

Report on Public Policy Position

Name of committee:

Civil Procedure and Courts Committee

Contact Person:

Frank J. Greco

E-mail:

frankgreco@charter.net

Bill Number:

HB 4267 (Caswell) Civil procedure; costs and fees; attorney contingency fees based on a sliding scale; provide for. Amends sec. 919 of 1961 PA 236 (MCL 600.919).

Date position was adopted:

April 21, 2007

Process used to take the ideological position:

Position adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting.

Number of members in the decision-making body:

21 (14 present at meeting)

Number who voted in favor and opposed to the position:

14 Voted for position

- 0 Voted against position
- 0 Abstained from vote
- 0 Did not vote

Position:

Oppose

Explanation of the position, including any recommended amendments:

- a. The subject of maximum contingency fees is already covered by MCR 8.121(B);
- b. The Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct already prohibit excessive attorney fees;
- c. The bill singles out a particular type of litigation for regulation of attorney fees without good reason;
- d. Government price controls in general should be avoided in a free market economy;
- e. No abuse exists to justify legislating in this area;
- f. Nothing precludes attorneys and their clients from reaching such a fee arrangement on their own; and
- g. Such legislative proposals have been repeatedly rejected in the past.

The text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this report.

http://legislature.mi.gov/doc.aspx?2007-HB-4267