | 222 | 257 | 343 | (Civil-Commercial) <br> Wills, Estates, and Probate | 58 88 | 5.5 8.3 | 144 125 | 179 | 224 180 | 334 250 |
| Years in Practice |  |  |  |  |  |  | Workers' Compensation | 14 | 1.3 | 75 | 105 | 148 | 195 |
| $<$ | 142 | 15.1\% | \$ 110 | \$125 | \$150 | \$ 188 | Workers Compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 137 | 14.1 | 120 | 135 | 150 | 197 | Private Practitioner |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 149 | 14.1 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 248 | Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 135 | 13.2 | 125 | 150 | 191 | 250 | Sole Practitioner | 335 | 20.2\% | \$125 | \$145 | \$160 | \$ 225 |
| 20-29 | 299 | 27.4 | 125 | 150 | 197 | 255 | Sole Practitioner with |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-39 | 126 | 10.9 | 150 | 184 | 226 | 323 | 1+associates | 67 | 4.0 | 125 | 150 | 190 | 302 |
| 40+ | 55 | 5.2 | 124 | 155 | 200 | 200 | Sole Practitioner sharing space | 59 | 3.5 | 125 | 150 | 178 | 250 |
| Primary Source of Income <br> Administrative Law |  |  |  |  |  |  | Partner in firm (with 2-7 partners) | 247 | 3.5 14.9 | 130 | 150 | 190 | 250 250 |
| Administrative Law | 14 | 1.3\% | \$ 98 | \$165 | \$283 | \$ 335 | Partner in firm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bankruptcy | 35 | 3.3 | 125 | 150 | 186 | 250 | (with 8+partners) | 160 | 9.6 | 170 | 200 | 250 | 331 |
| Civil Rights | 18 | 1.7 | 125 | 158 | 183 | 240 | Associate in firm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collections | 22 | 2.1 | 124 | 148 | 174 | 195 | (with 2-7 partners) | 157 | 9.4 | 110 | 125 | 150 | 200 |
| Corporate/Business Law | 123 | 11.7 | 138 | 163 | 200 | 307 | Associate in firm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Criminal (Pub. Def.) | 35 | 3.3 | 105 | 125 | 129 | 150 | (with 8+partners) | 106 | 6.4 | 125 | 140 | 179 | 264 |
| Criminal (Priv. Def.) | 44 | 4.2 | 123 | 148 | 175 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Criminal (Prosecution) | 7 | 0.7 | 75 | 118 | 175 | 175 | All Private |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Relations | 134 | 12.7 | 125 | 149 | 175 | 250 | Practitioners | 1,131 | 100.0\% | \$125 | \$150 | \$180 | \$250 |

Exhibit 29
Distributions of 2000 Hourly Billing Rates by Office Location of All Michigan Attorneys

| Office Location | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Downtown Detroit | 117 | \$ 133 | \$ 177 | \$ 250 | \$ 333 |
| Detroit, Not Downtown | 9 | 100 | 140 | 160 | 175 |
| Out County (Wayne) | 87 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 345 |
| North Oakland County | 82 | 144 | 164 | 198 | 271 |
| South Oakland County | 247 | 125 | 158 | 200 | 250 |
| Mount Clemens | 11 | 121 | 135 | 175 | 175 |
| M acomb County | 35 | 138 | 162 | 179 | 200 |
| Ann Arbor | 46 | 126 | 161 | 200 | 250 |
| Battle Creek | 8 | 94 | 144 | 150 | 150 |
| Bay City | 11 | 110 | 125 | 163 | 175 |
| Flint | 33 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 200 |
| Grand Rapids | 74 | 125 | 153 | 194 | 299 |
| Jackson | 14 | 115 | 124 | 176 | 200 |
| Kalamazoo | 30 | 116 | 150 | 179 | 225 |
| Lansing | 76 | 108 | 150 | 176 | 235 |
| Muskegon | 15 | 103 | 145 | 205 | 205 |
| Saginaw | 7 | 113 | 125 | 175 | 175 |
| Outstate, Lower Peninsula | 144 | 120 | 133 | 150 | 193 |
| Upper Peninsula | 22 | 92 | 100 | 119 | 119 |
| All Areas | 1,068 | \$125 | \$150 | \$ 180 | \$250 |

Exhibit 29 organizes hourly rates by office location, expanding the regional categories found on Exhibit 28 to 17 locations. Attorneys located in southeastern Michigan generally continue to report the highest rates. Exhibits 30 and 31 array slight differences in hourly billing rates by gender either by years in practice or by firm size.

## Hourly Billing Rates for Associates and Legal Assistants

The distribution of hourly billing rates for associates and legal assistants are summarized by level of experience in Exhibit 32. For firms using legal assistants, the following trend in client billing patterns was reported:

| Billing Pattern for |  | of Responses |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal Assistants | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 199 | 199 | 2000 |
| Included in attorney's rate | 25\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% | 16\% | 25\% |
| Time basis | 67 | 62 | 70 | 73 | 74 | 65 |
| Self-developed fee schedule | 7 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Other system | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 |

## Billing Practices and Uncollectables

The time since respondents last changed their hourly rate compares over sixteen years as follows:

Exhibit 30
2000 Median Hourly Billing Rates by Gender and Years in Practice of Michigan Attorneys

|  |  |  |  |  | Proportion | Proportion |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years in Practice | Males | Rate | Females | Rate | 2000 | 1997 |
| -5 | 84 | $\$ 126$ | 58 | $\$ 125$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $5-9$ | 88 | 135 | 47 | 125 | 93 | 100 |
| $10-14$ | 100 | 150 | 48 | 140 | 93 | 89 |
| $15-19$ | 100 | 150 | 33 | 150 | 100 | 100 |
| $20-29$ | 261 | 150 | 35 | 175 | 117 | 100 |
| $30+$ | 175 | 180 | 6 | 135 | 75 | 100 |
| Total | 808 | $\$ 150$ | 227 | $\$ 140$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Exhibit 31
2000 Median Hourly Billing Rates by Gender and Size of Firm of Michigan Attorneys

| Firm Size | Males | Rate | Females | Rate | Proportion <br> 2000 | Proportion <br> 1997 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 237 | $\$ 150$ | 60 | $\$ 138$ | $92 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 2 | 90 | 145 | 31 | 137 | 94 | 104 |
| $3-6$ | 180 | 150 | 43 | 140 | 93 | 89 |
| $7-10$ | 87 | 150 | 21 | 125 | 83 | 94 |
| $11-20$ | 48 | 168 | 15 | 140 | 84 | 80 |
| $21-50$ | 59 | 175 | 15 | 170 | 97 | 96 |
| $51-100$ | 36 | 178 | 11 | 140 | 79 | 79 |
| $>100$ | 58 | 235 | 22 | 180 | 77 | 89 |
| All Attorneys | 795 | $\$ 150$ | 218 | $\$ 140$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Months Since |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hourly Rate | \% of Responses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was Changed | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| 0-6 | 20\% | 28\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| 7-11 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 18 |
| 12-24 | 36 | 33 | 40 | 31 | 33 | 29 |
| 25+ | 18 | 18 | 17 | 31 | 29 | 27 |

Fifty-six percent of the respondents had not changed their rates in one year or more. The percent increase in the level of hourly rates since the last change was:

| Amount of | \% of Responses |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| 5\% or less | 19\% | 19\% | 27\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| 6-10\% | 40 | 43 | 42 | 40 | 41 |
| 11-19\% | 22 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 18 |
| 20\% or more | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 |

Compared to previous reporting periods, the 2000 level of billing rate increases is similar.

Only 15 percent of respondents usually add a service charge to delinquent accounts. Only 9 percent of respondents always add a service charge. The remainder sometimes adds the charge. For those who add a charge, 53 percent charge less than 1 percent, 33 percent charge 1-2 percent and 14 percent charge over 2 percent.

Only 25 percent of respondents always send an engagement letter, 32 percent usually do, 30 percent sometimes do, while 13 percent never send an engagement letter.

Most respondents charge for telephone calls as follows:


Uncollectables remains a serious problem in many firms as follows:

| Percent of Fees |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Billed Which |  | \% of Responses |  |  |  |
| AreUncollectable | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| 2\% or less | 33\% | 31\% | 29\% | 29\% | 30\% |
|  | 32 | 34 | 29 | 28 | 28 |
| 9-12\% | 21 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 21 |
| 13\% or more | 14 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 21 |

## The Average Work Week and Record-Keeping Practices

Attorneys report a varied work week regarding billable hours and other activities comprising their professional time. Exhibit 33 distributes the range of time spent on 9 activities. These distributions are broken down by geographic area in Appendices E through J.

Exhibit 32
Distributions of 2000 Average Hourly Billing Rates for Associates and Legal Assistants by Years of Experience

| Billing Rates | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 68 | \$100 | \$112 | \$133 | \$177 |
| With 3 years experience | 78 | 109 | 125 | 146 | 212 |
| With 5 years experience | 67 | 119 | 148 | 166 | 300 |
| With 10 years experience | 66 | 124 | 150 | 187 | 355 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 34 | \$ 44 | \$ 56 | \$ 71 | \$108 |
| With 3 years experience | 47 | 50 | 60 | 75 | 107 |
| With 5 years experience | 43 | 56 | 72 | 88 | 123 |
| With 10 years experience | 52 | 60 | 70 | 91 | 137 |

Exhibit 33
Distributions of Workweek Components of All Michigan Attorneys, 2000

| Workweek Category | N | Hours per Week |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Billable Legal Work (Total) | 1,396 | 30 | 38 | 45 | 58 |
| Based on hourly rate | 830 | 18 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| Based on flat rate | 347 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 45 |
| Based on contingency work | 322 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 50 |
| Office Administration | 1,089 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| Unbilled Community/Public Service | 601 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| M arketing Activities | 778 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 |
| Non-Legal Work/Personal Investments | 498 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 38 |
| Total Hours | 1,343 | 40 | 47 | 55 | 70 |
| CLE courses per year | 837 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| Pro Bono hours per year | 570 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 50 |

About 54 percent of attorneys who keep time records always keep them. About 15 percent usually keep time records, 14 percent sometimes keep them and 17 percent never keep them. For those maintaining time records, 58 percent track time at 6 -
minute intervals, 26 percent at 15 -minute intervals, 7 percent at 10 -minute intervals, and 4 percent at 30 -minute intervals. About 5 percent report no tracking unit.
Exhibit 36 distributes reported billable and total hours in the work week by gen-
der and ethnicity. Median billable hours per week have dropped from 40 to 35 since 1997 for all categories except for AfricanAmerican males.

Exhibit 34
Billable and Total Hours in Work Week by Gender and Race/Ethnicity, 2000

| Demographic Group | N | Billable Hours in Workweek |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Caucasian M ales | 795 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 55 |
| Caucasian Females | 215 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 50 |
| African-American M ales | 96 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 63 |
| African-American Females | 92 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 60 |
|  |  | Total Hours in Workweek |  |  |  |
| Caucasian M ales | 758 | 40 | 48 | 55 | 70 |
| Caucasian Females | 197 | 40 | 47 | 55 | 70 |
| African-American M ales | 93 | 45 | 52 | 65 | 80 |
| African-American Females | 74 | 38 | 43 | 55 | 80 |

Exhibit 35
1999 Gross Revenues and Overhead Expenses per Attorney by Size of Firm

| Expenses/Attorney | 1-2 | 3-6 | Median Value by Firm Size (Number of Attorneys) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 7-10 | 11-20 | >20 |
| Nonlawyer Salaries | \$ 24,000 | \$ 32,500 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 40,000 | \$ 27,800 |
| Rent, Phone, Utilities | 10,900 | 15,000 | 12,000 | 10,881 | 12,000 |
| All Other Expenses | 12,000 | 20,000 | 34,500 | 27,501 | 15,000 |
| Total Expenses | 40,060 | 62,000 | 69,000 | 75,500 | 54,200 |
| Gross Receipts/Attorney | \$100,000 | \$156,833 | \$ 162,500 | \$177,084 | \$ 120,000 |
| Ratio of Expenses to Receipts | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.45 |
| Number of Responses | 248 | 88 | 26 | 16 | 47 |

Exhibit 36
1999 Gross Revenues and Overhead Expenses per Attorney by Office Location

|  | Downtown |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Detroit |  |$\quad$| S. Oakland |
| :---: |
| County |$\quad$| Median Values by Office Location |
| :---: |
| Remain. of |
| Met. Detroit |$\quad$| Lansing |
| :---: |

# Aspects of Law-Office Economics of Michigan Attorneys 

This section summarizes various economic aspects of the private practice of law in Michigan, providing historic data where available. The following topics are discussed:

- Law office overhead expenses and gross receipts
- Staffing patterns for secretaries and legal assistants
- Salary levels for associates, legal assistants, and secretaries
- Legal services marketing and advertising practices


## Overhead Expenses and Gross Receipts Per Attorney

More than 425 respondents, representing sole practitioners and firms, provided financial information on 1996 operating expenses and gross revenues per attorney. Exhibits 35 and 36 summarize three categories of overhead expenses against gross receipts by firm size and office location.

Overhead components are differentially reported; thus, "total expenses" is not the exact sum of components.

Personnel expenses generally correlate directly with firm size, while total expenses are most sensitive to the "all other cost" category, which includes taxes and insurance. The range of overhead ratios is wider when comparing firms by office location as opposed to firm size. Reporting of overhead data is skewed toward smaller firms and solo practitioners.

## Secretarial and Legal Assistant Staffing Patterns

Exhibit 37 describes the ratio of fulltime equivalent ( $35-40$ hours per week) secretaries to lawyers for seven reporting periods. Firms are maintaining the trend toward greater sharing of secretarial services among attorneys.

Exhibit 38 compares the number of full-time-equivalent legal assistants employed
by firms between 1981 and 2000. Within larger firms, there are no discernable trends toward increased use of legal assistants.

## Starting and Current Salary Levels

Exhibit 39 displays the 2000 annual median salary levels for four categories of associates, legal assistants, and secretaries by firm size. Salary levels distributed by office location are appended. (Appendices E-J)

While 76 percent of firms would hire part-time staff, only 39 percent would hire part-time attorneys; both practices are essentially unchanged since 1994.

## Legal Services Marketing

Approximately 60 percent of respondents market their services through a variety of mechanisms, compared with 68 percent in 1997 and 64 percent in 1994. Exhibit 40 summarizes trends in the use of each marketing device.

Exhibit 37
Changes in the Ratio of Secretaries to Lawyers in Michigan, 1981-2000

| Secretary/ | Percent of Firms by Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lawyer Ratio | 1981 | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| $<1$ | $10.4 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| $1: 1$ | 42.7 | 35.6 | 42.1 | 40.6 | 31.2 | 36.1 | 34.0 |
| $1: 1.5$ | 24.4 | 25.0 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 18.1 | 18.6 |
| $1: 2$ | 17.1 | 23.7 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 30.1 | 2.2 | 26.3 |
| $1: 3+$ | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 13.0 |

Exhibit 38
Changes in the Employment of Legal Assistants in Michigan, 1981-2000

| Number | Percent of Firms by Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed | 1981 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| None | $72.6 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ |
| 1 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 14.9 |
| 2 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 4.6 |
| 3 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| $4-6$ | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| $7-10$ | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| $11+$ | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.9 |

Exhibit 39
Median 2000 Salary Levels of Associates and Support Personnel by Size of Firm and Years of Experience

|  | Size of Firm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 to 2 | 3 to 6 | 7 to 10 | 11 to 20 | >20 |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without |  |  |  |  |  |
| experience | \$32,000 | \$39,000 | \$38,000 | \$38,000 | \$65,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 42,000 | 71,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 45,000 | 65,000 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 77,500 |
| With 10 years experience | 55,000 | 80,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 90,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without |  |  |  |  |  |
| experience | \$20,250 | \$26,500 | \$25,000 | \$27,000 | \$30,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 25,700 | 30,000 | 25,000 | 26,000 | 30,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 33,000 | 35,000 | 17,510 | 32,000 | 35,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 35,000 | 35,000 | 42,000 | 35,000 | 40,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without |  |  |  |  |  |
| experience | \$18,000 | \$22,000 | \$21,500 | \$22,440 | \$25,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 25,000 | 26,000 | 28,500 | 28,000 | 28,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 25,000 | 30,000 | 32,000 | 30,000 | 33,500 |
| With 10 years experience | 30,000 | 32,140 | 34,500 | 35,000 | 33,500 |

Exhibit 40
Use of Marketing Devices by Michigan Attorneys, 1984 to 2000

|  | Percent of Firms Using Device |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing Device | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| Yellow pages | 67\% | 70\% | 58\% | 51\% | 56\% | 64\% |
| Firm brochure | 23 | 30 | 31 | 46 | 42 | 48 |
| Newspapers/periodicals | 18 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 25 |
| PR firm | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Established firm development plans | 19 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 21 |
| Radio/TV | 3 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| Seminars | - | 27 | 31 | 33 | 38 | 42 |
| Client newsletters | - | - | 21 | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| Web page | - | - | - | - | 22 | 46 |

## Appendices-Summary Data Displays

Appendix A
Respondents to the Economics Surveys by Geographic Area, 1981-2000

| Office Location | Percent of respondents by year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| Wayne County | 32.2\% | 29.3\% | 29.9\% | 28.1\% | 25.0\% | 22.6\% | 21.4\% |
| Oakland County | 19.8 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 24.1 | 27.1 | 29.5 | 28.2 |
| M acomb County | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Total Metro Detroit | 56.0\% | 55.0\% | 54.0\% | 55.0\% | 56.0\% | 56.1\% | 53.8\% |
| Ann Arbor | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Battle Creek | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Bay City | $<1.0$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Flint | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| Grand Rapids | 5.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 6.4 |
| Jackson | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Kalamazoo | 3.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Lansing | 6.8 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| Muskegon | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Saginaw | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Outstate (L.P.) | 11.9 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 14.1 | 12.9 |
| Outstate (U.P.) | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Total Outstate | 44.0\% | 45.0\% | 46.0\% | 45.0\% | 44.0\% | 43.9\% | 46.2\% |

Appendix B
Instate Membership of the State Bar of Michigan by Geographic Area, 1981-2000

| Area | 1981 |  | 1985 |  | 1988 |  | 1991 |  | 1994 |  | 1997 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Wayne County | 5,833 | 32.4\% | 6,385 | 30.3\% | 6,715 | 29.1\% | 7,012 | 28\% | 7,090 | 27.2\% | 6,976 | 24.4\% | 6,828 | 22.1\% |
| Oakland County | 4,129 | 22.9 | 5,179 | 24.6 | 5,925 | 25.7 | 6,641 | 26.5 | 7,454 | 28.6 | 8,320 | 29.1 | 8,974 | 29.0 |
| M acomb County | 825 | 4.6 | 1,002 | 4.7 | 1,092 | 4.7 | 1,162 | 4.6 | 1,285 | 4.9 | 1,474 | 5.1 | 1,645 | 5.3 |
| Total Metro Detroit | 10,787 | 59.9\% | 12,566 | 59.6\% | 13,732 | 59.5\% | 14,815 | 59.1\% | 15,829 | 60.7\% | 16,770 | 58.6\% | 17,447 | 56.4\% |
| Ann Arbor | 490 | 2.7 | 587 | 2.7 | 671 | 2.9 | 730 | 2.9 | 829 | 3.2 | 925 | 3.2 | 1,246 | 4.0 |
| Battle Creek | 112 | 0.6 | 121 | 0.5 | 116 | 0.5 | 117 | 0.5 | 138 | 0.5 | 143 | 0.5 | 197 | 0.6 |
| Bay City | 129 | 0.7 | 145 | 0.6 | 163 | 0.7 | 162 | 0.6 | 176 | 0.7 | 170 | 0.6 | 224 | 0.7 |
| Flint | 410 | 2.3 | 481 | 2.2 | 515 | 2.2 | 518 | 2.1 | 549 | 2.1 | 561 | 2.0 | 771 | 2.5 |
| Grand Rapids | 882 | 4.9 | 1,136 | 5.4 | 1,286 | 5.6 | 1,439 | 5.7 | 1,567 | 6.1 | 1,657 | 5.8 | 1,969 | 6.4 |
| Jackson | 213 | 1.2 | 215 | 1.1 | 223 | 1.1 | 231 | 0.9 | 244 | 0.9 | 227 | 0.8 | 271 | 0.9 |
| Kalamazoo | 423 | 2.4 | 479 | 2.2 | 519 | 2.2 | 537 | 2.1 | 540 | 2.1 | 573 | 2.0 | 672 | 2.2 |
| Lansing | 979 | 5.4 | 1,396 | 6.6 | 1,700 | 7.4 | 1,439 | 5.7 | 1,510 | 5.8 | 1,579 | 5.5 | 2,307 | 7.5 |
| Muskegon | 177 | 1.1 | 180 | 0.8 | 200 | 0.9 | 179 | 0.7 | 188 | 0.7 | 207 | 0.7 | 244 | 0.8 |
| Saginaw | 324 | 1.8 | 360 | 1.7 | 390 | 1.7 | 404 | 1.6 | 429 | 1.7 | 430 | 1.5 | 466 | 1.5 |
| Outstate (L.P.) | 2,766 | 15.4 | 3,021 | 14.3 | 3,220 | 13.9 | 4,094 | 16.3 | 3,597 | 13.8 | 4,956 | 17.3 | 4,699 | 15.2 |
| Outstate (U.P.) | 308 | 1.7 | 345 | 1.6 | 362 | 1.6 | 387 | 1.5 | 431 | 1.7 | 441 | 1.5 | 444 | 1.4 |
| State Total | 18,000 | 100.0\% | 21,032 | 100.0\% | 23,097 | 100.0\% | 25,052 | 100.0\% | 26,027 | 100.0\% | 28,639 | 100.0\% | 30,957 | 100.0\% |

## Appendix C

Respondents to the Economics Survey by Practice Classification, 1984-2000

|  | Percent of respondents by year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice Classification | 1984 | 1988 | 1991 | 1994 | 1997 | 2000 |
| Private Practitioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sole Practitioners | 26.1\% | 25.3\% | 23.6\% | 25.5\% | 27.8\% | 27.7\% |
| Partners | 24.1 | 22.9 | 26.6 | 30.2 | 25.6 | 24.6 |
| Associates | 13.4 | 18.3 | 21.6 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 15.9 |
| Total Private Practitioners | 63.6\% | 66.5\% | 71.4\% | 72.8\% | 70.2\% | 68.2\% |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Judges | 3.8\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% |
| Government Service | 13.8 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 12.4 |
| House Counsel | 14.1 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 8.9 |
| Law Professors | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Counsel with Legal Aid | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| Law Clerks | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | NA | NA |
| Not Practicing/Retired | NA | NA | NA | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Total Others | 36.4\% | 33.5\% | 28.6\% | 27.2\% | 29.4\% | 31.8\% |

Appendix D
Source of Income of Attorneys by Primary Field of Law or Specialty, 2000

|  |  | Source of Income |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Field of Law or Specialty | Primary | Tertiary |  |
| Administrative Law | $3.2 \%$ | 1.6 |  |
| Bankruptcy | 3.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Civil Rights | 1.6 | 2.7 |  |
| Collections | 1.9 | 1.8 |  |
| Corporate/Business Law | 11.8 | 1.8 |  |
| Criminal (Public Defense) | 3.2 | 10.9 |  |
| Criminal (Private Defense) | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Criminal (Prosecution) | 4.4 | 5.2 |  |
| Domestic Relations | 11.4 | 0.5 |  |
| Environmental Law | 1.5 | 6.9 |  |
| General Practice | 4.2 | 1.4 |  |
| Health and Hospital Law | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| Immigration Law | 0.7 | 0.4 |  |
| Intellectual Property | 2.2 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| Labor Law (Management) | 2.6 | 0.9 |  |
| Labor Law (Labor) | 1.5 | 1.1 | 12.3 |
| Municipal Law | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Personal Injury/Insurance(Defense) | 5.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Personal Injury Insurance(Plaintiff) | 6.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Professional Malpractice | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| Public Benefits | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Real Property Law | 7.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Securities Law | 0.5 | 4.6 | 2.1 |
| Taxation | 2.2 | 2.5 | 5.9 |
| Trial Practice (Civil-Personal) | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Trial Practice (Civil-Commercial) | 10.4 | 0.6 |  |
| Wills, Estates, and Probate | 0.0 | 9.9 | 9.5 |
| Workers' Compensation | 7.2 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Total | 2.1 | 5.7 | 2.0 |
| Number of Responses | $100.0 \%$ | 5.1 | 7.7 |
|  | 1,494 | 10.1 | 1.0 |

Appendix E
Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys - Downtown Detroit Offices

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 196 | \$58,750 | \$85,750 | \$135,250 | \$ 315,100 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 117 | 133 | 177 | 250 | 333 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 92 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 |
| Based on flat rate | 24 | 5 | 10 | 33 | 30 |
| Based on contingency work | 28 | 5 | 10 | 39 | 58 |
| Total billable hours | 182 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| Office Administration | 134 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| M arketing Activities | 76 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 16 |
| Non-billable community/public service | 83 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 29 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 47 | 2 | 4 | 7 | - |
| Total hours in work week | 165 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 73 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 4 | \$48,750 | \$90,000 | \$105,000 | \$110,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 8 | 40,500 | 55,000 | 107,500 | 145,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 8 | 61,250 | 80,000 | 113,750 | 175,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 6 | 47,500 | 69,500 | 93,750 | 120,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| With 3 years experience | 3 | 26,000 | 32,000 | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 3 | 32,000 | 35,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 4 | 35,250 | 38,000 | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 5 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 37,500 | 50,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 6 | 21,500 | 30,000 | 31,500 | 33,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 6 | 27,250 | 34,000 | 36,500 | 38,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 11 | 35,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 42000 |

# Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys- South Oakland County Offices 

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 290 | \$50,000 | \$80,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$350,000 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 247 | 125 | 157.5 | 200 | 250 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 185 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 55 |
| Based on flat rate | 70 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 49 |
| Based on contingency work | 92 | 5 | 20 | 41 | 61 |
| Total billable hours | 277 | 30 | 40 | 47 | 60 |
| Office Administration | 227 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| M arketing Activities | 143 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 |
| Non-billable community/public service | 120 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 111 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 42 |
| Total hours in work week | 263 | 42 | 50 | 56 | 70 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 23 | \$34,500 | \$42,500 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 84,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 23 | 40,833 | 60,000 | 75,000 | 124,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 23 | 55,500 | 65,000 | 83,328 | 225,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 26 | 65,417 | 85,833 | 100,000 | 306,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 10 | 24,250 | 29,500 | 34,750 | 40,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 10 | 27,875 | 30,000 | 35,000 | 46,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 15 | 32,250 | 35,000 | 40,000 | 49,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 16 | 35,250 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 55,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 23 | 18,000 | 23,000 | 28,000 | 47,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 32 | 26,000 | 30,000 | 32,000 | 36,750 |
| With 5 years experience | 27 | 30,000 | 33,000 | 35,500 | 45,200 |
| With 10 years experience | 40 | 29,750 | 35,000 | 39,750 | 44,850 |

## Appendix G

## Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys- Remainder of Metro Detroit Offices

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 367 | \$36,500 | \$62,000 | \$115,750 | \$252,500 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 272 | 125.0 | 150.0 | 180.4 | 250.0 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 198 | 13.1 | 20.7 | 35.0 | 51.9 |
| Based on flat rate | 96 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 21.0 | 48.4 |
| Based on contingency work | 70 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 27.9 | 76.8 |
| Total billable hours | 343 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 45.0 | 60.0 |
| Office Administration | 270 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| M arketing Activities | 148 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Non-billable community/public service | 197 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 131 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 |
| Total hours in work week | 335 | 40.0 | 46.0 | 55.0 | 70.0 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 16 | \$32,000 | \$40,000 | \$ 58,750 | \$ 90,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 20 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 60,000 | 99,450 |
| With 5 years experience | 19 | 45,000 | 65,000 | 80,000 | 125,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 25 | 62,500 | 85,000 | 132,500 | 199,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 11 | 15,500 | 19,000 | 25,000 | 37,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 12 | 21,250 | 28,000 | 31,500 | 37,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 11 | 25,000 | 35,000 | 38,000 | 50,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 8 | 26,100 | 38,500 | 45,000 | 50,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 25 | 16,640 | 20,000 | 23,500 | 29,100 |
| With 3 years experience | 24 | 24,063 | 29,500 | 30,000 | 34,750 |
| With 5 years experience | 21 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 33,500 | 38,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 40 | 30,000 | 35,000 | 38,000 | 42,950 |

Appendix H
Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys- Lansing Offices

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 143 | \$48,500 | \$73,667 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 207,000 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 76 | 108 | 150 | 176 | 235 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 61 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 60 |
| Based on flat rate | 31 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 42 |
| Based on contingency work | 15 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 40 |
| Total billable hours | 124 | 30 | 40 | 44 | 59 |
| Office Administration | 80 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| M arketing Activities | 42 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| Non-billable community/public service | 60 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 45 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 38 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 40 |
| Total hours in work week | 124 | 40 | 45 | 55 | 70 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 6 | \$28,750 | \$33,500 | \$ 65,000 | \$ 95,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 8 | 40,000 | 42,000 | 63,750 | 110,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 6 | 33,250 | 50,000 | 82,500 | 120,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 6 | 72,500 | 92,000 | 125,000 | 140,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 5 | 21,400 | 24,000 | 29,600 | 31,200 |
| With 3 years experience | 11 | 21,000 | 25,000 | 32,000 | 34,800 |
| With 5 years experience | 11 | 16,000 | 26,000 | 30,000 | 35,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 9 | 17,000 | 29,000 | 38,000 | 60,000 |

Appendix I
Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys- Outstate Metro Area Offices

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 269 | \$40,000 | \$68,000 | \$ 110,000 | \$214,000 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 192 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 250 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 153 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 53 |
| Based on flat rate | 50 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 60 |
| Based on contingency work | 62 | 5 | 15 | 27 | 44 |
| Total billable hours | 254 | 30 | 37 | 40 | 55 |
| Office Administration | 204 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 15 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| M arketing Activities | 116 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Non-billable community/public service | 153 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 39 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 91 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 37 |
| Total hours in work week | 243 | 40 | 45 | 54 | 71 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 17 | \$30,500 | \$40,000 | \$ 51,500 | \$ 75,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 21 | 35,000 | 45,000 | 60,000 | 79,100 |
| With 5 years experience | 20 | 20,250 | 48,500 | 65,000 | 85,450 |
| With 10 years experience | 11 | 25,000 | 80,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 8 | 28,500 | 30,000 | 31,125 | 35,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 12 | 20,000 | 27,500 | 37,250 | 40,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 11 | 25,000 | 30,560 | 40,000 | 46,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 17 | 31,000 | 40,000 | 45,000 | 54,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 27 | 18,350 | 21,000 | 25,000 | 32,200 |
| With 3 years experience | 31 | 20,750 | 25,000 | 27,500 | 30,800 |
| With 5 years experience | 27 | 25,000 | 28,000 | 31,000 | 34,600 |
| With 10 years experience | 35 | 28,000 | 31,000 | 35,000 | 40,000 |

## Statistical Profile of Michigan Attorneys- Other Outstate Area Offices

| Item | N | Value by Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 Net Income | 230 | \$40,000 | \$58,333 | \$99,167 | \$ 175,700 |
| 2000 Hourly Billing Rate | 166 | 110 | 125 | 150 | 188 |
| Hours per Week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billable Legal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on hourly rate | 129 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 |
| Based on flat rate | 70 | 5 | 10 | 22 | 41 |
| Based on contingency work | 50 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 48 |
| Total billable hours | 197 | 26 | 35 | 40 | 54 |
| Office Administration | 160 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 16 |
| Other Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-billable community/public service | 68 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| M arketing activities | 156 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 32 |
| Non-legal work/Personal investments | 75 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 22 |
| Total hours in work week | 194 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 69 |
| Annual Salaries (June 2000) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associates |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 14 | \$30,375 | \$36,000 | \$45,000 | \$ 95,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 10 | 42,500 | 45,000 | 52,500 | 105,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 8 | 52,500 | 65,000 | 77,500 | 115,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 7 | 40,000 | 65,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 |
| Legal Assistants (Paralegals) |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 5 | 21,400 | 25,000 | 26,500 | 27,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 9 | 20,500 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 10 | 28,000 | 34,000 | 37,000 | 40,000 |
| Secretaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| New hires without experience | 29 | 14,780 | 18,000 | 21,500 | 25,000 |
| With 3 years experience | 25 | 18,000 | 21,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| With 5 years experience | 29 | 20,000 | 25,000 | 27,500 | 35,000 |
| With 10 years experience | 40 | 22,000 | 26,750 | 30,000 | 39,750 |

Appendix K
Percent Distributions of Current Perceptions on Economic Circumstances

| Office Location | N | Compared to last year, economic circumstances of law are: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Better | Worse | Same |
| Downtown Detroit | 212 | 24\% | 25\% | 51\% |
| Remainder SE Mich. | 400 | 20 | 26 | 55 |
| Other M etro Areas | 277 | 16 | 23 | 61 |
| Lansing | 142 | 23 | 20 | 57 |
| S. Oakland County | 246 | 23 | 30 | 47 |
| Outstate | 232 | 21 | 22 | 56 |
| Size of Firm |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 345 | 21\% | 24\% | 54\% |
| 2 | 155 | 20 | 28 | 52 |
| 3-6 | 323 | 18 | 27 | 55 |
| 7-10 | 161 | 19 | 24 | 58 |
| 11-20 | 125 | 18 | 31 | 50 |
| 21-50 | 76 | 23 | 24 | 53 |
| 51-100 | 84 | 31 | 24 | 45 |
| >100 | 133 | 26 | 20 | 54 |
| Years in Practice |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 221 | 30\% | 16\% | 54\% |
| 5-9 | 215 | 26 | 19 | 55 |
| 10-14 | 219 | 19 | 27 | 53 |
| 15-19 | 207 | 18 | 33 | 49 |
| 20-29 | 428 | 17 | 29 | 54 |
| >30 | 240 | 18 | 26 | 56 |
| All Attorneys | 1,590 | 21\% | 25\% | 54\% |

Appendix M
Percent Distributions of Perceptions on the Supply of Lawyers

|  | The number of lawyers in the <br> community in which I practice is: <br> Office Location |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | About right | Too many | Too few |
| Downtown Detroit | 197 | $38 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| S. Oakland County | 397 | 34 | 65 | 1 |
| Remainder M etro Area | 281 | 46 | 53 | 1 |
| Lansing | 138 | 31 | 68 | 1 |
| Outstate M etro Areas | 316 | 30 | 69 | 1 |
| Outstate Other | 236 | 58 | 39 | 3 |
| Size of Firm |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 344 | $38 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 2 | 153 | 39 | 59 | 3 |
| 3-6 | 325 | 41 | 59 | 1 |
| $7-10$ | 162 | 40 | 59 | 1 |
| 11-20 | 125 | 42 | 57 | 2 |
| 21-50 | 122 | 44 | 54 | 2 |
| 51-100 | 81 | 32 | 63 | 5 |
| $>100$ | 133 | 36 | 61 | 3 |
| Years in Practice |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 226 | $47 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 5-9 | 218 | 44 | 54 | 2 |
| 10-14 | 218 | 39 | 58 | 3 |
| 15-19 | 201 | 37 | 62 | 1 |
| 20-29 | 421 | 37 | 62 | 1 |
| $>30$ | 237 | 33 | 63 | 3 |
| All Attorneys | 1,580 | $39 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

Appendix L
Percent Distribution of Perceptions on Future Economic Conditions

| Office Location | N | One year from now, economi circumstances of law will be |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Better | Worse | Same |
| Downtown Detroit | 197 | 16 | 25 | 58 |
| S. Oakland County | 391 | 15 | 24 | 60 |
| Remainder M etro Area | 271 | 14 | 20 | 66 |
| Lansing | 141 | 16 | 23 | 61 |
| Outstate M etro Areas | 307 | 18 | 28 | 54 |
| Outstate Other | 227 | 12 | 18 | 70 |
| Size of Firm |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 338 | 18\% | 24\% | 58\% |
| 2 | 153 | 14 | 22 | 65 |
| 3-6 | 318 | 19 | 21 | 60 |
| 7-10 | 159 | 14 | 26 | 60 |
| 11-20 | 122 | 11 | 28 | 61 |
| 21-50 | 123 | 12 | 22 | 66 |
| 51-100 | 81 | 17 | 26 | 57 |
| >100 | 131 | 12 | 22 | 66 |
| Years in Practice |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 216 | 25\% | 13\% | 62\% |
| 5-9 | 212 | 18 | 16 | 66 |
| 10-14 | 214 | 12 | 26 | 63 |
| 15-19 | 202 | 14 | 28 | 57 |
| 20-29 | 419 | 11 | 29 | 60 |
| >30 | 231 | 13 | 26 | 61 |
| All Attorneys | 1,560 | 15\% | 23\% | 62\% |

Appendix N
Percent Distributions of Perceptions on the Demand for Legal Services

| Office Location | N | The quantity of legal work is: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Insufficient | All I can handle | More than I prefer |
| Downtown Detroit | 205 | 9\% | 56\% | 35\% |
| S. Oakland County | 407 | 18 | 56 | 26 |
| Remainder M etro Area | 282 | 13 | 62 | 26 |
| Lansing | 144 | 13 | 63 | 25 |
| Outstate Metro A reas | 313 | 15 | 60 | 25 |
| Outstate Other | 236 | 11 | 58 | 31 |
| Size of Firm |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 351 | 22\% | 57\% | 21\% |
| 2 | 157 | 18 | 57 | 25 |
| 3-6 | 326 | 13 | 64 | 23 |
| 7-10 | 164 | 8 | 60 | 32 |
| 11-20 | 125 | 12 | 48 | 40 |
| 21-50 | 129 | 8 | 64 | 29 |
| 51-100 | 85 | 9 | 58 | 33 |
| >100 | 139 | 7 | 59 | 34 |
| Years in Practice |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 | 232 | 16\% | 59\% | 25\% |
| 5-9 | 217 | 10 | 66 | 24 |
| 10-14 | 218 | 10 | 62 | 28 |
| 15-19 | 204 | 14 | 58 | 28 |
| 20-29 | 430 | 14 | 54 | 32 |
| >30 | 239 | 19 | 56 | 26 |
| All Attorneys | 1,610 | 14\% | 59\% | 27\% |

